

**Sanford "Sandy" Krasnoff New Orleans Criminal Justice Council
Self-Evaluation Questionnaire**

	Score 1=no or never 5=yes or always
1. Does the CJC deal with a complete or nearly complete local justice system? (Do all local programs and services for offenders fall within the planning jurisdiction?)	1 2 3 4 5
2. Does the CJC have sufficient authority to obtain necessary data and to develop plans for the local justice system? (Is the CJC formally authorized to undertake comprehensive systemwide planning and coordination? Does it have adequate access to agency information, and do agencies cooperate in implementing plans?)	1 2 3 4 5
3. Is planning well integrated into the operations of general government? (Does the CJC receive significant financial support or other support from the local government?)	1 2 3 4 5
4. Does the CJC emphasize policy- and program-level planning (as compared with being preoccupied with operational planning)?	1 2 3 4 5
5. Are the CJC members attending meetings? (Is attendance good? Do the members, rather than alternates, frequently attend?)	1 2 3 4 5
6. Does the CJC undertake a wide variety of activities rather than allocate grant funds?	1 2 3 4 5
7. Is the CJC broadly representative (e.g., city/county/state/federal levels of government; executive/judicial/legislative branches; law enforcement, courts; corrections subsystems; other major constituencies)?	1 2 3 4 5
8. Does the CJC have sufficient, independent staff support?	1 2 3 4 5
9. Is sufficient attention devoted to planning for planning? (Have policymakers thought out exactly what they want the CJC to accomplish and how these goals will be achieved? Are planning tasks clearly delineated? Have staff been recruited with the skills and experience needed to undertake these tasks? Have the duties, responsibilities, and functions of the CJC been specified and communicated to participating agencies?)	1 2 3 4 5
10. Do neutrality, credibility, and stability characterize the CJC? (Can agency personnel trust the chair, executive committee, and staff to remain impartial and to act in the interest of the system as a whole? Does the staff facilitate good working relationships with agency personnel and other officials of local government?)	1 2 3 4 5
11. Have the CJC and its planning process been systematically evaluated? Do the evaluation results demonstrate the CJC's usefulness to local government?	1 2 3 4 5

Source: Cushman, R. *Guidelines for Developing a Criminal Justice Coordinating Committee*. Washington, D. C.: National Institute of Corrections, 2002. Pg. 2.