## Formula Grantee Allocation Comparisons: New Orleans Compared with Similar Grantees and National Averages

The following charts provide comparisons with other grantees and with the national average for HOPWA Formula grantees based on information reported in the National HOPWA database as of July 1, 2018. Due to varying reporting years and deadlines, this data reflects 2017 information for some grantees and 2016 information for others who have not yet submitted 2016/17 reports.

**Percentage of Expenditures –Type of Activity:** This chart shows the percentage of grantees' total allocations that was spent for housing assistance, supportive services and for Admin (including Resource ID). NOLA is highlighted in yellow; the national average in green.

	Housing Assistance	Supportive Services	Administration and Management (includes Resource ID)
City of Atlanta	57%	34%	9%
City of Baton Rouge	69%	18%	13%
City of Houston	68%	22%	10%
City of Louisville	53%	39%	8%
City of New Orleans	90%	0%	10%
City of San Antonio	54%	25%	21%
City of Tampa	85%	7%	8%
Formula Program	68%	21%	9%

**Percentage of Expenditures -Type of Housing Assistance:** This chart shows the percentage of funds spent by grantees for each type of housing assistance (as a percentage of total housing assistance). As an example, 12% of NOLA's HOPWA Housing funds are spent for TBRA.

	Tenant- Based Rental Assistance	Short Term Rent, Mortgage, and Utility Assistance	Units in Permanent Housing Facilities	Units in Transitional/Short-term Facilities	Permanent Housing Placement Services
City of Atlanta (2017)	36%	5%	53%	4%	2%
City of Baton Rouge (2016)	15%	27%	53%	4%	1%
City of Houston (2016)	48%	23%	20%	6%	3%
City of Louisville (2016)	62%	32%	0%	3%	3%
City of New Orleans (2017)	<mark>12%</mark>	8%	7%	69%	7%
City of San Antonio (2017)	60%	0%	15%	25%	0%
City of Tampa (2017)	79%	2%	9%	10%	0%
Formula Program (2016)	47%	12%	29%	7%	3%

Percentage of Households Served -Type of Housing Assistance: This chart shows the percentage of households served by each type of housing assistance. As an example, 10% of HOPWA households are served by TBRA. Also compare the previous chart with this one to determine the percentage of funds spent versus the percentage of households served. Example: NOLA spends 69% of funds to serve 24% of households in transitional facilities. (Also note that the numbers in the transitional/short-term facilities column includes a high number of short-term shelter units in Atlanta and San Antonio.)

	Tenant-Based Rental Assistance	Short Term Rent, Mortgage, Utility Assistance	Households in Permanent Housing Facilities	Households n Transitional/Short-term Facilities	Permanent Housing Placement Services
City of Atlanta (2017)	30%	27%	25%	17%	2%
City of Baton Rouge (2016)	11%	61%	24%	4%	1%
City of Houston (2016)	28%	44%	17%	6%	3%
City of Louisville (2016)	10%	83%	0%	6%	3%
City of New Orleans (2017)	10%	30%	2%	24%	7%
City of San Antonio (2017)	55%	0%	5%	40%	0%
City of Tampa (2017)	82%	5%	8%	5%	0%
Formula Program (2016)	28%	39%	13%	9%	3%

Cost Per Unit Comparisons – This chart shows the national range of "per unit" costs for types of units in comparison with NOLA. Note that the national database removes extremely high or extremely low costs as outliers when developing the national range, as seen in PH and Transitional units in this chart.

Type of Housing Assistance	National Cost per Unit Range	New Orleans Cost per Unit
Permanent Housing Units	\$1,496.57 - \$10,064.79	\$14,742.64
Transitional/Short Term Units	\$426.51 - \$6,332.08	\$13,842.98
Tenant-Based Rental Assist	\$3,236.67 - \$8,372.94	\$4,995.42