

**CITY PLANNING COMMISSION
DESIGN ADVISORY COMMITTEE
MINUTES – November 17, 2021**

CPC ITEMS:

1. Minutes from the 10/20/21 meeting will be considered at the December 15th meeting.
2. **Consideration:** Design Review 112/21 – Proposal by Jung Realty Co, Inc. for the renovation of an existing fast food restaurant with over 100’ of site frontage in an EC Enhancement Corridor Design Overlay District. (RJ)

The **HDLC** representative objected to the addition of non-functional shutters and questioned the selection of a gooseneck lamp fixture. The **DPW** representative requested sidewalk repairs along S. Carrollton Ave. and to reduce driveway width as well as align it with the drive and to repair curb damage at the corner. The applicant confirmed that the drive at Earhart is a two-way drive. The **PKWYS** representative submitted a written comment that they have no issues.

The **CPA** representative made a motion for **APPROVAL** subject to façade modifications to remove inoperable shutters and to use all brick, which was seconded by the **HDLC** representative and the motion was unanimously adopted.

3. **Consideration:** Design Review 113/21 – Proposal by Mercy Partners, LLC for the renovation of the former Lindy Boggs Medical Center for use as a residential care facility with over 100’ of site frontage in an EC Enhancement Corridor Design Overlay District and with over 40,000 square feet of floor area. (VG)

The **HDLC** representative had no comments. The **CPA** representative encouraged the applicant to consider resilient design strategies for the adaptive reuse of the existing structure. The **PKWYS** representative submitted a written comment that a landscape plan has not been provided and that any landscaping in the public right-of-way is subject to the review of **PKWYS** prior to permitting.

The **HDLC** representative made a motion for **APPROVAL** which was seconded by the **CPA** representative and the motion was unanimously adopted.

4. **Consideration:** Design Review 116/21 – Proposal by Edward Hynes Elementary School for the new construction of a primary educational with over 100’ of site frontage in an EC Enhancement Corridor Design Overlay District, with over 40,000 square feet of floor area, and with over 10 parking spaces. (RJ)

The **PKWYS** representative submitted a written comment that they have no issues. The Committee discussed architectural revisions, including a resolution of exterior finishes and resolving the façade issues.

The **CPA** representative made a motion for **DEFERRAL** to allow the applicant to make recommendation architectural revisions which was seconded by the **HDLC** representative and the motion was unanimously adopted.

5. **Consideration:** Design Review 118/21 – Proposal by 3205 Tulane, LLC for the renovation of an existing building with over 100’ of site frontage in an EC Enhancement Corridor Design Overlay District. (VG)

The **HDLC** representative stated that the rendering shows the removal of the existing dormer window to which the applicant stated that it will be preserved. The **HDLC** representative requested that as much of the arts and crafts detailing, such as the historic wood windows, be preserved as possible. They also questioned how tables and chairs would be secured. The **DPW** representative commented on the proposed driveway removal and new curb cuts. They also stated that the site abuts the Tulane bus stop, so if repaving the sidewalks, it should extend all the way to the bus stop. Improvements to the public right-of-way are subject to the review of **DPW** prior to permitting. The **PKWYS** representative submitted a written comment that a landscape plan has not been provided and that any landscaping in the public right-of-way is subject to the review of **PKWYS** prior to permitting. The applicant stated that they provided updated landscape plans.

The **CPA** representative made a motion for **APPROVAL** subject to a resolution on the architectural recommendations, the provision of security elements for outdoor furniture and second floor access, and the extension of the concrete to the bus stop, which was seconded by the **DPW** representative and the motion was unanimously adopted.

NON-CPC ITEMS:

6. **Consideration:** Design Docket 120/21 (DAC Only) – Request by the City of New Orleans’ Mayor’s Office of Transportation for the design review of prototypical electric vehicle charging stations (including layout, signage, colors, and materials) for installation at locations citywide.

The **HDLC** representative requested additional information about the proposed charging stations such as colors, overall height and specific model. The applicant stated that the overall height is 6 ft (71.3 inches) and the model is CT4021. The Committee discussed the color of the bollards and suggested dark forest green. The Committee discussed that DAC review is for a prototype and not a specific location. The applicant stated that each installation will go through the standard permitting process at which time applicable agencies will have an opportunity to comment. The **HDLC** representative stated that the charging stations should attempt to avoid sensitive locations. The **CPA** representative requested additional information about installation details, such as mounting to a concrete pad that is integrated with the bollards. In this discussion, the Committee agreed that these details could be flexible, but efforts should be made to standardize such details. The **CPC** representative stated that lighting should be provided if stations are intended to be used after dark to which the applicant responded all locations have existing lighting. The **PKWYS** representative submitted a written comment that they already reviewed and commented on proposed locations and have no issues with the design of the units.

The **CPA** representative made a motion for **APPROVAL** subject to 3 provisos which was seconded by the **DPW** representative and the motion was unanimously adopted.

1. Standardized bollards and finish, possibly dark forest green, subject to **DPW** approval,
2. Universal design is encouraged, and,
3. Any vertical element shall be located at least 18 inches from the back of the curb.

7. **Reconsideration**: Design Review 076/21 (DAC Only) was withdrawn.