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What is the thinking here? This General Commercial Parcel should stay General Commercial so that New Orleans might finally have stores in which to shop and capture the tax revenue we give over to Jefferson Parish.

I oppose this change

Anthony Favre
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dear city workers,

i went to the FLUM meeting at 1400 camp. i’m glad i went. these are actual FLUM comments. i suspect i will send a separate email on procedural comments.

PD2-01 & PD2-02: i agree. very glad to see general commercial designation in decline. i believe very few places should forbid residential housing altogether. in some places real restrictions make since, but complete prohibitions in my view do not. glad to see these changes to mixed use. prefer high density to medium. also glad to see auto-oriented uses reduced. i walk south claiborne from time to time. i look forward to this change impacting the fabric of my neighborhood.

PD2-03: i mostly agree. same thoughts as above. i use this walmart and am mildly aware of the up coming river district in the area. two points specific to this figure number. (a) this is the sliver by the river and did not flood during katrenia, thus i believe the proposal should have been for high density not medium. (b) some part of this parcel or some part of the river district parcel should have been FLUMMED as park. i may be wrong..lyons park is down there on the sliver. still, it it seems to me that most of our city owned parks are floodable. little non floodable land seems to be city owned parks.... hope that is just a misperception on my part.

PD2-04: truly do not care in specific detail to this specific map change. however, in practice i hate that they knew to get a request in on time, and i did not. i’d have like my area re-FLUMMED. i wanted medium density not low. i was too late. they were not. boo.

PD2-05: no. i disagree. south galvez flooded eight feet deep during katrenia. it is not yet even filled up with residential. trying to move commercial in here to drive out residential is just a greedy man's game. the parking lot on south broad that the city gave NORA is still undeveloped and broad has more life than galvez. as long as that amtrak mainance yard is where it is, instaed of out at the airport where it belongs, this part of south galvez should stay resideual, NOT mixed use. also shown on the map were:

PD3-02: i mostly agree. same comments as PD2-03. except no park needed as it is very close to audubon.

PD4-06: no. i disagree. bluntly put, from my point of view we already have too much industrial land inside the city limits. somebody should do a percentage study. how much of the city is designated by each specific FLUM label. a city can be unbalanced and have too much of some labels...like general commercial., or industrial. we after all have all that port of new orleans land that will empty out as the port moves down river.

for your consideration,
warning. i have had difficulties accessing this account. in the event i do not reponse to you after say a week has past, consider snail mailing me at 2215 felicity street new orleans louisiana zip 70113. thank you. sorry for any inconvence.