2022 Stop and Search Annual Report

> On at least an annual basis, NOPD shall issue a report summarizing the stop and search data collected, the analysis of that data, and the steps taken to correct problems and build on successes. The report shall be made publicly available. [Consent Decree ¶153]

The purpose of this report is to summarize and analyze the stop and search data collected for the past year and to summarize the steps NOPD took to correct problems and build on successes. This report does not attempt to assess whether NOPD polices in a bias-free manner. See the Bias-Free annual reports for such analyses. Although briefly covered in the section titled "Steps taken to correct problems and build upon successes", this report also does not assess the extent to which NOPD conducts constitutional stops and searches. For such an assessment, see the Stops, Searches, and Arrests (SSA) audit reports. Both reports can be found at nola.gov/nopd/nopd-consent-decree. This report answers several key questions:

1) How many stops occurred in 2022? And, how have these stops varied by neighborhood, race/ethnicity of the subject, sex of the subject, type of subject (driver, passenger, or pedestrian), age of the subject, time of day, and type of stop?
2) What was the result of the stop (arrest, Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion, citation, summons, warning, or no action), and how did that vary based upon the race, sex, and age of the subject?
3) Did a search occur? What type of search occurred? How did that action vary based upon the race, sex, and age of the subject?
4) Was contraband seized? How did that action vary based upon the race, sex, and age of the subject?

The data are displayed in graphics throughout the report and in the appendices. For comparison, visit nola.gov/nopd/nopd-consent-decree to view the Stop and Search Annual Report from previous years.

Contents

Key Definitions

Age – Age of the subject is based on the subject's date of birth or apparent age, if the subject refuses to provide information or the officer cannot legally demand identification.

Contraband – Items which are illegally possessed. This includes the following:

a) Drugs – any substance defined, enumerated, or included in federal or state criminal statute or regulations, 21 CFR Chapter 1308.11-15 or La. R.S. 40:964, or any substance which may hereafter be designated as a controlled dangerous substance by amendment or supplementation of such regulations or statute. The term shall not include distilled spirits, wine, malt beverages, or tobacco;
b) Weapons – includes any items that are illegally possessed which, in the manner used, is calculated or likely to produce death or great bodily harm. Usually a firearm or edged weapon for Field Interview Card purposes;
c) Other – any other item that is not a drug or a weapon which is illegally possessed

Field Interview Card (FIC) – The method NOPD utilizes to document official Stops/Terry Stops and other discretionary interactions with members of the public. To conduct a field interview, an officer must have reasonable suspicion that the subject has been, is, or is about to be, engaged in the commission of a crime. According to departmental policy, only one FIC entry should be made per incident.

Sex – sex of the subject is entered by the officer and is based on the officer's observation, if not provided to the officer by the subject.

Neighborhood – Neighborhoods are defined using the neighborhood boundaries disseminated by The Data Center (datacenterresearch.org).

Race/ethnicity – Race/ethnicity of the subject is entered by the officer and is based on the officer's observation.

Search – An inspection, examination, or viewing of persons, places, or items in which an individual has a legitimate expectation of privacy. The U.S. Constitution generally requires law enforcement to obtain a warrant prior to conducting a search. There are, however, limited exceptions to the warrant requirement, including the following types of searches:

a) Consent to search – permission given to a law enforcement officer to search a person, vehicle or structure by one who has the legal right to do so;

b) Exigent circumstances –A compelling urgency or true emergency that an officer can specifically describe not using vague terms or boilerplate language. Circumstances that cause a reasonable person to believe that prompt action is necessary to prevent injury to himself/herself or others.

c) Incident to arrest – a search that takes place during or immediately after a physical arrest of a person who will be booked;

d) Inventory – an administrative search conducted to itemize and identify property for safe- keeping;

e) Plain view – if an officer sees an item that is immediately recognizable as contraband and they are in a place they have a legal right to be when the viewing is made, the item can be seized;

f) Pat down/frisk - An external examination of the outer garments of an individual for the purpose of ensuring the individual does not possess any weapons. A pat down may only be performed when it is based on an officer's reasonable suspicion that the person is armed and dangerous and must be limited to what is necessary to detect weapons

g) Vehicle Exception - Officers may search a vehicle without a search warrant if they have probable cause to believe that evidence or contraband is in the vehicle. The scope of the search is limited to only the area that the officers have probable cause to search.

Stop –A brief, minimally intrusive detention of a subject, including pedestrians, bikers, and/or the occupants of a vehicle, during which a reasonable person in the subject's position would not feel free to leave, as defined in Terry v. Ohio, 392 U.S. 1 (1968).

Investigatory Stop – The temporary involuntary detention and questioning of a person and/or vehicle and its occupants to investigate potential criminal conduct. To conduct an investigatory stop, the officer must have reasonable suspicion that the individual or vehicle occupant has engaged, is engaging, or is about to engage in criminal conduct.

Vehicle stop—The involuntary detention of a motor vehicle and its occupants. Vehicle stops may be conducted (1) where there is probable cause to believe that the driver has committed a traffic violation or (2) where there is reasonable suspicion that a vehicle occupant has engaged, is engaging, or is about to engage in criminal conduct.

Stop result – A stop may end in any of the six manners listed below:
a) No action – the stop ends with no enforcement action taken by the officer;
b) Warning – the stop ends in only a verbal warning by the officer;
c) Citation – the stop ends with the subject receiving a citation;
d) Summons – the stop ends with the subject receiving a summons in lieu of a physical arrest;
e) Arrest – the stop ends with the subject in the physical custody of the officer awaiting booking into a jail facility; or
f) LEAD – (Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion) the stop ends with the subject, who could have been charged with a misdemeanor, booked into jail or issued a summons, and referred for prosecution, engaged instead by LEAD program staff (a program coordinator and case management team) working with the City's Health Department and a local service provider.

Event type – Field interview cards can be categorized into the different event types that describe the initial reason for the interaction. The event types available on the FIC are listed below:

a) Call for service – the officer is dispatched by the Orleans Parish Communications District;
b) Citizen contact – the officer initiates a duty-related conversation with a person;

c) Criminal violation – the officer observes a violation of law other than a traffic violation;

d) Flagged down – a person gains the officer's attention to report a problem or observation;

e) Juvenile violation – the officer observes a juvenile violating the law;

f) Present at crime scene – while on the scene of a crime, the officer initiates a duty-related conversation with a person;

g) Subject person – the officer initiates a duty related conversation with a person who is a subject in a criminal violation;

h) Subject vehicle – the officer initiates a duty related conversation with a person who is in a vehicle that is present or involved in a criminal violation;

i) Traffic violation – the officer observes a violation of a traffic offense; and

j) Other – any other stop or detention by an officer.

Relevant policies

The following approved policies govern NOPD's actions with respect to stops, searches, and arrests:

- Search and Seizure – Chapter 1.2.4
- Terry Stops and Investigatory Stops – Chapter 1.2.4.1
- Search Warrant Consent Forms and Reviews – Chapter 1.2.4.2
- Vehicle Stops – Chapter 1.2.4.3
- Traffic Citations – Chapter 61.3
- Handcuffing and Restraint Devices – Chapter 1.3.1.1
- Evidence and Property – Chapter 84.1
- Arrests – Chapter 1.9
- Miranda Rights –Chapter 1.9.1
- Arrest Warrant Wanted Persons – Chapter 1.9.2
- Alternatives to Arrest – Chapter 1.2.6
- Alternatives to Arrest – Sobering Center – Chapter 1.2.7
- Field Interview Cards – Chapter 41.12
- Juveniles – Chapter 44.2
- Juvenile Warning Notice and Summons – Chapter 44.3
- Temporary Custody of Juveniles – Chapter 44.1.4
- Bias Free Policing – Chapter 41.13
- Other related policies such as Interactions with LGBTQ Persons – Chapter 41.13.1

NOPD policies are available at nola.gov/nopd/policies

Year-to-Year comparisons

As NOPD develops new policies and updates forms, the protocols governing stops, searches, and arrests may change. As a result, the underlying data and what they represent will change as well. This may present difficulty in conducting direct comparisons between 2022 and prior years, or subsequent years. Nevertheless, many comparisons between the 2016 through 2022 data are given below. Specific to updates to the Field Interview Card (FIC) form, NOPD made the following changes in March 2021:

- "Plain View" and "Plain Smell" could no longer be documented as the sole basis for the search and instead were categorized as "relevant factors"
- Added a Limited English Proficiency section to document when a subject has LEP and if so what language they speak and how the officer provided interpretation services.
- Added a restraint section to require officers to document when a subject is restrained, how they were restrained, and the justification for the restraints.

And in February 2018, NOPD made the following changes to the FIC:

- Added a vehicle search section to separate the documentation of searches of people and vehicles
- Added a pat down section to separate the documentation of pat downs from other searches
- Required demographics for passengers
- Added additional fields for consent searches to document when consent was requested, whether it was given, and if a consent search form was completed
- Added additional fields for strip and cavity searches to document the type of search requested, the officer who requested the search, whether a supervisor approved the request, and the supervisor who approved/disapproved the request
- Added a text box for related item numbers
- Added Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion (LEAD) as an option in the result section

District Attorney Acceptance Rates

In 2022, the district attorney declined to prosecute the charges for 12 (0.2%) of the 6,067 arrests made by NOPD because of the officer's or an NOPD employee's actions. The rate was 0.1% (7/6,606) in 2021 and 0.1% (8/6,765) in 2020. Regarding the 2022 arrests, the DA used "Incomplete Police Investigation" for five, "No probable cause for arrest" for three, Unlawful search no warrant" for two, and "Law Enforcement Issue" for two. NOPD developed a tracking system to ensure such refusals are addressed. As part of the process, NOPD supervisors work with the district attorney's office to understand the reasons for the refusals and then craft an appropriate response to the employee's actions. NOPD's Professional Standards and Accountability Bureau recently took sole responsibility to track these refusals and to ensure they are addressed appropriately.

Allegations of Bias

Misconduct complaints involving discrimination are investigated and assessed according to Chapter 41.13 – Bias Free Policing and other related policies such as Chapter 41.13.1 – Interactions with LGBTQ Persons. A complaint is any allegation of misconduct committed by any NOPD employee that is reported by any person, including any NOPD employee. Table 1 below shows one allegation of discrimination or bias was sustained between 2015 and 2022. The employee resigned while under investigation.

Table 1: Allegations of Bias by Disposition and Year

Disposition	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Sustained	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Pending (under investigation)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Exonerated	5	8	0	1	1	0	0	0
Not sustained	4	5	2	4	3	3	3	1
No formal investigation merited	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
Unfounded	23	16	25	21	12	8	7	5
DI-2 (Counseling)	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Cancelled	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0
Total	**33**	**30**	**29**	**26**	**17**	**14**	**10**	**6**

*For definitions of allegation dispositions, see Chapter 26.2: Adjudication of Misconduct, available at nola.gov/nopd/policies.

The number of discrimination and bias-based allegations over the past eight years has seen a gradual decline from 33 in 2015 to 6 in 2022. Over the same time period, NOPD has made a concerted effort toward transparency and public awareness of the processes to file complaints of NOPD misconduct, as well as how to submit commendations for outstanding examples of police work. Placards, brochures, and forms detailing the complaint and commendation process have been made available to each District Station, NOPD Headquarters, City Hall, the office of the Independent Police Monitor, and New Orleans' public libraries. This information has been transcribed in English, Spanish, and Vietnamese to provide all New Orleans residents and visitors a way to contact the NOPD regarding positive and/or negative experiences.

It is also worth noting that the majority of allegations of discrimination and bias-based policing receive a final disposition of "Unfounded." According to NOPD policy, the Unfounded disposition is used in cases in which "the investigation determines, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the alleged misconduct did not occur or did not involve the subject employee." The disposition "Not sustained" means the investigator or hearing officer was unable to determine, by a preponderance of the evidence, whether alleged misconduct occurred.

PIB investigates allegations of criminal misconduct against NOPD officers and civilian employees, and

PIB shares the investigation of violations of administrative regulations with first-line supervisors. In order to hold first-line supervisors accountable and ensure their involvement in complaints against their subordinates, many administrative complaints are forwarded to division commanders through their bureau chiefs for investigation. These investigations are reviewed through that bureau's chain of command, then ultimately by PIB and the Superintendent of Police. To learn more about NOPD's misconduct complaint process, or the nature of NOPD complaints in previous years, you can find the complaint data and annual reports at nola.gov/nopd/nopd-consent- decree

Relevant Geographic Area

All data presented in this report and used for analysis are confined to Orleans Parish. Figure 1 shows a neighborhood reference map of New Orleans.[1]

Figure 1 - Neighborhoods in New Orleans

---

[1] New Orleans and Orleans Parish refer to the same geographic area.

Field Interview Card Analysis

NOPD officers are required to complete field interview cards (FICs) when they conduct self-initiated investigatory stops and searches. For a complete list of scenarios that require FICs see Chapter 41.12 Field Interview Cards (available at nola.gov/nopd/policies). In 2022, NOPD completed 12,051 FICs, a 44% decrease from the 21,363 FICs recorded in 2021, and a 70% decrease from the 40,489 completed in 2019. The increase in departures from NOPD likely explains the relatively low number of FICs. With fewer officers, NOPD prioritized answering calls for service over self-initiated activity like traffic stops and suspicious person stops, which require FICs. The map shown in Figure 2 depicts how these FICs were distributed throughout the city in 2022. The French Quarter had the highest number of FICs, with 1,660, which was exactly the same the previous year. The Central Business District came in second, with 1,580, down from 3,539 in 2021. Central City had the third highest number of FICs in the city, with 801, down from 2,099 in 2021. The French Quarter and the Central Business District have a high concentration of non-residents, including tourists and commuters. In 2022 officers indicated 52% of the subjects on FICs documenting interactions in the French Quarter and Central Business District lived in New Orleans and 82% lived in Louisiana. Figure 2 shows the distribution of FICs completed in 2022 by neighborhood.

Figure 2 – Percentage of All FICs created in each New Orleans neighborhood, 2022

Time of day of FICs

In 2022, the timing of FICs was fairly evenly distributed between midday (10-2pm, 19%) the afternoon (2-6pm, 19%), the evening (6-10pm, 19%), and midnight (10pm-2am, 20%). Officers completed the smallest portion of FICs in the morning (6-10am, 11%) and early morning hours (2-6am, 14%).

Figure 3 - Field interview cards in New Orleans by time of day, 2016-2022

Race/Ethnicity of FIC Subjects

Figure 4 gives the distribution of stops across races/ethnicities for 2016-2022. The distribution of stops across races/ethnicities in 2022 resembled the statistics of previous years. Black or African-American individuals represented 72% of all subjects documented on FICs, up from 68% in 2021. White individuals represented 25% of all subjects documented on FICs, down from 27% in 2021. "Hispanic" was removed from the race/ethnicity options on the FIC in February 2021. FICs documenting Asian, and American Indian and Alaskan Native individuals showed little to no change, remaining at about 1%, and <1%, respectively in 2015 through 2022. Instances of officers documenting people on FICs with unknown race ethnicity increased from consistently about 1% from 2015-2020 to 3.1% in 2021 and 2.7% in 2022. Although the portion of stops of Black or African-American individuals appears high, experts believe measures of resident population (i.e. census data) should not be used as a sole method of benchmarking the population at risk of being stopped. This is partly due to concerns that the census undercounts minorities, pedestrian and vehicular populations include a greater percentage of minorities than indicated by the census, a large portion of drivers are not residents, and officers are more likely to be in minority neighborhoods because a disproportionate number of calls for service come from minority neighborhoods.[2] In 2022, Officers indicated 66% of subjects documented on FICs lived in New Orleans. As stated earlier, this report is not designed to assess whether NOPD polices in a manner that is free of bias. See the bias-free annual reports available at nola.gov/nopd/nopd-consent-decree for such analyses.

[Figure 4 on next page]

---

[2] Analysis Group. 2005. Proposed Pedestrian and Motor Vehicle Stop Data Analyses Methodology Report. Los Angeles; Grogger and Ridgeway. 2006. Testing for Racial Profiling in Traffic Stops From Behind a Veil of Darkness. Journal of American Statistical Association, September 2006, Vol 101, No. 475 via The Rand Corporation;
Haberman et al. 2020. Developing an Analytical Framework for Assessing Bias-Free Policing in the City of Cincinnati, Preliminary Report. University of Cincinnati. Ch 5 Traffic Stop Analysis, External Benchmark Census Data, P40;
Police Strategies LLC. 2021. Demographic Disparity Analysis of Law Enforcement Data from the Spokane Police Department. Appendix C, The Problem with Population, P270.

Figure 4 – FIC Subjects in New Orleans by race/ethnicity of the subject, 2016-2022

Race/Ethnicity	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Black or African-American	65%	71%	74%	72%	71%	68%	72%
White	30%	24%	22%	23%	23%	27%	25%
Hispanic or Latinx	3.2%	2.9%	3.0%	3.2%	3.5%	0.6%	0.0%
Asian	0.9%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.8%	0.7%
Amer. Ind. or Alaskan Native	0.07%	0.08%	0.08%	0.10%	0.05%	0.10%	0.12%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pac. Islander	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.3%
Unknown Race/Ethnicity	0.8%	1.0%	1.0%	1.3%	0.9%	3.1%	2.7%

* "Hispanic" was removed from the FIC race dropdown menu in February 2021.

<u>Sex of FIC Subjects</u>

In 2022, males represented 68% of all subjects documented on FICs, a slight increase from 66% in 2021. Females represented 32% of all subjects documented on FICs, a slight decrease from 34% in 2021.

Figure 5 - FICs in New Orleans by sex of the subject, 2016-2022

Age of FIC Subjects

The percentage of stops that included young adult subjects (ages 18 to 24) remained the same in 2022 as in 2021 at 17 percent. In 2022, the largest portion of stopped subjects, 39%, were between the ages of 35 and 64. Subjects between the ages of 25 and 34 represented 30% of all stops in 2022. In 2018, NOPD began documenting the demographic information of passengers, as required by the Consent Decree, which increased the number and percentage of subjects aged 17 and under.

Figure 6 - FICs in New Orleans by age of the subject, 2016-2022

<u>FICs for all combinations of Race/Ethnicity, Sex, and Age</u>

Table 2 below provides the number and percentage of people who were documented on FICs in 2022 for every combination of race, sex, and age category. In 2022, the largest percentage, 19.7%, is for the group of people documented on FICs that were black or African-American, male, and between the ages of 35 and 64. It is important to note, as previously stated, that officers are required to document in the FIC the demographic information for all occupants in vehicles they stop. And, as also previously stated, experts do not recommend comparing statistics for police stops to measures of resident population (i.e. census data).

Table 2: FICs for each Race, Sex, and Age Category, 2022

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%
Black	Male	≤12	109	0.8%
Black	Male	13-17	506	3.5%
Black	Male	18-24	1,368	9.5%
Black	Male	25-34	2,012	14.0%
Black	Male	35-64	2,830	19.7%
Black	Male	65+	235	1.6%
Black	Male	Unknown	3	0.0%
Black	Female	≤12	86	0.6%
Black	Female	13-17	173	1.2%
Black	Female	18-24	570	4.0%
Black	Female	25-34	1,110	7.7%
Black	Female	35-64	1,216	8.5%
Black	Female	65+	83	0.6%
Black	Female	Unknown	1	0.0%
Black	Unknown	≤12	-	0.0%
Black	Unknown	13-17	-	0.0%
Black	Unknown	18-24	1	0.0%
Black	Unknown	25-34	1	0.0%
Black	Unknown	35-64	-	0.0%
Black	Unknown	65+	-	0.0%
Black	Unknown	Unknown	-	0.0%
White	Male	≤12	17	0.1%
White	Male	13-17	37	0.3%
White	Male	18-24	276	1.9%
White	Male	25-34	661	4.6%
White	Male	35-64	1,191	8.3%
White	Male	65+	149	1.0%
White	Male	Unknown	-	0.0%
White	Female	≤12	15	0.1%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%
White	Female	13-17	31	0.2%
White	Female	18-24	188	1.3%
White	Female	25-34	337	2.3%
White	Female	35-64	540	3.8%
White	Female	65+	78	0.5%
White	Female	Unknown	-	0.0%
White	Unknown	≤12	-	0.0%
White	Unknown	13-17	-	0.0%
White	Unknown	18-24	-	0.0%
White	Unknown	25-34	-	0.0%
White	Unknown	35-64	9	0.1%
White	Unknown	65+	-	0.0%
White	Unknown	Unknown	-	0.0%
Hispanic	Male	≤12	-	0.0%
Hispanic	Male	13-17	-	0.0%
Hispanic	Male	18-24	-	0.0%
Hispanic	Male	25-34	-	0.0%
Hispanic	Male	35-64	-	0.0%
Hispanic	Male	65+	-	0.0%
Hispanic	Male	Unknown	-	0.0%
Hispanic	Female	≤12	-	0.0%
Hispanic	Female	13-17	-	0.0%
Hispanic	Female	18-24	-	0.0%
Hispanic	Female	25-34	-	0.0%
Hispanic	Female	35-64	-	0.0%
Hispanic	Female	65+	-	0.0%
Hispanic	Female	Unknown	-	0.0%
Hispanic	Unknown	≤12	-	0.0%
Hispanic	Unknown	13-17	-	0.0%
Hispanic	Unknown	18-24	-	0.0%
Hispanic	Unknown	25-34	-	0.0%
Hispanic	Unknown	35-64	-	0.0%
Hispanic	Unknown	65+	-	0.0%
Hispanic	Unknown	Unknown	-	0.0%
Asian	Male	≤12	1	0.0%
Asian	Male	13-17	1	0.0%
Asian	Male	18-24	12	0.1%
Asian	Male	25-34	10	0.1%
Asian	Male	35-64	37	0.3%
Asian	Male	65+	4	0.0%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%
Asian	Male	Unknown	-	0.0%
Asian	Female	≤12	-	0.0%
Asian	Female	13-17	1	0.0%
Asian	Female	18-24	7	0.0%
Asian	Female	25-34	7	0.0%
Asian	Female	35-64	16	0.1%
Asian	Female	65+	5	0.0%
Asian	Female	Unknown	1	0.0%
Asian	Unknown	≤12	1	0.0%
Asian	Unknown	13-17	-	0.0%
Asian	Unknown	18-24	-	0.0%
Asian	Unknown	25-34	-	0.0%
Asian	Unknown	35-64	-	0.0%
Asian	Unknown	65+	-	0.0%
Asian	Unknown	Unknown	-	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	≤12	-	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	13-17	-	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	18-24	1	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	25-34	4	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	35-64	6	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	65+	1	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	Unknown	-	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	≤12	-	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	13-17	-	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	18-24	1	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	25-34	3	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	35-64	1	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	65+	-	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	Unknown	-	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	≤12	-	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	13-17	-	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	18-24	-	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	25-34	-	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	35-64	-	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	65+	-	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	Unknown	-	0.0%
NHPI*	Male	≤12	-	0.0%
NHPI*	Male	13-17	2	0.0%
NHPI*	Male	18-24	4	0.0%
NHPI*	Male	25-34	9	0.1%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%
NHPI*	Male	35-64	10	0.1%
NHPI*	Male	65+	1	0.0%
NHPI*	Male	Unknown	-	0.0%
NHPI*	Female	≤12	-	0.0%
NHPI*	Female	13-17	-	0.0%
NHPI*	Female	18-24	1	0.0%
NHPI*	Female	25-34	6	0.0%
NHPI*	Female	35-64	3	0.0%
NHPI*	Female	65+	-	0.0%
NHPI*	Female	Unknown	-	0.0%
NHPI*	Unknown	≤12	-	0.0%
NHPI*	Unknown	13-17	-	0.0%
NHPI*	Unknown	18-24	-	0.0%
NHPI*	Unknown	25-34	-	0.0%
NHPI*	Unknown	35-64	-	0.0%
NHPI*	Unknown	65+	-	0.0%
NHPI*	Unknown	Unknown	-	0.0%
Unknown	Male	≤12	12	0.1%
Unknown	Male	13-17	6	0.0%
Unknown	Male	18-24	45	0.3%
Unknown	Male	25-34	86	0.6%
Unknown	Male	35-64	115	0.8%
Unknown	Male	65+	14	0.1%
Unknown	Male	Unknown	-	0.0%
Unknown	Female	≤12	3	0.0%
Unknown	Female	13-17	1	0.0%
Unknown	Female	18-24	14	0.1%
Unknown	Female	25-34	33	0.2%
Unknown	Female	35-64	30	0.2%
Unknown	Female	65+	2	0.0%
Unknown	Female	Unknown	-	0.0%
Unknown	Unknown	≤12	11	0.1%
Unknown	Unknown	13-17	3	0.0%
Unknown	Unknown	18-24	7	0.0%
Unknown	Unknown	25-34	4	0.0%
Unknown	Unknown	35-64	-	0.0%
Unknown	Unknown	65+	2	0.0%
Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	1	0.0%

*Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander

In 2022, the largest portion of all subjects on FICs (47%) were pedestrians (up from 27% in 2021 and returning to a proportion similar to 2020). Drivers represented 42% of all FICs (down from 62% in 2021), and vehicle passengers represented the remaining 11% (similar to 2021, 2020, and 2018). The increase in departures and the resulting focus on calls for service, as opposed to self-initiated stops, likely explains the change in subject types from 2021 to 2022. In 2018, NOPD began documenting the demographic information of passengers, as required by the Consent Decree, which affected the percentage of passengers recorded on FICs.

Figure 7 - FICs in New Orleans by subject type, 2016-2022

20

FICs by Race/Ethnicity of the Officer

For the purposes of this analysis, only officers listed as the primary officer on the FIC were considered. The percentage of FICs completed by Black or African-American officers was 43% in 2022 and 2021. The percentage FICs completed by White officers was 45% in 2022, down from 47% in 2021. The demographic makeup of patrol officers, who produce the vast majority of FICs, can change over time as officers transition into and out of patrol assignments; this may contribute to the changes in the demographic distribution of officers completing FICs. The percentage of FICs completed by Hispanic or Latinx officers doubled from 2016 to 2018, increasing from 4% to 8%, and remained at about 8% through 2022. From 2016 to 2019 the percentage of Hispanic or Latinx officers at NOPD grew from 3% to 4%, and then to 5% in 2020 through 2022. The percentage of Black or African-American officers at NOPD decreased from 57% in 2016 to 53% in 2021 and was 55% in 2022. The percentage of white officers at NOPD increased from 38% in 2016 to 40% in 2020 and slightly decreased to 39% in 2021 and 37% in 2022.

Figure 8 - Field interview cards in New Orleans by race/ethnicity of the officer, 2016-2022

*Data includes officers listed as primary officers on Field Interview Cards. Secondary officers are not included. FICs with data entry errors and those created by officers that have not specified their race/ethnicity are given as Unknown Race/Ethnicity.

Field interview cards by sex of the officer

Male officers accounted for 87% of all FICs in 2021, while female officers accounted for 12 percent. In 2022, 87% of NOPD officers were male and 12% were female.

Figure 9 - Field interview cards in New Orleans by sex of the officer, 2016-2022

*Data includes officers listed as primary officers on Field Interview Cards. Secondary officers are not included. FICs with mistyped employee IDs are given as Unknown Sex.

Field interview cards by age of the officer

From 2016-2022, the largest portion of stops (41%) were conducted by officers between the ages of 25 and 34. NOPD's focused recruitment efforts have brought many younger officers into the Department and undoubtedly contributed to this increase. While officers between the age of 25 and 34 make up 20% of the Department's officers, they are also most likely to be patrol officers, putting them in direct contact with residents and guests of New Orleans and, thus, more likely to complete FICs. While officers in the range of 35-44 years old make up a larger percentage (33%) of the department than officers in the 25-34 range, they are more likely to have moved to specialized, non-patrol units or into supervisory roles, making it less likely that they would initiate FICs.

Figure 10 - Field interview cards in New Orleans by age of the officer, 2016-2022

*Data includes officers listed as primary officers on Field Interview Cards. Secondary officers are not included. FICs with mistyped employee IDs are given as Unknown Age.

Field interview cards by event type

FICs were most often completed during traffic violations, which accounted for 45% of all FICs in 2022, down from 70% in 2021 and 55% in 2020. The next most frequent event type was "call for service" at 31%, followed by "suspect person" at 10% in 2022, and "criminal violation" at 6% in 2022. The "other" event type is used by officers when they do not believe the event type options available to them fit the scenario they are documenting. Some examples of such scenarios are walk-ins who believe they are wanted for a crime, courtesy rides, prisoner transports, and medical contacts.

Figure 11 - Field interview cards in New Orleans by event type, 2016-2022

*Public Safety Ride became an option on the FIC in June 2022.

Stops by event type and race/ethnicity of subject

In 2022, the distribution of event types, or the initial reason for the interaction, was similar for Black or African-American and white subjects. 42% of stops of black or African-American subjects began when the officer observed a traffic violation and 56% of stops of white subjects began the same way.

Table 3: Event Type and Race of Subject, 2022

	#	TV	CFS	CC	CV	FD	JV	PCS	SP	SV	PSR	O
Black or African-American	10,304	42%	32%	1%	6%	2%	0%	0%	12%	2%	0%	3%
White	3,529	56%	27%	1%	5%	2%	0%	0%	5%	1%	1%	2%
Asian	103	76%	19%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	1%	2%	0%
Amer. Ind. or Alaskan Native	17	65%	24%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	36	75%	19%	0%	0%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Unknown Race/Ethnicity	389	66%	21%	1%	2%	2%	0%	0%	5%	3%	1%	1%

*TF=Traffic Violation, CFS=Call for Service, CC=Citizen Contact, CV=Criminal Violation, FD=Flagged Down, JV=Juvenile Violation, PCS=Present at Crime Scene, SP=Suspect Person, SV=Suspect Vehicle, PSR=Public Safety Ride, O=Other

Stops by event type and sex of subject

In 2022, 60% of stops of female subjects began as traffic violations, while 40% of stops of male subjects began the same way.

Table 4: Event type and Sex of Subject, 2022

	#	TV	CFS	CC	CV	FD	JV	PCS	SP	SV	PSR	O
Female	4,563	60%	27%	1%	4%	1%	0%	0%	3%	1%	1%	2%
Male	9,775	40%	32%	1%	7%	2%	0%	0%	13%	2%	0%	3%
Unknown Sex	40	63%	17%	0%	0%	3%	0%	0%	3%	13%	0%	3%

*TF=Traffic Violation, CFS=Call for Service, CC=Citizen Contact, CV=Criminal Violation, FD=Flagged Down, JV=Juvenile Violation, PCS=Present at Crime Scene, SP=Suspect Person, SV=Suspect Vehicle, PSR=Public Safety Ride, O=Other

Stops by event type and age of subject

In 2022, the distribution of event types for subjects ages 18-24, 25-34, and 35-64 were similar. Chapter 41.12 – Field Interview Cards requires NOPD officers to document the apparent demographics of all passengers in vehicles that have been stopped, which affected the portion of subjects aged less than or equal to 12, 65 or greater, and those of unknown age.

Table 5: Event type and Age of Subject, 2022

	#	TV	CFS	CC	CV	FD	JV	PCS	SP	SV	PSR	O
≤12 Yrs	255	65%	21%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	6%	3%	1%	1%
13-17	761	18%	43%	1%	7%	1%	4%	0%	20%	3%	0%	3%
18-24	2,495	45%	23%	1%	7%	1%	0%	0%	15%	3%	0%	4%
25-34	4,283	47%	30%	1%	6%	2%	0%	0%	10%	2%	0%	2%
35-64	6,004	48%	32%	1%	5%	2%	0%	0%	7%	1%	1%	2%
65+	574	58%	26%	2%	4%	3%	0%	0%	4%	1%	1%	1%
Unknown Age	6	67%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	17%	0%	0%	0%

*TV=Traffic Violation, CFS=Call for Service, CC=Citizen Contact, CV=Criminal Violation, FD=Flagged Down, JV=Juvenile Violation, PCS=Present at Crime Scene, SP=Suspect Person, SV=Suspect Vehicle, PSR=Public Safety Ride, O=Other

[Intentionally left blank]

In 2022, the most common stop result documented on FICs was "verbal warning" (27% of all stop results). The percentage of stop results that were "citation" decreased from 26% in 2021 to 17% in 2022. The percentage of stop results that were "no action taken" increased from 18% in 2021 to 25% in 2022. In January 2018, "Summons Issued" became a stop result option on the FIC. A summons is a citation in lieu of a physical arrest and booking. Prior to "Summons Issued" being an option on the FIC, officers documented summonses as physical arrests on FICs.

Figure 12 - FICs in New Orleans by stop result, 2016-2022

*Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion (LEAD) and Summons Issued became options in the Stop Result section of the FIC in January 2018.

FICs by stop results and neighborhood

The three neighborhoods with the highest number of stops in 2022 were the French Quarter (1,660), followed by the Central Business District (1,580), and Central City (801). In 2021 there were Central Business District (3,539), followed by Central City (2,099), and the French Quarter (1,660). The two neighborhoods that accounted for the fourth and fifth highest numbers of stops in 2022 were Little Woods (761) and Lakeview (670). In 2021 they were Lakeview (1,561) and Behrman (1,094). The French Quarter and the Central Business District have a high concentration of non-residents, including tourists and commuters. As mentioned above, experts believe measures of resident population (i.e. census data) should not be used as a sole method of benchmarking the population at risk of being stopped.[3] See the appendix for demographic data for each New Orleans neighborhood.

Stop results varied considerably across neighborhoods. Below, the top three neighborhoods are ranked for each of the six stop result categories by (a) the total number of stops in each category, and (b) the percentage of total stops within each neighborhood in each category.

Stops Ending with No Action

With respect to the total number of stops that ended in no action, the following neighborhoods ranked in the top three: the Central Business District (432), the French Quarter (431), and Central City (225). The top three neighborhoods the previous year were the same.

With respect to the percentage of total stops within each neighborhood that ended in no action, the following neighborhoods ranked in the top three: the Florida Development (67% of 3 stops), East Riverside (50% of 16 stops), the Florida Area (48% of 40 stops).

Stops Ending with a Verbal Warning

With respect to the total number of stops that ended in a verbal warning, the following neighborhoods ranked in the top three: Lakeview (517), the Central Business District (459), and the French Quarter (228). The top three in 2021 were the Central Business District, Lakeview, and Central City.

With respect to the percentage of total stops within each neighborhood that ended in a verbal warning, the top three were: Lakeview (77% of 670 stops), Westend (75% of 104 stops), and Navarre (74% of 54 stops).

Stops Ending with a Citation

---

[3] Analysis Group. 2005. Proposed Pedestrian and Motor Vehicle Stop Data Analyses Methodology Report. Los Angeles; Grogger and Ridgeway. 2006. Testing for Racial Profiling in Traffic Stops From Behind a Veil of Darkness. Journal of American Statistical Association, September 2006, Vol 101, No. 475 via The Rand Corporation; Haberman et al. 2020. Developing an Analytical Framework for Assessing Bias-Free Policing in the City of Cincinnati, Preliminary Report. University of Cincinnati. Ch 5 Traffic Stop Analysis, External Benchmark Census Data, P40; Police Strategies LLC. 2021. Demographic Disparity Analysis of Law Enforcement Data from the Spokane Police Department. Appendix C, The Problem with Population, P270

With respect to the total number of stops that ended in a citation, the following neighborhoods ranked in the top three: Little Woods (454), the French Quarter (359) and the Central Business District (335). The top three in 2021 were the Central Business District, Central City, and Behrman.

With respect to the percentage of total stops within each neighborhood that ended in a citation, the top three neighborhoods were as follows: Little Woods (59% of 761 stops), Viavant/Venetian Isles (56% of 338 stops), and Pines Village (54% of 224 stops).

Stops Ending with a Summons

With respect to the total number of stops that ended in a summons, the following neighborhoods ranked in the top three: the French Quarter (224), the Central Business District (123), and Central City (106). The same neighborhoods were the top three in 2021.

With respect to the percentage of total stops within each neighborhood that ended in a summons, the top three neighborhoods are as follows: Black Pearl (55% of 11 stops), Gentilly Woods (28% of 65 stops), and the U.S. Naval Base (21% of 72 stops).

Stops Ending with a Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion (LEAD)

With respect to the total number of stops that ended in a LEAD, eight occurred in five neighborhoods: the Central Business District (2), the French Quarter (2), Central City (2), Mid-city (1), and the Seventh Ward (1). In 2021, two occurred, one in Central Business District and one in St. Claude.

With respect to the percentage of total stops within each neighborhood that ended in a LEAD, the five neighborhoods are as follows: Central City (0.25% of 801 stops), the Seventh Ward (0.19% of 515 stops), Mid-city (0.18% of 546 stops), the Central Business District (0.12% of 1,580 stops), and the French Quarter (0.12% of 1,660 stops).

Stops Ending with an Arrest

With respect to the total number of stops that ended in an arrest, the following neighborhoods ranked in the top three: the French Quarter (434), the Central Business District (268), and Central City (236). The same three neighborhoods were the top three in 2021.

With respect to the percentage of total stops within each neighborhood that ended in an arrest, the rankings for the top three neighborhoods were as follows: Lake Terrace & Oaks (78% of 9 stops), St. Anthony (59% of 36 stops), and Filmore (55% of 34 stops).

FICs by stop results and race/ethnicity of the subject

The distribution of stop results within races/ethnicities varied in 2022. 27% of stops of Black or African-American individuals ended in arrest in 2022, while 14% of stops of white individuals ended in arrest. 23% of stops of Black or African-American individuals ended in a verbal warning in 2022, and 37% of stops of white individuals ended in a verbal warning.

Table 6: FICs in New Orleans by stop result and race/ethnicity of the subject, 2022

	#	Citation Issued	Verbal Warning	Physical Arrest	No Action Taken	Summons Issued	L.E.A.D
Black or African-American	10,487	17%	23%	27%	25%	8%	0.08%
White	3,568	16%	37%	14%	26%	8%	0.00%
Asian	103	26%	38%	5%	26%	5%	0.00%
Amer. Ind. or Alaskan Native	17	24%	41%	18%	12%	6%	0.00%
Nat. Hawaiian or Other Pac. Islander	36	31%	36%	8%	17%	8%	0.00%
Unknown Race/Ethnicity	395	29%	21%	12%	33%	6%	0.00%

*Officers can choose more than one stop result for each subject on the FIC. This table counts each stop result chosen. A subject will be counted more than once if the officer chose more than one stop result for them.

Stops by stop result and sex of the subject

The distributions of stop results within sexes were similar in 2022. The biggest difference was 27% of stops of male subjects resulted in arrest compared to 15% of stops of female subjects.

[Table 7 on next page]

Table 7: FICs in New Orleans by stop result and sex of the subject, 2022

	#	Citation Issued	Verbal Warning	Physical Arrest	No Action Taken	Summons Issued	L.E.A.D
Female	4,624	20%	29%	15%	26%	9%	0.02%
Male	9,942	16%	26%	27%	24%	7%	0.07%
Unknown Sex	40	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0.00%

*Officers can choose more than one stop result for each subject on the FIC. This table counts each stop result chosen. A subject will be counted more than once if the officer chose more than one stop result for them.

Stops by stop result and age of the subject

The distribution of stop results within age groups varied in 2022. Two percent of stops of subjects aged 13-17 ended in citations, compared to 19% of stops of subjects aged 25-34, 35-64, and 65+. About 41% of stops of subjects aged 13-17 resulted in arrest in 2022.

Table 8: FIC results in New Orleans by age of the subject, 2022

Subject Age Category	#	Citation Issued	Verbal Warning	Physical Arrest	No Action Taken	Summons Issued	L.E.A.D
<=12 Yrs	259	4%	9%	7%	78%	1%	0.00%
13-17	769	2%	21%	41%	35%	1%	0.00%
18-24	2,534	16%	24%	26%	27%	6%	0.00%
25-34	4,362	19%	25%	24%	23%	8%	0.07%
35-64	6,094	19%	29%	21%	22%	10%	0.05%
65+	582	19%	37%	9%	27%	7%	0.34%
Unknown Age	6	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	0.00%

*Officers can choose more than one stop result for each subject on the FIC. This table counts each stop result chosen. A subject will be counted more than once if the officer chose more than one stop result for them.

See [Appendix 2](#) for stop results for every combination of race, sex, and age group.

Percent of field interview cards indicating a search occurred

In 2022, a person or vehicle search occurred during 36% (4,341 of 12,051 FICs) of instances in which an FIC was reported. This is an increase from 2021 at 20% (4,180 of 21,363 FICS) of instances.

Figure 13 – Percent of field interview cards that indicate a search occurred, 2016-2022

*Person and vehicle searches included.

Total searches indicated on field interview cards

The number of searches indicated on FICs continued a downward trend from 25,782 in 2017 to 5,504 in 2021, and slightly increased in 2022 to 5,780.

Figure 14 – Total searches indicated on field interview cards, 2016-2022

<u>Total pat downs searches indicated on field interview cards</u>

The number of pat downs indicated on field interview cards in 2022 slightly increased to 1,639 from 1,303 in 2021, but remained much lower than 12,155 in 2017.

Figure 15 – Total pat downs indicated on field interview cards, 2016-2022

<u>Types of searches in 2022</u>

The most common searches documented on FICs in 2022 were searches incident to arrest (66%) and pat downs (28%). Searches conducted incident to arrest or as the result of a warrant are considered "non-discretionary," meaning NOPD policy dictates officers must perform searches. Similarly, searches documented as plain view also indicate the subject is most likely under arrest because the officer found contraband in plain view. Searches under exigent circumstances, by consent, and pat downs are considered "discretionary," meaning they are initiated by an officer. FICs indicate approximately 67% of all searches in 2022 were non-discretionary.[4] In 2018, NOPD began addressing the practice of officers incorrectly calling searches pat downs when they use an outer-garment search technique. A large portion of such searches are conducted after the subject is under arrest and are technically searches incident to arrest. This helps explain why the portion of searches that were pat downs generally decreased from 47% in 2017 to 26% in 2021, and the portion that were searches incident to arrest generally increased from 33% in 2017 to 64% in 2021. See appendix 3 for frequencies for all search types for all demographic segments.

---

[4] For the purposes of this analysis, search types Incident to Arrest, Inventory, Plain View, Warrant, and Plain Smell were considered non-discretionary; and search types Consent, Exigent Circumstances, Vehicle Exception, and Pat Down were considered discretionary.

Figure 16 - Searches in New Orleans by reason for search, 2016-2022

*Vehicle Exception and Plain Smell became options on the FIC in January 2018.

Discretionary Search rates of subjects

Searches conducted incident to arrest or as the result of a warrant are considered "non- discretionary," meaning NOPD policy dictates officers must perform searches. Similarly, searches documented as plain view also indicate the subject is most likely under arrest because the officer found contraband in plain view. Searches under exigent circumstances, by consent, and pat downs are considered "discretionary," meaning they are initiated by an officer. See appendix 3 for frequencies of all search types and demographic segments. FICs indicate that 13% of stopped subjects were searched with a discretionary search in 2022, up from 6% in 2021. Vehicle searches were not included in this analysis.

Table 9: Discretionary Search Rates, 2016-2022

	No Discretionary Search	Discretionary Search
2016	17,349 (79%)	4,634 (21%)
2017	43,015 (78%)	12,477 (22%)
2018	52,838 (88%)	7,018 (12%)

34

2019	47,990 (96%)	2,025 (4%)
2020	16,375 (92%)	1,457 (8%)
2021	23,368 (94%)	1,479 (6%)
2022	12,578 (87%)	1,800 (13%)

*NOPD implemented a supervisor approval process for FICs in May 2015. Supervisors review FICs for accuracy and completeness. This report shows data from FICs that have been approved by a supervisor.

FICs indicate officers conducted discretionary searches on 15% of the black or African-American subjects they stopped, and 7% of the white subjects they stopped in 2022. As shown in Figure 4 above, 72% of all subjects documented on FICs were black or African-American in 2022. See appendix 3 for frequencies of all search types and demographic segments. As stated before, this report is not designed to assess the extent to which NOPD polices in a bias-free manner. See the bias-free annual reports for such analyses.

Table 10: Discretionary Search Rates by Race of Subject 2022

	No Discretionary Search	Discretionary Search
Black or African-American	8,792 (85%)	1,512 (15%)
White	3,270 (93%)	259 (7%)
Asian	100 (97%)	3 (3%)
Amer. Ind. or Alaskan Native	17 (100%)	0 (0%)
Nat. Hawaiian or Other Pac. Islander	33 (92%)	3 (8%)
Unknown Race/Ethnicity	366 (94%)	23 (6%)

FICs indicate that officers conducted discretionary searches on 16% of the male subjects they stopped, and 4% of the female subjects they stopped in 2022.

Table 11: Discretionary Search Rates by Sex of Subject, 2022

	No Discretionary Search	Discretionary Search
Male	8,175 (84%)	1,600 (16%)
Female	4,363 (96%)	200 (4%)
Unknown Sex	40 (100%)	0 (0%)

FICs indicate that officers conducted discretionary searches on 19% of the subjects they stopped between the ages of 18 and 24, and 25% of the subjects they stopped between the ages of 13 and 17 in 2022.

Table 12: Discretionary Search Rates by Age of Subject, 2022

	No Discretionary Search	Discretionary Search
≤12 Yrs	246 (96%)	9 (4%)
13-17	571 (75%)	190 (25%)
18-24	2,030 (81%)	465 (19%)
25-34	3,786 (88%)	497 (12%)
35-64	5,411 (90%)	593 (10%)
65+	529 (92%)	45 (8%)
Unknown Age	5 (83%)	1 (17%)

See Appendix 3 for frequencies of all search types and demographic segments.

Evidence seized rates for Discretionary Searches

The FIC has a section for officers to indicate whether they seized evidence from individuals. It is important to note the FIC does not allow an officer to link evidence he/she seizes to an individual search. For the purposes of this analysis an FIC that indicates a discretionary search occurred and evidence was seized was considered to be a "hit" when it is possible the evidence was seized from a different non-discretionary search. In 2022, FICs indicate evidence was seized from 26% of the subjects that received discretionary searches. The rate evidence is seized has been relatively consistent since 2015 with a slight increase from 20% in 2021.

Table 13: Discretionary Searches and Evidence Seized Rates, 2016-2022

	Discretionary Search and:				
	No Evidence Seized	Evidence Seized	Weapon Seized	Drugs Seized	Other Evidence Seized
2016	3,811 (82%)	823 (18%)	242 (5%)	513 (11%)	194 (4%)
2017	9,843 (79%)	2,634 (21%)	697 (6%)	1,904 (15%)	458 (4%)
2018	5,595 (80%)	1,423 (20%)	356 (5%)	1,049 (15%)	257 (4%)
2019	1,646 (81%)	379 (19%)	165 (8%)	241 (12%)	70 (3%)
2020	1,214 (83%)	243 (17%)	102 (7%)	142 (10%)	53 (4%)
2021	1,189 (80%)	290 (20%)	151 (10%)	162 (11%)	51 (3%)
2022	1,334 (74%)	466 (26%)	392 (22%)	126 (7%)	49 (3%)

FICs indicate that officers seized contraband from 29% of the black or African-American subjects they searched with discretionary searches, and from 10% of the white subjects they searched with discretionary searches in 2022.

Table 14: Discretionary Searches and Evidence Seized by Race of Subject, 2022

	Discretionary Search and:				
	No Evidence Seized	Evidence Seized	Weapon Seized	Drugs Seized	Other Evidence Seized
Black or African-American	1,078 (71%)	434 (29%)	370 (24%)	117 (8%)	46 (3%)
White	233 (90%)	26 (10%)	18 (7%)	7 (3%)	2 (1%)
Asian	2 (67%)	1 (33%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1 (33%)
Amer. Ind. or Alaskan Native	-	-	-	-	-
Nat. Hawaiian or Other Pac. Islander	3 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Unknown Race/Ethnicity	18 (78%)	5 (22%)	4 (17%)	2 (9%)	0 (0%)

FICs indicate that officers found contraband on 28% of the male subjects they searched with discretionary searches and 13% of the female subjects they searched with discretionary searches in 2022.

Table 15: Discretionary Searches and Evidence Seized by Sex of Subject, 2022

Discretionary Search and:

	No Evidence Seized	Evidence Seized	Weapon Seized	Drugs Seized	Other Evidence Seized
Male	1,160 (73%)	440 (28%)	376 (24%)	115 (7%)	44 (3%)
Female	174 (87%)	26 (13%)	16 (8%)	11 (6%)	5 (3%)
Unknown Sex	-	-	-	-	-

FICs indicate that officers seized evidence from 42% of the subjects they searched with discretionary searches who were between the ages of 18 and 24 and from 25% of the subjects they searched with discretionary searches who were between the ages of 25 and 34 in 2022.

Table 16: Discretionary Searches and Evidence Seized by Age of Subject, 2022

	Discretionary Search and:				
	No Evidence Seized	Evidence Seized	Weapon Seized	Drugs Seized	Other Evidence Seized
≤12 Yrs	5 (56%)	4 (44%)	4 (44%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
13-17	143 (75%)	47 (25%)	43 (23%)	6 (3%)	6 (3%)
18-24	268 (58%)	197 (42%)	178 (38%)	51 (11%)	14 (3%)
25-34	372 (75%)	125 (25%)	111 (22%)	31 (6%)	8 (2%)
35-64	503 (85%)	90 (15%)	55 (9%)	37 (6%)	20 (3%)
65+	42 (93%)	3 (7%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
Unknown Age	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

Pat Down Search and Evidence Seized Rates by Race/Ethnicity

When officers have a legal reason to stop someone and they suspect the person is armed and dangerous, officers may conduct a pat down of the subject. When an officer conducts a pat down he/she/they search a person's outer garments with his/her/their open hands. A pat down is one type of discretionary search. In 2022, officers conducted a pat down on 13% of the black or African-American subjects they stopped and on 7% of the white subjects they stopped.

Table 17: Pat Down Rates by Race/Ethnicity of Subject, 2022

	No Pat Down	Pat Down
Black or African-American	8,924 (87%)	1,380 (13%)
White	3,297 (93%)	232 (7%)
Asian	101 (98%)	2 (2%)
Amer. Ind. or Alaskan Native	17 (100%)	0 (0%)
Nat. Hawaiian or Other Pac. Islander	33 (92%)	3 (8%)
Unknown Race/Ethnicity	367 (94%)	22 (6%)

During the course of a pat down, an officer may seize objects that appear to be a weapon or that he/she/they immediately recognize to be contraband. It is important to note the FIC does not allow an officer to link evidence he/she seizes to an individual search. For the purposes of this analysis an FIC that indicates a pat down occurred and a weapon was seized was considered to be a "hit" when it is possible the weapon was seized from a different search. In 2022, officers seized weapons from 26% of the black of African-American subjects they patted down and from 8% of the white subjects they patted down.

Table 18: Pat Down Weapon Seized Rates by Race/Ethnicity of Subject, 2022

	Pat Down and No Weapon Seized	Pat Down and Weapon Seized
Black or African-American	1,021 (74%)	359 (26%)
White	214 (92%)	18 (8%)
Asian	2 (100%)	0 (0%)
Amer. Ind. or Alaskan Native	-	-
Nat. Hawaiian or Other Pac. Islander	3 (100%)	0 (0%)
Unknown Race/Ethnicity	18 (82%)	4 (18%)

Searches by search type and neighborhood

The three neighborhoods with the most searches in 2022 were the French Quarter (857), the Central Business District (440), and Central City (403). The same top three as in 2021, 2020, and 2019. These are also the neighborhoods where the most evidence was seized (see the section Evidence seized by type and neighborhood for more details).

Search results varied considerably across neighborhoods. Below, the top three neighborhoods are ranked for three search type categories and by (a) the total number of searches in each category, and (b) the percentage of total searches within each neighborhood in each category.

To simplify the analysis, search types Consent, Inventory, Vehicle Exception, Exigent

Circumstances, Plain Smell, Plain View, and Warrant were categorized as Other Searches.

Incident to Arrest Searches

With respect to the total number of incident to arrest searches, the following neighborhoods were the top three: the French Quarter (501), the Central Business District (301), and Central City (262).

With respect to the percentage of total searches within each neighborhood categorized as incident to arrest, the following neighborhoods were the top three: Navarre (100% of 3 searches), the Irish Channel (89% of 19 searches), and Lake Terrace & Oaks (88% of 8 searches)

Pat Down Searches

With respect to the total number of pat down searches, the following neighborhoods were the top three: the French Quarter (341), Central City (129), and the Central Business District (97).

With respect to the percentage of total searches within each neighborhood that were categorized as pat downs, the top three neighborhoods were: Old Aurora (56% of 59 searches), Lakewood (50% of 2 searches), and Viavant/Venetian Isles (49% of 41 searches).

Other Searches

With respect to the total number of other searches (searches categorized as Consent, Inventory, Vehicle Exception, Exigent Circumstances, Plain Smell, Plain View, and Warrant), the following neighborhoods ranked in the top three: Central Business District (42), St. Roch (26), and the Seventh Ward (21).

With respect to the percentage of total searches within each neighborhood that were categorized as other searches (searches categorized as Consent, Inventory, Vehicle Exception, Exigent Circumstances, Plain Smell, Plain View, and Warrant), the top three neighborhoods were: Black Pearl (25% of 4 searches), Lake Catherine (20% of 5 searches), and Read Blvd. West (18% of 11 searches).

Searches by search type, race/ethnicity of the subject, and whether the subject was arrested

The table below provides the percent of subjects who received various types of searches broken out by race or ethnicity and whether the subject was arrested. In 2022, FICs indicate that 91% of black or African-American subjects who were arrested received searches incident to arrest, as did 91% of white subjects who were arrested. Officers can document more than one search type for each subject on the FIC.

Table 19: FIC subjects by race/ethnicity, whether the subject was arrested, and search type, 2022

	Arrested	# of Subjects	Incident to Arrest	Pat Down	Other

		# of Subjects	Incident to Arrest	Pat Down	Other
Black or African-American	No	7,493	4%	11%	2%
	Yes	2,811	91%	19%	6%
White	No	3,031	4%	6%	1%
	Yes	498	91%	11%	4%
Asian	No	98	0%	1%	1%
	Yes	5	100%	20%	0%
Amer. Ind. or Alaskan Native	No	14	0%	0%	0%
	Yes	3	100%	0%	0%
Nat. Hawaiian or Other Pac. Islander	No	33	3%	9%	0%
	Yes	3	100%	0%	0%
Unknown Race/Ethnicity	No	342	2%	5%	1%
	Yes	47	96%	9%	0%

*Other includes search types: Consent, Vehicle Exception, Exigent Circumstances, Inventory, Plain Smell, Plain View, and Warrant.

Searches by search type and sex of the subject

The table below provides the percent of subjects who received various types of searches broken out by sex and whether the subject was arrested. In 2022, FICs indicate that 84% of female subjects who were arrested received searches incident to arrest, while 93% of male subjects who were arrested received a search incident to arrest. Officers can document more than one search type for each subject on the FIC.

Table 20: FIC subjects by sex, whether the subject was arrested, and search type, 2022

	Arrested	# of Subjects	Incident to Arrest	Pat Down	Other
Female	No	3,855	2%	3%	1%
	Yes	708	84%	7%	6%
Male	No	7,116	5%	13%	3%
	Yes	2,659	93%	20%	5%
Unknown Sex	No	40	0%	0%	0%

*Other includes search types: Consent, Vehicle Exception, Exigent Circumstances, Inventory, Plain Smell, Plain View, and Warrant.

Searches by search type and age of the subject

The table below provides the percent of subjects who received various types of searches broken out by age category and whether the subject was arrested. In 2022, FICs indicate that 85% of subjects age 13-17 who were arrested received searches incident to arrest, while 94% of subjects age 18-24 who were

arrested received a search incident to arrest. Officers can document more than one search type for each subject on the FIC.

Table 21: FIC subjects by age category, whether the subject was arrested, and search type, 2022

	Arrested	# of Subjects	Incident to Arrest	Pat Down	Other
≤12	No	237	0%	2%	0%
	Yes	18	72%	22%	0%
13-17	No	447	4%	26%	4%
	Yes	314	85%	20%	4%
18-24	No	1,828	4%	13%	2%
	Yes	667	94%	31%	4%
25-34	No	3,219	4%	9%	2%
	Yes	1,064	91%	15%	6%
35-64	No	4,752	4%	8%	2%
	Yes	1,252	92%	12%	6%
65+	No	522	2%	6%	1%
	Yes	52	88%	15%	10%
Unknown Age	No	6	0%	17%	0%

*Other includes search types: Consent, Vehicle Exception, Exigent Circumstances, Inventory, Plain Smell, Plain View, and Warrant.

Total Arrests

In 2022, NOPD made about as many arrests as in 2021 and 2020 and about half as many arrests as in 2019, according to the Orleans Parish Sheriff's data. The stark decrease from 2019 to 2020 is most likely explained by the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic and the city's efforts to minimize the spread of the virus.

[Figure 17 on next page]

Figure 17 – Arrests, 2016-2022

Evidence seized by type

FICs indicate that weapons were the most common (54%) type of evidence seized in 2022. The percentage of weapons seized increased from 33% in 2021, while the number of drugs seized decreased from 47% in 2021 to 26% in 2022.

Figure 18 - Contraband found in New Orleans by type of contraband, 2016-2022

[Figure 18 on next page]

Figure 18 Data table – Contraband found in New Orleans by type of contraband, 2016-2022

Year	Weapons	Drugs	Other
2016	277	637	273
2017	784	2,355	579
2018	748	3,215	742
2019	635	2,410	625
2020	395	1,120	354
2021	480	690	300
2022	894	431	336

Evidence seized by type and neighborhood

The French Quarter had the highest number of evidence types seized during stops (377), followed by Central City (146), and the Central Business District (137). These neighborhoods represent approximately 41% of all evidence types seized throughout the City in 2022 (660 of 1,622).

Of the previously mentioned areas, weapons were 73% of the evidence types seized in the French Quarter, 42% in Central City, and 46% in the Central Business District. Drugs were 19% of evidence types seized in the French Quarter, 27% in Central City, and 24% and the Central Business District.

Evidence seized by type and race /ethnicity of the subject

In 2022, 25% of evidence seized from black or African-American individuals and 30% from white individuals was drugs. The percentage of evidence seized that was weapons was 56% from black or African-American individuals and 30% from white individuals. Comparisons to Asian, American Indian or Alaskan Native individuals, and those of unknown race/ethnicity are not reliable as they amount to 2% of all evidence seized in 2022.

Table 22: Evidence discovered by type and race/ethnicity of subject, 2022

	Total	Weapons	Drugs	Other
Black or African-American	1,479	56%	25%	19%
White	156	35%	30%	35%
Asian	5	40%	40%	20%
Amer. Ind. or Alaskan Native	0	-	-	-
Unknown Race/Ethnicity	21	52%	33%	14%

Evidence seized by type and sex of the subject

In 2022, FICs indicate that 26% of evidence seized from males was drugs, and 27% of evidence seized from females was drugs. The percentage of evidence seized that was weapons was 56% from males and 39% from females.

Table 23: Evidence found in New Orleans by type of evidence and sex of subject, 2022

	Total	Weapons	Drugs	Other
Female	191	39%	27%	34%
Male	1,470	56%	26%	18%

Evidence seized by type and age of the subject

In 2022, FICs indicate that 23% of evidence seized from subjects between the ages of 18 and 24 was drugs and it was 28% from subjects between the ages of 25-34. The percentage of evidence seized from subjects between the ages of 18 and 24 that was weapons was 67% and it was 55% from subjects between the ages of 25 and 34. Although evidence seized from subjects between the ages of 12 and under amounted to 0.4% of all evidence seized, 100% of evidence seized from such subjects was weapons.

Table 24: Evidence seized by type and age of subject, 2022

Subject Age Category	Total	Weapons	Drugs	Other
≤12 Yrs	6	100%	0%	0%
13-17	159	61%	17%	22%
18-24	497	67%	23%	11%
25-34	495	55%	28%	17%
35-64	485	37%	31%	32%
65+	19	32%	21%	47%

[Intentionally left blank]

Steps taken to correct problems and build on successes

The New Orleans Police Department has many programs and procedures to correct problems and build upon successes related to stops and searches. The section describes how in 2022 NOPD continued to: audit stops and searches and address the findings, document related non-disciplinary corrective action, conduct formal disciplinary investigations for related allegations, provide related dashboards to supervisors, and provide training to improve related performance.

Internal Auditing and Corrective Action

NOPD conducts stops, searches, and arrests (SSA) auditing. The audit is designed to ensure that all stops, searches, and arrests are consistent with NOPD policy and constitutional law, are documented appropriately, that documentation is complete and accurate, and that stops, searches, and arrests are carried out with fairness and respect. Auditors review video, reports, and other documentation for every incident in the audit sample. The most recent audit covered June of 2021 through May of 2022 and the audit report is available at https://nola.gov/nopd/nopd-consent-decree.

The audit results are shared with the auditees. Supervisors are required to address audit findings through additional training, non-disciplinary corrective action (redirection and counselling), and formal disciplinary action as appropriate to the deficiencies. NOPD's Public Integrity Bureau reviews non-disciplinary corrective action to determine whether formal discipline is required.

In 2022, the department continued implementing the corrective action plan it created in response to the May 2020 SSA audit and that it expanded in response to the May 2021 SSA audit. The plan was designed to address the key findings of the audit, which were compliance scores of less than 95% for the following criteria:

- FIC Exists if Required
- FIC Submitted on Time
- FIC Reviewed on Time
- Report documents a valid legal basis for each search
- Report documents a valid legal basis for each pat down
- Reasonable suspicion or probable cause exists for each stop
- Report documents reasonable suspicion or probable cause for each stop
- Videos and reports are consistent
- Report documents the reason for handcuffing
- Miranda Given if Required

The corrective action plan included inspections conducted by supervisors in the Field Operations Bureau (FOB). The inspections required supervisors to complete an abridged version of the SSA audit form, while reviewing law enforcement incidents. The inspection questions focused on the key deficiencies identified in the SSA audit, listed above. This drew supervisors' attention to SSA-related deficiencies,

made their reviews more thorough, and required them to take corrective action when the inspection found non-compliance. In 2022, supervisors conducted 108 written redirections, 79 written counseling sessions, 68 verbal redirections, 48 roll calls, initiated 10 formal disciplinary investigations, and conducted 39 other corrective actions as result of the SSA inspections. At the onset of the program, FOB staff conducted multiple trainings with supervisors and their command to ensure inspections were completed accurately and responded to appropriately. In 2022, FOB conducted 3,568 inspections and compliance scores continued to improve. NOPD's June 2022 SSA audit, the most recently audit as of the authoring of this report, generally found higher compliance rates than the May 2021 SSA audit.

Notify PSS

NOPD's Professional Standards and Accountability Bureau (PSAB) uses the Notify PSS process to address policy violations and training needs its members may observe. PSAB members may make such observations through the course of their regular work assignments or while engaging in special project assignments, especially members conducting audits. 17 observations were reviewed and addressed by the Notify PSS process in 2022, two were observations made during stops, searches, and arrests auditing. One was addressed by counseling the involved officer and for the other PSS and the officer's command determined no corrective action was needed after further review.

SFLs

In 2022, NOPD supervisors documented 3,493 supervisor feedback log entries (SFLs) which document compliments/employee recognitions, notes, redirections, and counseling of subordinates. 707 (20%) were categorized by the documenting supervisor as being related to: stops, searches, arrests, or bias-free policing. The tables below provide more details about the 707 SSA-related SFLs. Eighty five percent (603/707) were employee recognitions and 72% (512/707) addressed an action or incident involving an arrest.

Table 25: SSA-related Supervisor Feedback Log Entries by Type, 2022

Type	#	%
Employee Recognition	603	85%
Note	53	7%
Redirection	21	3%
Counseling	30	4%

Table 26: SSA-related Supervisor Feedback Log Entries by Topic, 2022

Topic	#	%
Bias-Free Policing	15	2%
Arrests	512	72%
Searches	25	4%
Stops	155	22%

Formal Disciplinary Investigations

In 2022, the department initiated 9 formal disciplinary investigations alleging 41 violations of stops, searches, and arrest policy. 11 of the allegations were sustained. 23 of the alleged violations were determined to be unfounded, which means the investigation determined, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the allegation did not occur or did not involve the subject employee. Four of the allegations were not sustained, meaning the investigation could not prove or disprove the allegation. Three of the allegations were exonerated. Regarding the 11 sustained: all were allegations of Rule 4 Performance of Duty with 7 being allegations of Rule 4 - Paragraph 2 Instructions from an Authoritative Source and 4 being allegations of Rule 4 - Paragraph 4 Neglect of Duty. The 11 sustained allegations involved six accused officers. Two officers resigned under investigation, one received a letter of reprimand, and three are awaiting the disciplinary hearing.

Management Dashboard

NOPD continues to make several management analytical tools that are relevant to stops, searches, and arrests available to members, including:
- The FOB Inspection Dashboard, created in late 2021, which shows supervisors the results of the FOB inspections, which includes a checklist for stops, searches, and arrests and is an abridged version of the audit form used for the stops, searches, and arrests audits.
- The Pending FIC Dashboard, which shows supervisors Field Interview Cards (FIC) that have yet to be reviewed and highlights the ones that indicate a search occurred. And the dashboard shows supervisors all 911 Dispatch data that indicate an FIC is required and for which an FIC with a matching incident number does not exist.
- The Pending EPR Incident Reports Dashboard, which shows supervisors all police reports that have yet to be reviewed and 911 Dispatch data that indicate a police report is required and for which a police report with a matching incident number does not exist.
- The Audit Results Dashboard, which makes audit results readily available for review by supervisors and highlights audit criteria with sub-par compliance rates for each district/division.

Training

Much of the 2022 training given by NOPD's Academy that was SSA-related was tailored to Field Operations Bureau Directive #51 which created District Community Action Teams (DCAT). The training involved a combination of problem based learning courses and practical training exercises over a 2-day curriculum for officers and supervisors, with day 3 exclusively for supervisors. The following were the SSA-related DCAT courses:

- The District Community Action Team Intro– This course covered developing community partnerships and conducting constitutional proactive policing focusing on crime suppression and prevention. In addition, the course covered assigning daily mission specific duties with defined tasks as well as classifying attainable goals aligned with measurable performance objectives.
- DCAT Problem-Oriented Policing- This course applied a problem based learning activity to use

the SARA model towards problem-solving the Department's #1 strategic goal in reducing violent crime. Another goal was formulating an action plan for DCAT proactive patrol activities that includes mobilizing community involvement.

- The DCAT Role in Fostering Community Engagement- This course involved a problem based learning activity to initiate collaborative problem solving towards violent crime suppression. It covered how supervisors can develop partnerships to proactively deter crime and the fear of crime while enhancing the community's quality of life. Additionally, it covered gaining community support towards proactive patrol strategies.
- Performing Constitutional Proactive Policing- This course involved a problem based learning activity that covered how officers can apply procedural justice towards investigative stops and how officers can use available crime information to guide enforcement strategies. Supervisors also learned the importance of detailed FIC documentation and establishing sound reasonable suspicion in proactive stops.
- Proactive Vehicle Stops- This course involved a problem based learning activity to teach officers how to justify a vehicle stop through reasonable suspicion of criminal activity and/or enforcement of valid traffic violations. It also reviewed how officers should conduct a vehicle stop and how to appropriately interact with the driver and occupants. Further, the course covered the vehicle pursuit guidelines and approval protocol.
- Conducting Vehicle and Pedestrian Stops- This course involved problem based learning activities that included a series of both standard and high-risk vehicle and pedestrian stops. The activities emphasized officer survival tactics, positioning, and the proper handling of criminal suspects.

The stop, search, and arrest related in-service training presented to all officers in 2022 included the following courses:

Applied Problem-Oriented Policing   (CD Paragraphs 226,177)   1.5 Hours
This course includes a PBL activity that requires the formulation of an action plan using the SARA Model towards problem-solving the Department's #1 strategic goal in reducing violent crime. The groups will be challenged to mobilize community involvement, develop data driven strategies, and improve investigative methods. Solutions must also consider any potential negative effects of aggressive patrol, thus maintaining community support through fair and impartial policing while building relationships of trust.

Monadnock Defensive Tactics System Refresher (MDTS) (CD Paragraph 109)   6 Hours
This bi-annual certification training will refresh tactical skillsets in handcuffing, control, and search techniques. This training in prior years addressed the motor skills aspects of MDTS, however the focus of this course will align tactics with scenarios, requiring decision making in practical applications.

Suspicious Person and Vehicle Stop Considerations (CD Paragraph 162,177,226)   3 Hours
This course will introduce the "Audit Scoresheet" requirements for the "Stops Scorecard", "Pat Down Scorecard", and the "Consent to Search Scorecard". A PBL activity will require the officers to apply scorecard guidance in both a suspicious person detention and a vehicle stop situation. The officers will be required to summarize their investigative activities in a Field Interview Card (FIC) that is in compliance

with the scorecard measured areas, including procedural justice, bias-free policing, and community impact aspects. The officers must also apply constitutional policing information provided in legal aspects review of recent court decisions and related Department policy updates for stop, search and arrest. Emphasis will be placed on the legality and limitations of searches based on exigency and warrantless exceptions, as well as the restrictions for strip and body cavity searches.

Field Interview Card Review   (CD 162)   1 Hour
This course will evaluate FIC submittals for compliance with the intent of the "Stops", "Pat Downs" and "Search" audit scorecards. The primary objectives are to ensure that officers can adequately articulate the reasonable suspicion factors for the initial stop, the application of procedural justice in the conduct of that investigation, and the elements leading to a pat-down or search of a person or vehicle.

Procedural Justice Solutions   (CD Paragraphs 177,226)   2 Hours
This course will begin with a review of the problem-oriented policing methodology, reinforcing the process for solving community concerns and Department priorities. Officers will participate in a PBL activity that will require community participation in an action plan featuring the deployment of proactive patrol strategies. The teams will review the "Procedural Justice" audit scorecard and its application towards planned aggressive enforcement solutions. The scorecard provides guidance for the proper method of interacting with suspects in vehicle and pedestrian stops. A focus on constitutional policing procedures that reinforce the core principles of procedural justice, bias-free policing, police legitimacy, and community policing will be addressed.

Monthly Training Quizzes

Every month the Policy and Planning Section of NOPD's Professional Standards and Accountability Bureau creates a 20 question, online, mandatory quiz designed to require the test taker to read and understand policy in order to reinforce policy. The following monthly quizzes in 2022 were related to stops, searches, and arrests:

- February and July – Covered Chapters: 55.4 – Limited English Proficiency Services and how to use Voiance, NOPD's interpretation service provider.
- April – Covered Chapter 84.1 – Evidence and Property.
- June – Covered Chapter: 10.0 – Community Policing and Engagement and the Community Engagement manual.
- August – Covered Chapter 41.5 – Vehicle Pursuits and marijuana enforcement via chapter 41.8 – Affidavits and Summons and acts 137, 473, and 651 from Louisiana's 2022 regular legislative session.
- September – Covered surveillance technology
- November – Covered Chapter 10.0 – Community Policing, Chapter 41.13.1 – Interactions with LGBTQ Persons, Chapter 41.13 – Bias-Free Policing, and Chapter 55.4 – Limited-English Proficiency Services.

Appendices

Appendix 1 – New Orleans' Neighborhood Demographics (source: The Data Center, Neighborhood Statistical Area Data Profiles, released Feb 24, 2021)

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population 2015-2019	MOE	Female 2015-2019	MOE	Male 2015-2019	MOE
Algiers Point	2,584	276	48.90%	3.80%	51.10%	3.60%
Audubon	16,228	652	53.40%	2.10%	46.60%	1.80%
B.W. Cooper	1,265	266	48.20%	7.30%	51.80%	6.70%
Bayou St. John	3,934	388	52.10%	3.00%	47.90%	3.90%
Behrman	7,328	776	57.50%	3.10%	42.50%	3.90%
Black Pearl	1,810	174	60.20%	3.50%	39.80%	4.30%
Broadmoor	7,277	585	52.20%	2.60%	47.80%	3.30%
Bywater	3,541	328	44.90%	3.20%	55.10%	4.40%
Central Business District	3,074	326	37.80%	4.80%	62.20%	4.30%
Central City	13,565	914	51.30%	2.60%	48.70%	2.90%
City Park	2,746	226	50.40%	4.00%	49.60%	3.80%
Desire Dev & Neighborhood	2,427	574	52.40%	0.40%	47.60%	7.10%
Dillard	5,092	530	48.80%	3.20%	51.20%	4.50%
Dixon	1,466	246	47.90%	11.10%	52.10%	11.80%
East Carrollton	4,099	328	50.90%	4.50%	49.10%	4.00%
East Riverside	2,952	323	53.40%	4.80%	46.60%	3.20%
Fairgrounds	5,362	539	54.40%	5.40%	45.60%	3.90%
Filmore	5,490	418	55.30%	4.10%	44.70%	4.40%
Fischer Development	953	199	60.10%	9.10%	39.90%	7.80%
Florida Area	1,401	221	55.40%	5.70%	44.60%	4.50%
Florida Development	-	13	NA	NA	NA	NA
French Quarter	3,198	410	42.50%	6.20%	57.50%	3.00%
Freret	2,026	191	45.60%	5.40%	54.40%	6.70%
Garden District	1,829	232	52.00%	5.40%	48.00%	7.80%
Gentilly Terrace	10,695	743	58.40%	2.00%	41.60%	2.70%
Gentilly Woods	3,185	477	57.80%	6.10%	42.20%	6.80%
Gert Town	5,117	576	62.60%	4.10%	37.40%	5.00%
Hollygrove	6,402	656	49.30%	2.00%	50.70%	5.30%
Holy Cross	3,401	403	55.60%	5.30%	44.40%	6.40%
Iberville Development	389	72	54.00%	12.70%	46.00%	4.80%
Irish Channel	3,275	312	52.40%	6.00%	47.60%	5.40%
Lake Catherine	854	149	46.60%	11.30%	53.40%	8.70%
Lake Terrace & Oaks	2,143	181	54.20%	3.90%	45.80%	3.70%
Lakeshore/Lake Vista	3,546	356	49.50%	4.40%	50.50%	3.70%
Lakeview	8,388	339	52.60%	2.10%	47.40%	2.10%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population 2015-2019	MOE	Female 2015-2019	MOE	Male 2015-2019	MOE
Lakewood	1,885	140	49.10%	4.20%	50.90%	2.20%
Leonidas	7,228	566	58.80%	4.20%	41.20%	3.80%
Little Woods	38,558	1,962	55.80%	1.40%	44.20%	2.20%
Lower Garden District	6,153	466	48.00%	2.90%	52.00%	4.00%
Lower Ninth Ward	4,074	432	55.70%	4.70%	44.30%	4.10%
Marigny	3,017	405	43.60%	3.40%	56.40%	6.00%
Marlyville/Fontainebleau	6,269	403	53.40%	3.60%	46.60%	2.20%
McDonogh	2,563	420	52.40%	11.70%	47.60%	8.60%
Mid-City	14,059	659	42.80%	2.50%	57.20%	2.80%
Milan	6,302	637	50.30%	3.80%	49.70%	4.20%
Milneburg	4,885	448	50.20%	3.00%	49.80%	4.90%
Navarre	2,738	222	48.50%	3.90%	51.50%	3.60%
New Aurora/English Turn	6,684	875	53.10%	3.40%	46.90%	4.30%
Old Aurora	17,516	1,128	51.10%	2.30%	48.90%	2.50%
Pines Village	3,555	533	57.50%	4.90%	42.50%	6.60%
Plum Orchard	4,679	612	55.50%	5.60%	44.50%	5.50%
Pontchartrain Park	2,011	277	57.00%	3.60%	43.00%	5.50%
Read Blvd East	8,709	728	55.00%	4.00%	45.00%	3.00%
Read Blvd West	5,083	616	53.10%	5.00%	46.90%	5.00%
Seventh Ward	11,120	761	48.80%	3.30%	51.20%	3.20%
St. Anthony	5,069	500	57.20%	3.60%	42.80%	4.20%
St. Bernard Area	2,664	320	64.30%	5.30%	35.70%	5.10%
St. Claude	7,426	533	53.30%	3.20%	46.70%	3.00%
St. Roch	8,148	641	51.70%	2.70%	48.30%	3.60%
St. Thomas Development	2,369	551	52.80%	3.30%	47.20%	14.20%
Tall Timbers/Brechtel	15,531	1,196	57.60%	2.50%	42.40%	3.20%
Touro	2,765	386	55.30%	5.10%	44.70%	7.00%
Treme'/Lafitte	4,590	570	45.60%	3.80%	54.40%	5.30%
Tulane/Gravier	4,057	604	39.90%	2.80%	60.10%	8.00%
U.S. Naval Support Area	2,242	316	55.00%	4.00%	45.00%	4.70%
Uptown	6,077	402	50.80%	3.30%	49.20%	3.30%
Viavant/Venetian Isles	725	187	53.50%	14.90%	46.50%	2.50%
Village de l'est	9,297	870	50.70%	0.60%	49.30%	4.10%
West End	3,931	263	50.40%	3.80%	49.60%	3.80%
West Lake Forest	4,740	494	55.10%	2.40%	44.90%	4.50%
West Riverside	5,147	380	50.80%	2.70%	49.20%	3.80%
Whitney	1,937	311	48.10%	4.20%	51.90%	11.60%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population 2015-2019	MOE	Black or African American 2015-2019	MOE	White 2015-2019	MOE	Asian 2015-2019	MOE
Algiers Point	2,584	276	9.10%	3.90%	85.00%	1.80%	0.30%	0.50%
Audubon	16,228	652	7.30%	1.30%	72.40%	2.20%	6.10%	1.20%
B.W. Cooper	1,265	266	97.60%	4.60%	0.00%	1.00%	0.00%	1.00%
Bayou St. John	3,934	388	26.70%	7.90%	64.00%	2.60%	0.30%	0.40%
Behrman	7,328	776	78.50%	6.20%	11.50%	4.60%	0.30%	0.30%
Black Pearl	1,810	174	17.50%	6.00%	65.80%	4.60%	5.00%	3.00%
Broadmoor	7,277	585	55.80%	6.80%	36.10%	2.80%	1.10%	0.60%
Bywater	3,541	328	26.00%	5.00%	63.60%	3.30%	0.60%	0.60%
Central Business District	3,074	326	31.70%	7.20%	58.60%	4.00%	2.10%	2.20%
Central City	13,565	914	68.70%	3.00%	19.60%	2.60%	0.90%	0.40%
City Park	2,746	226	3.90%	2.80%	82.80%	3.80%	1.60%	1.50%
Desire Dev & Neighborhood	2,427	574	98.20%	5.80%	0.90%	1.50%	0.00%	0.50%
Dillard	5,092	530	89.10%	4.30%	7.30%	3.10%	0.40%	0.50%
Dixon	1,466	246	85.40%	10.10%	5.70%	2.60%	0.00%	0.90%
East Carrollton	4,099	328	26.50%	8.90%	57.40%	6.30%	7.90%	4.20%
East Riverside	2,952	323	29.10%	9.00%	64.10%	9.30%	0.60%	0.90%
Fairgrounds	5,362	539	51.90%	6.60%	37.20%	4.30%	1.30%	1.00%
Filmore	5,490	418	69.90%	5.70%	22.60%	1.70%	2.30%	1.50%
Fischer Development	953	199	97.50%	2.10%	1.60%	1.80%	0.00%	1.40%
Florida Area	1,401	221	95.00%	5.60%	4.00%	2.90%	0.00%	0.90%
Florida Development	-	13	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
French Quarter	3,198	410	5.20%	3.60%	87.70%	5.00%	0.40%	0.70%
Freret	2,026	191	48.60%	5.30%	37.30%	6.30%	0.40%	0.50%
Garden District	1,829	232	5.00%	4.90%	90.10%	6.10%	0.40%	0.70%
Gentilly Terrace	10,695	743	76.30%	4.80%	15.70%	1.50%	0.60%	0.60%
Gentilly Woods	3,185	477	70.00%	9.70%	19.30%	4.30%	1.80%	2.90%
Gert Town	5,117	576	83.60%	7.10%	8.60%	3.30%	1.40%	0.90%
Hollygrove	6,402	656	87.20%	1.90%	6.90%	3.20%	0.40%	0.60%
Holy Cross	3,401	403	78.40%	6.50%	16.60%	3.60%	1.70%	2.00%
Iberville Development	389	72	96.90%	4.60%	3.10%	4.10%	0.00%	3.30%
Irish Channel	3,275	312	21.80%	5.90%	67.40%	3.70%	1.20%	1.10%
Lake Catherine	854	149	3.60%	4.20%	91.80%	14.10%	0.20%	0.50%
Lake Terrace & Oaks	2,143	181	28.80%	4.80%	55.80%	6.80%	5.60%	3.60%
Lakeshore/Lake Vista	3,546	356	3.60%	3.60%	89.70%	0.60%	1.30%	1.90%
Lakeview	8,388	339	3.30%	1.60%	85.80%	1.70%	2.90%	1.50%
Lakewood	1,885	140	2.20%	3.20%	94.30%	3.80%	1.60%	1.50%
Leonidas	7,228	566	49.40%	5.90%	36.00%	1.90%	3.10%	2.00%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population 2015-2019	MOE	Black or African American 2015-2019	MOE	White 2015-2019	MOE	Asian 2015-2019	MOE
Little Woods	38,558	1,962	93.30%	1.60%	2.80%	0.80%	1.70%	1.00%
Lower Garden District	6,153	466	14.10%	3.50%	68.80%	3.30%	1.80%	0.80%
Lower Ninth Ward	4,074	432	90.60%	4.80%	6.80%	3.40%	0.20%	0.70%
Marigny	3,017	405	13.40%	10.20%	76.60%	13.40%	1.60%	1.30%
Marlyville/Fontainebleau	6,269	403	24.90%	5.20%	59.70%	1.80%	3.00%	1.80%
McDonogh	2,563	420	81.30%	10.30%	15.80%	4.90%	0.00%	0.70%
Mid-City	14,059	659	45.80%	3.50%	39.70%	2.30%	0.80%	0.40%
Milan	6,302	637	49.20%	7.30%	43.60%	4.20%	1.30%	1.30%
Milneburg	4,885	448	86.80%	4.40%	7.20%	2.00%	1.30%	1.80%
Navarre	2,738	222	5.50%	6.00%	76.20%	6.80%	4.20%	4.70%
New Aurora/English Turn	6,684	875	70.60%	8.20%	14.10%	1.50%	10.50%	4.00%
Old Aurora	17,516	1,128	56.70%	4.40%	27.40%	2.30%	3.10%	1.10%
Pines Village	3,555	533	95.80%	1.40%	2.30%	1.50%	0.00%	0.40%
Plum Orchard	4,679	612	96.50%	3.00%	0.50%	0.70%	0.00%	0.30%
Pontchartrain Park	2,011	277	96.40%	3.90%	1.70%	2.00%	0.00%	0.60%
Read Blvd East	8,709	728	81.00%	4.90%	2.40%	1.50%	14.10%	3.50%
Read Blvd West	5,083	616	95.30%	4.20%	2.10%	1.30%	1.30%	1.40%
Seventh Ward	11,120	761	76.30%	4.10%	17.50%	2.30%	0.20%	0.40%
St. Anthony	5,069	500	78.40%	5.90%	11.90%	2.90%	2.00%	1.80%
St. Bernard Area	2,664	320	88.50%	6.80%	3.00%	1.40%	0.20%	0.30%
St. Claude	7,426	533	62.10%	5.20%	29.00%	3.00%	0.30%	0.50%
St. Roch	8,148	641	80.70%	2.30%	9.20%	1.90%	0.40%	0.40%
St. Thomas Development	2,369	551	77.30%	14.50%	18.20%	3.30%	1.90%	2.00%
Tall Timbers/Brechtel	15,531	1,196	81.10%	4.40%	12.90%	2.50%	2.30%	1.20%
Touro	2,765	386	13.20%	7.30%	77.10%	4.90%	1.30%	1.10%
Treme'/Lafitte	4,590	570	56.30%	7.60%	35.60%	3.80%	0.40%	0.70%
Tulane/Gravier	4,057	604	65.00%	8.60%	18.30%	4.90%	2.40%	1.70%
U.S. Naval Support Area	2,242	316	72.20%	9.00%	18.00%	4.30%	0.20%	0.30%
Uptown	6,077	402	15.30%	4.60%	77.60%	7.10%	0.80%	0.50%
Viavant/Venetian Isles	725	187	78.10%	15.00%	8.70%	4.80%	2.10%	4.00%
Village de l'est	9,297	870	50.20%	4.90%	2.60%	1.20%	34.80%	3.60%
West End	3,931	263	14.20%	6.30%	71.50%	5.10%	5.50%	3.10%
West Lake Forest	4,740	494	88.70%	3.30%	0.80%	0.60%	0.00%	0.40%
West Riverside	5,147	380	19.20%	4.70%	72.20%	2.00%	1.50%	0.90%
Whitney	1,937	311	78.00%	8.50%	17.60%	4.00%	1.10%	1.00%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population 2015-2019	MOE	American Indian 2015-2019	MOE	Hispanic (any race) 2015-2019	MOE	Other 2015-2019	MOE
Algiers Point	2,584	276	0.00%	0.50%	5.60%	3.00%	0.00%	0.70%
Audubon	16,228	652	0.00%	0.20%	11.10%	2.90%	0.30%	0.40%
B.W. Cooper	1,265	266	0.00%	1.00%	0.60%	0.90%	0.50%	1.30%
Bayou St. John	3,934	388	0.00%	0.50%	8.00%	3.70%	0.30%	0.60%
Behrman	7,328	776	0.20%	0.40%	8.40%	4.30%	0.00%	0.40%
Black Pearl	1,810	174	0.20%	0.20%	7.60%	4.00%	0.00%	1.00%
Broadmoor	7,277	585	0.00%	0.30%	5.80%	1.80%	0.10%	0.40%
Bywater	3,541	328	0.40%	0.50%	7.60%	2.70%	0.60%	1.00%
Central Business District	3,074	326	0.00%	0.40%	5.10%	2.80%	0.90%	1.10%
Central City	13,565	914	0.10%	0.30%	9.50%	2.70%	0.00%	0.40%
City Park	2,746	226	0.00%	0.70%	6.30%	2.80%	1.80%	3.00%
Desire Dev & Neighborhood	2,427	574	0.50%	0.80%	0.00%	0.50%	0.00%	0.80%
Dillard	5,092	530	0.10%	0.30%	2.00%	1.80%	0.50%	0.80%
Dixon	1,466	246	0.00%	0.90%	6.90%	4.40%	0.00%	1.30%
East Carrollton	4,099	328	0.00%	0.40%	5.00%	2.90%	0.00%	0.60%
East Riverside	2,952	323	0.00%	0.60%	4.70%	2.30%	0.00%	0.90%
Fairgrounds	5,362	539	0.00%	0.30%	5.20%	2.40%	1.20%	1.30%
Filmore	5,490	418	0.00%	0.30%	4.00%	2.00%	0.50%	0.80%
Fischer Development	953	199	0.00%	1.40%	0.90%	1.60%	0.00%	1.90%
Florida Area	1,401	221	0.00%	0.90%	1.00%	1.60%	0.00%	1.30%
Florida Development	-	13	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
French Quarter	3,198	410	0.50%	0.80%	4.90%	2.70%	0.00%	0.80%
Freret	2,026	191	0.20%	0.30%	7.70%	6.10%	2.70%	2.80%
Garden District	1,829	232	0.00%	0.70%	4.00%	3.20%	0.40%	1.00%
Gentilly Terrace	10,695	743	0.10%	0.20%	3.70%	2.00%	1.60%	0.90%
Gentilly Woods	3,185	477	0.00%	0.40%	6.00%	4.90%	1.60%	2.50%
Gert Town	5,117	576	0.10%	0.30%	4.80%	3.30%	0.60%	0.60%
Hollygrove	6,402	656	0.00%	0.30%	2.50%	1.40%	0.00%	0.40%
Holy Cross	3,401	403	0.00%	0.50%	1.30%	1.00%	0.00%	0.80%
Iberville Development	389	72	0.00%	3.30%	0.00%	3.30%	0.00%	4.70%
Irish Channel	3,275	312	0.70%	0.80%	8.30%	4.20%	0.00%	0.80%
Lake Catherine	854	149	0.00%	1.50%	0.90%	1.50%	1.60%	3.70%
Lake Terrace & Oaks	2,143	181	0.00%	0.60%	2.70%	2.00%	0.30%	0.70%
Lakeshore/Lake Vista	3,546	356	0.00%	0.40%	2.50%	1.50%	0.00%	0.50%
Lakeview	8,388	339	0.50%	0.60%	6.50%	1.90%	0.20%	0.50%
Lakewood	1,885	140	0.00%	0.70%	1.90%	1.40%	0.00%	1.00%
Leonidas	7,228	566	0.40%	0.50%	7.60%	3.20%	0.70%	1.50%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population 2015-2019	MOE	American Indian 2015-2019	MOE	Hispanic (any race) 2015-2019	MOE	Other 2015-2019	MOE
Little Woods	38,558	1,962	0.20%	0.20%	1.20%	0.60%	0.10%	0.20%
Lower Garden District	6,153	466	0.10%	0.40%	11.50%	4.30%	0.20%	0.60%
Lower Ninth Ward	4,074	432	0.10%	0.60%	0.90%	1.00%	1.20%	1.60%
Marigny	3,017	405	0.00%	0.60%	6.20%	3.90%	0.00%	0.90%
Marlyville/Fontainebleau	6,269	403	0.30%	0.40%	8.50%	2.10%	0.80%	0.80%
McDonogh	2,563	420	0.20%	0.60%	1.40%	1.30%	0.00%	1.00%
Mid-City	14,059	659	0.00%	0.20%	11.00%	2.10%	0.20%	0.30%
Milan	6,302	637	0.10%	0.30%	4.30%	1.70%	0.20%	0.50%
Milneburg	4,885	448	0.00%	0.40%	1.60%	1.30%	1.20%	1.10%
Navarre	2,738	222	0.90%	1.40%	11.20%	9.80%	0.00%	0.70%
New Aurora/English Turn	6,684	875	0.10%	0.20%	4.20%	2.60%	0.00%	0.40%
Old Aurora	17,516	1,128	0.20%	0.20%	10.30%	2.70%	0.30%	0.30%
Pines Village	3,555	533	0.00%	0.40%	1.10%	2.00%	0.00%	0.50%
Plum Orchard	4,679	612	0.00%	0.30%	2.80%	2.50%	0.00%	0.40%
Pontchartrain Park	2,011	277	0.00%	0.60%	1.00%	1.10%	0.00%	0.90%
Read Blvd East	8,709	728	0.00%	0.20%	0.60%	1.00%	0.50%	0.90%
Read Blvd West	5,083	616	0.00%	0.40%	1.30%	1.40%	0.00%	0.50%
Seventh Ward	11,120	761	0.00%	0.30%	3.20%	1.20%	0.10%	0.50%
St. Anthony	5,069	500	0.20%	0.40%	5.50%	2.00%	1.00%	1.20%
St. Bernard Area	2,664	320	0.00%	0.50%	6.00%	5.80%	0.00%	0.70%
St. Claude	7,426	533	0.20%	0.40%	5.50%	2.40%	0.10%	0.50%
St. Roch	8,148	641	0.50%	0.70%	7.70%	3.60%	0.30%	0.50%
St. Thomas Development	2,369	551	0.00%	0.50%	1.50%	1.30%	0.00%	0.80%
Tall Timbers/Brechtel	15,531	1,196	0.00%	0.20%	3.30%	2.60%	0.20%	0.30%
Touro	2,765	386	0.80%	1.20%	3.50%	2.40%	0.70%	0.70%
Treme'/Lafitte	4,590	570	0.00%	0.50%	5.10%	2.50%	0.00%	0.80%
Tulane/Gravier	4,057	604	0.00%	0.30%	11.40%	4.60%	0.10%	0.60%
U.S. Naval Support Area	2,242	316	0.00%	0.60%	8.70%	4.60%	0.60%	1.60%
Uptown	6,077	402	0.80%	0.80%	3.20%	1.50%	0.20%	0.60%
Viavant/Venetian Isles	725	187	0.00%	2.50%	11.20%	8.10%	0.00%	3.60%
Village de l'est	9,297	870	0.50%	0.60%	10.30%	6.60%	1.50%	1.90%
West End	3,931	263	0.00%	0.30%	7.40%	3.10%	0.80%	0.80%
West Lake Forest	4,740	494	0.00%	0.40%	6.40%	3.50%	1.20%	1.70%
West Riverside	5,147	380	0.00%	0.40%	5.00%	2.00%	0.70%	1.20%
Whitney	1,937	311	0.30%	0.70%	1.60%	1.70%	1.40%	2.10%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population 2015-2019	MOE	4 years old and under 2015-2019	MOE	5-9 years old 2015-2019	MOE	10-14 years old 2015-2019	MOE
Algiers Point	2,584	276	7.60%	3.00%	5.30%	3.10%	0.00%	0.70%
Audubon	16,228	652	4.60%	1.50%	4.20%	0.80%	2.80%	0.50%
B.W. Cooper	1,265	266	13.60%	7.30%	12.40%	4.60%	13.50%	4.90%
Bayou St. John	3,934	388	7.30%	2.80%	4.90%	2.30%	2.90%	1.50%
Behrman	7,328	776	6.20%	2.80%	5.90%	1.90%	5.60%	2.00%
Black Pearl	1,810	174	4.30%	2.30%	1.60%	1.00%	2.90%	1.90%
Broadmoor	7,277	585	6.30%	2.00%	6.20%	1.80%	4.80%	1.80%
Bywater	3,541	328	3.20%	1.60%	3.30%	1.60%	1.80%	0.80%
Central Business District	3,074	326	1.60%	1.10%	1.50%	0.90%	0.80%	0.70%
Central City	13,565	914	6.00%	1.50%	6.70%	1.30%	4.20%	1.10%
City Park	2,746	226	4.70%	2.30%	2.00%	1.30%	3.30%	1.70%
Desire Dev & Neighborhood	2,427	574	6.00%	3.40%	14.10%	5.60%	7.10%	3.50%
Dillard	5,092	530	4.80%	2.70%	4.40%	1.70%	4.30%	1.80%
Dixon	1,466	246	6.30%	4.10%	4.40%	3.90%	11.30%	6.90%
East Carrollton	4,099	328	5.20%	2.60%	4.70%	2.30%	3.80%	2.10%
East Riverside	2,952	323	7.90%	2.60%	4.10%	1.80%	2.80%	1.70%
Fairgrounds	5,362	539	5.30%	2.30%	0.90%	0.80%	1.20%	1.10%
Filmore	5,490	418	8.70%	2.90%	6.00%	1.90%	5.90%	2.20%
Fischer Development	953	199	5.70%	5.30%	5.40%	2.80%	10.60%	7.90%
Florida Area	1,401	221	7.70%	4.70%	5.60%	3.80%	1.80%	2.90%
Florida Development	-	13	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
French Quarter	3,198	410	2.00%	1.50%	0.70%	1.00%	0.40%	0.90%
Freret	2,026	191	7.20%	2.90%	5.50%	3.20%	2.50%	2.10%
Garden District	1,829	232	0.80%	1.00%	3.90%	2.30%	6.70%	3.60%
Gentilly Terrace	10,695	743	5.60%	1.50%	7.30%	1.90%	5.90%	1.80%
Gentilly Woods	3,185	477	4.90%	4.00%	9.30%	4.20%	8.80%	4.00%
Gert Town	5,117	576	8.00%	2.60%	5.50%	1.90%	2.20%	1.50%
Hollygrove	6,402	656	8.90%	3.60%	6.40%	2.80%	4.40%	1.70%
Holy Cross	3,401	403	6.70%	2.40%	6.10%	2.50%	6.00%	2.80%
Iberville Development	389	72	13.90%	8.30%	24.90%	9.30%	6.70%	8.50%
Irish Channel	3,275	312	4.20%	1.90%	1.20%	1.00%	2.00%	1.40%
Lake Catherine	854	149	5.90%	3.30%	3.20%	3.70%	2.20%	2.20%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population 2015-2019	MOE	4 years old and under 2015-2019	MOE	5-9 years old 2015-2019	MOE	10-14 years old 2015-2019	MOE
Lake Terrace & Oaks	2,143	181	4.80%	2.50%	5.30%	1.50%	5.40%	1.40%
Lakeshore/ Lake Vista	3,546	356	5.40%	2.00%	6.40%	2.50%	4.70%	2.00%
Lakeview	8,388	339	7.60%	1.40%	6.80%	1.30%	6.20%	1.10%
Lakewood	1,885	140	10.80%	3.40%	6.20%	3.10%	2.90%	1.20%
Leonidas	7,228	566	6.20%	1.90%	4.60%	1.90%	4.50%	1.80%
Little Woods	38,558	1,962	6.20%	1.10%	8.40%	1.20%	7.00%	1.10%
Lower Garden District	6,153	466	3.70%	1.30%	2.10%	1.00%	1.50%	0.80%
Lower Ninth Ward	4,074	432	6.80%	2.20%	6.60%	2.70%	5.20%	2.00%
Marigny	3,017	405	1.80%	0.50%	3.10%	2.00%	1.70%	1.80%
Marlyville/ Fontainebleau	6,269	403	4.60%	1.20%	4.70%	1.30%	6.70%	2.00%
McDonogh	2,563	420	5.30%	4.70%	6.00%	3.00%	8.50%	4.40%
Mid-City	14,059	659	2.80%	1.00%	2.20%	0.90%	2.50%	1.00%
Milan	6,302	637	3.80%	1.60%	6.70%	2.80%	3.90%	1.70%
Milneburg	4,885	448	2.80%	1.50%	7.80%	2.70%	5.70%	2.20%
Navarre	2,738	222	7.60%	2.60%	3.50%	2.00%	3.40%	2.10%
New Aurora/ English Turn	6,684	875	5.30%	2.10%	5.70%	2.30%	8.80%	2.80%
Old Aurora	17,516	1,128	5.90%	1.70%	6.60%	1.70%	4.90%	1.20%
Pines Village	3,555	533	4.60%	2.50%	9.10%	3.50%	9.30%	4.80%
Plum Orchard	4,679	612	3.40%	1.90%	9.10%	4.00%	8.40%	2.90%
Pontchartrain Park	2,011	277	3.60%	2.70%	8.80%	4.90%	6.30%	3.20%
Read Blvd East	8,709	728	3.70%	1.70%	5.00%	1.80%	7.30%	2.50%
Read Blvd West	5,083	616	4.10%	2.30%	5.60%	2.40%	5.40%	2.20%
Seventh Ward	11,120	761	4.90%	1.70%	5.40%	1.50%	5.80%	1.40%
St. Anthony	5,069	500	7.80%	2.70%	6.80%	2.90%	10.80%	3.30%
St. Bernard Area	2,664	320	6.50%	3.30%	9.20%	3.60%	10.50%	3.90%
St. Claude	7,426	533	4.20%	1.50%	4.80%	1.80%	2.80%	1.20%
St. Roch	8,148	641	6.00%	1.50%	5.70%	1.50%	9.70%	2.20%
St. Thomas Development	2,369	551	4.00%	3.10%	5.80%	4.50%	10.30%	7.70%
Tall Timbers/ Brechtel	15,531	1,196	12.00%	2.80%	5.00%	1.50%	7.60%	2.00%
Touro	2,765	386	2.90%	1.50%	4.60%	2.50%	2.50%	1.90%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population 2015-2019	MOE	4 years old and under 2015-2019	MOE	5-9 years old 2015-2019	MOE	10-14 years old 2015-2019	MOE
Treme'/Lafitte	4,590	570	6.70%	3.30%	4.10%	2.70%	2.50%	1.40%
Tulane/Gravier	4,057	604	4.10%	2.40%	7.30%	2.70%	6.00%	2.70%
U.S. Naval Support Area	2,242	316	8.40%	3.10%	5.50%	2.10%	6.60%	2.80%
Uptown	6,077	402	6.40%	1.60%	3.20%	1.30%	3.40%	1.60%
Viavant/Venetian Isles	725	187	10.10%	8.30%	2.30%	3.80%	8.80%	6.70%
Village de l'est	9,297	870	10.00%	2.50%	8.20%	2.00%	7.90%	1.80%
West End	3,931	263	7.20%	2.10%	8.20%	2.50%	3.60%	1.80%
West Lake Forest	4,740	494	7.20%	2.60%	7.10%	2.60%	8.00%	2.60%
West Riverside	5,147	380	5.50%	1.50%	3.70%	1.40%	3.90%	1.50%
Whitney	1,937	311	8.30%	6.30%	5.30%	3.00%	1.80%	1.80%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population 2015-2019	MOE	15-17 years old 2015-2019	MOE	18-34 years old 2015-2019	MOE	35-49 years old 2015-2019	MOE
Algiers Point	2,584	276	1.90%	3.10%	19.60%	4.30%	23.30%	4.70%
Audubon	16,228	652	2.80%	0.60%	47.40%	2.90%	12.50%	1.40%
B.W. Cooper	1,265	266	5.20%	3.50%	27.70%	6.70%	8.40%	4.30%
Bayou St. John	3,934	388	2.00%	1.50%	32.30%	5.30%	26.10%	3.60%
Behrman	7,328	776	1.80%	1.10%	25.20%	3.90%	18.30%	3.30%
Black Pearl	1,810	174	2.70%	1.30%	28.30%	6.30%	19.90%	5.30%
Broadmoor	7,277	585	3.70%	1.50%	27.60%	2.90%	23.60%	2.90%
Bywater	3,541	328	0.90%	0.70%	24.80%	4.20%	29.00%	3.90%
Central Business District	3,074	326	0.40%	0.60%	26.20%	4.60%	24.30%	5.60%
Central City	13,565	914	3.20%	1.20%	25.50%	2.60%	18.40%	2.30%
City Park	2,746	226	3.00%	1.80%	19.60%	4.70%	25.60%	5.10%
Desire Dev & Neighborhood	2,427	574	6.30%	3.60%	24.00%	5.20%	19.40%	4.40%
Dillard	5,092	530	1.10%	1.10%	25.70%	4.30%	17.20%	3.60%
Dixon	1,466	246	3.30%	3.00%	20.90%	6.20%	15.20%	5.20%
East Carrollton	4,099	328	2.50%	1.60%	29.10%	4.50%	23.50%	4.30%
East Riverside	2,952	323	0.90%	1.10%	24.20%	4.50%	26.00%	3.60%
Fairgrounds	5,362	539	1.10%	1.00%	25.60%	5.00%	20.50%	3.60%
Filmore	5,490	418	2.90%	1.50%	15.80%	2.90%	23.10%	3.80%
Fischer Development	953	199	3.60%	2.20%	22.90%	11.10%	16.80%	6.00%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population 2015-2019	MOE	15-17 years old 2015-2019	MOE	18-34 years old 2015-2019	MOE	35-49 years old 2015-2019	MOE
Florida Area	1,401	221	6.20%	4.00%	20.70%	6.80%	20.30%	5.40%
Florida Development	-	13	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
French Quarter	3,198	410	0.10%	0.70%	13.50%	3.50%	22.80%	5.80%
Freret	2,026	191	1.60%	2.60%	33.70%	6.70%	16.80%	5.90%
Garden District	1,829	232	3.20%	1.90%	27.20%	9.10%	15.40%	4.20%
Gentilly Terrace	10,695	743	3.70%	1.30%	21.50%	3.00%	21.60%	2.40%
Gentilly Woods	3,185	477	2.90%	1.80%	31.00%	6.70%	11.60%	2.90%
Gert Town	5,117	576	3.10%	1.40%	50.10%	4.70%	13.00%	2.70%
Hollygrove	6,402	656	3.10%	1.60%	24.30%	4.10%	18.40%	3.60%
Holy Cross	3,401	403	0.60%	1.10%	24.40%	4.90%	21.50%	4.10%
Iberville Development	389	72	8.00%	12.70%	8.70%	12.00%	16.70%	15.30%
Irish Channel	3,275	312	0.50%	0.90%	34.90%	6.60%	23.70%	4.40%
Lake Catherine	854	149	0.10%	1.70%	10.50%	5.10%	14.10%	4.70%
Lake Terrace & Oaks	2,143	181	1.60%	1.10%	25.20%	6.30%	12.80%	2.90%
Lakeshore/Lake Vista	3,546	356	3.30%	1.50%	13.10%	4.10%	15.80%	3.90%
Lakeview	8,388	339	3.60%	0.90%	23.70%	2.40%	21.10%	1.80%
Lakewood	1,885	140	4.90%	2.00%	14.10%	4.00%	20.20%	3.70%
Leonidas	7,228	566	2.40%	1.10%	27.70%	3.60%	19.00%	2.60%
Little Woods	38,558	1,962	5.20%	1.00%	24.30%	1.80%	16.70%	1.40%
Lower Garden District	6,153	466	1.10%	0.80%	39.90%	4.30%	20.30%	3.00%
Lower Ninth Ward	4,074	432	3.20%	1.90%	20.10%	4.00%	13.60%	2.80%
Marigny	3,017	405	2.50%	3.20%	19.30%	4.50%	25.30%	5.50%
Marlyville/ Fontainebleau	6,269	403	2.80%	1.30%	27.10%	3.30%	23.30%	2.50%
McDonogh	2,563	420	2.00%	1.60%	23.30%	5.50%	23.80%	4.40%
Mid-City	14,059	659	1.70%	1.10%	41.50%	3.00%	22.00%	2.20%
Milan	6,302	637	4.50%	1.80%	29.60%	4.20%	20.10%	2.80%
Milneburg	4,885	448	4.90%	1.90%	25.00%	4.00%	21.50%	3.50%
Navarre	2,738	222	1.90%	1.60%	30.80%	5.80%	25.50%	6.20%
New Aurora/English Turn	6,684	875	4.10%	1.80%	19.00%	3.70%	18.50%	3.60%
Old Aurora	17,516	1,128	3.20%	0.90%	24.70%	2.50%	18.60%	2.10%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population 2015-2019	MOE	15-17 years old 2015-2019	MOE	18-34 years old 2015-2019	MOE	35-49 years old 2015-2019	MOE
Pines Village	3,555	533	4.10%	2.10%	23.80%	5.00%	18.40%	4.80%
Plum Orchard	4,679	612	5.60%	2.60%	22.00%	4.80%	18.10%	4.80%
Pontchartrain Park	2,011	277	5.60%	3.80%	24.50%	7.60%	18.20%	5.30%
Read Blvd East	8,709	728	4.40%	1.80%	23.20%	3.80%	18.20%	2.70%
Read Blvd West	5,083	616	4.60%	2.10%	22.80%	4.90%	22.10%	4.40%
Seventh Ward	11,120	761	3.30%	1.00%	26.30%	3.20%	17.40%	2.20%
St. Anthony	5,069	500	3.30%	1.50%	27.90%	3.70%	18.10%	3.20%
St. Bernard Area	2,664	320	5.50%	3.20%	20.10%	4.30%	15.90%	4.50%
St. Claude	7,426	533	3.20%	1.40%	24.70%	2.90%	20.70%	2.90%
St. Roch	8,148	641	3.10%	1.20%	24.30%	2.60%	20.10%	2.60%
St. Thomas Development	2,369	551	2.20%	3.10%	23.20%	7.20%	16.00%	7.60%
Tall Timbers/ Brechtel	15,531	1,196	3.90%	1.30%	26.70%	3.40%	16.30%	2.50%
Touro	2,765	386	0.00%	0.70%	26.90%	5.60%	24.10%	4.70%
Treme'/Lafitte	4,590	570	2.40%	1.30%	33.00%	4.80%	19.00%	3.10%
Tulane/Gravier	4,057	604	2.10%	1.40%	43.20%	3.70%	18.10%	4.00%
U.S. Naval Support Area	2,242	316	4.50%	2.30%	26.60%	5.10%	15.50%	3.50%
Uptown	6,077	402	1.90%	1.00%	29.10%	4.80%	24.70%	3.10%
Viavant/Venetian Isles	725	187	5.70%	5.00%	14.60%	8.90%	14.20%	7.80%
Village de l'est	9,297	870	2.70%	0.90%	22.60%	2.60%	19.00%	2.80%
West End	3,931	263	1.20%	1.20%	29.20%	4.60%	19.90%	3.90%
West Lake Forest	4,740	494	2.00%	1.10%	27.20%	4.20%	18.90%	2.70%
West Riverside	5,147	380	0.80%	1.00%	25.60%	4.50%	24.50%	3.20%
Whitney	1,937	311	3.30%	2.50%	23.50%	5.90%	25.90%	5.80%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population 2015-2019	MOE	50-64 years old 2015-2019	MOE	65-74 years old 2015-2019	MOE	75-84 years old 2015-2019	MOE	85 years old and older 2015-2019	MOE
Algiers Point	2,584	276	26.80%	4.50%	10.20%	3.40%	3.80%	2.70%	1.40%	1.10%
Audubon	16,228	652	13.50%	1.20%	6.60%	0.80%	4.00%	0.60%	1.70%	0.50%
B.W. Cooper	1,265	266	15.90%	4.70%	2.50%	2.50%	0.80%	2.20%	0.00%	1.50%
Bayou St. John	3,934	388	14.40%	2.80%	7.30%	1.90%	1.90%	1.00%	0.90%	0.90%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population 2015-2019	MOE	50-64 years old 2015-2019	MOE	65-74 years old 2015-2019	MOE	75-84 years old 2015-2019	MOE	85 years old and older 2015-2019	MOE
Behrman	7,328	776	21.50%	2.50%	8.50%	1.80%	3.90%	1.50%	3.10%	1.80%
Black Pearl	1,810	174	16.70%	3.80%	10.60%	2.90%	4.90%	2.10%	8.10%	2.70%
Broadmoor	7,277	585	14.60%	2.10%	8.20%	1.60%	3.50%	1.00%	1.50%	0.80%
Bywater	3,541	328	23.60%	3.30%	9.40%	2.00%	3.20%	1.50%	0.70%	1.00%
Central Business District	3,074	326	28.70%	6.00%	14.30%	3.50%	2.30%	1.60%	0.00%	0.60%
Central City	13,565	914	22.30%	1.80%	9.00%	1.30%	2.70%	0.80%	2.00%	0.80%
City Park	2,746	226	24.80%	4.80%	11.00%	3.20%	5.20%	2.40%	0.90%	1.00%
Desire Dev & Neighborhood	2,427	574	13.60%	2.20%	6.60%	2.10%	2.40%	1.30%	0.50%	0.50%
Dillard	5,092	530	17.90%	3.20%	13.20%	3.00%	7.90%	2.00%	3.40%	1.30%
Dixon	1,466	246	20.40%	4.60%	11.10%	3.60%	3.50%	2.10%	3.60%	3.00%
East Carrollton	4,099	328	20.10%	4.70%	7.90%	2.60%	2.40%	1.60%	0.80%	0.80%
East Riverside	2,952	323	21.40%	5.00%	10.00%	2.30%	2.10%	1.10%	0.60%	0.60%
Fairgrounds	5,362	539	23.40%	4.10%	12.10%	2.80%	5.00%	1.80%	4.80%	1.60%
Filmore	5,490	418	18.20%	3.70%	12.90%	2.70%	4.70%	1.60%	1.70%	0.80%
Fischer Development	953	199	16.60%	8.40%	13.90%	7.10%	2.30%	5.70%	2.40%	2.50%
Florida Area	1,401	221	20.10%	4.70%	11.10%	3.10%	4.00%	2.20%	2.50%	1.80%
Florida Development	-	13	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
French Quarter	3,198	410	24.60%	3.60%	23.40%	3.70%	11.20%	2.80%	1.40%	1.00%
Freret	2,026	191	25.20%	7.00%	3.90%	2.50%	2.40%	2.00%	1.20%	1.20%
Garden District	1,829	232	21.20%	5.20%	11.80%	3.30%	8.50%	3.60%	1.40%	1.40%
Gentilly Terrace	10,695	743	20.10%	2.20%	10.40%	1.40%	2.50%	0.70%	1.30%	0.60%
Gentilly Woods	3,185	477	17.10%	3.70%	10.10%	2.70%	4.00%	1.80%	0.30%	0.60%
Gert Town	5,117	576	11.20%	2.20%	5.00%	1.30%	1.40%	0.80%	0.50%	0.40%
Hollygrove	6,402	656	18.80%	3.40%	10.30%	2.20%	3.50%	1.40%	1.90%	1.00%
Holy Cross	3,401	403	21.00%	3.80%	9.60%	1.90%	2.70%	1.20%	1.40%	1.10%
Iberville Development	389	72	19.80%	9.80%	0.50%	7.50%	0.50%	4.80%	0.30%	3.40%
Irish Channel	3,275	312	17.70%	3.90%	9.70%	3.00%	5.00%	2.80%	1.00%	0.90%
Lake Catherine	854	149	27.50%	7.00%	23.70%	5.80%	12.20%	5.80%	0.70%	1.80%
Lake Terrace & Oaks	2,143	181	24.40%	3.70%	12.00%	2.60%	4.20%	1.70%	4.20%	2.00%
Lakeshore/Lake Vista	3,546	356	28.60%	3.70%	11.60%	3.10%	6.50%	2.40%	4.50%	1.90%
Lakeview	8,388	339	18.70%	1.70%	8.10%	1.30%	1.70%	0.60%	2.40%	0.80%
Lakewood	1,885	140	23.10%	4.30%	12.00%	2.80%	5.30%	2.00%	0.60%	0.50%
Leonidas	7,228	566	21.10%	3.00%	8.00%	1.50%	4.50%	1.60%	1.90%	0.80%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population 2015-2019	MOE	50-64 years old 2015-2019	MOE	65-74 years old 2015-2019	MOE	75-84 years old 2015-2019	MOE	85 years old and older 2015-2019	MOE
Little Woods	38,558	1,962	19.20%	1.40%	8.20%	0.80%	3.50%	0.70%	1.30%	0.40%
Lower Garden District	6,153	466	20.90%	3.20%	6.50%	1.90%	3.20%	1.30%	0.70%	0.60%
Lower Ninth Ward	4,074	432	29.10%	3.40%	9.60%	2.70%	3.90%	1.40%	1.70%	1.20%
Marigny	3,017	405	25.10%	3.30%	13.20%	3.10%	5.80%	2.00%	2.20%	1.30%
Marlyville/ Fontainebleau	6,269	403	19.00%	2.10%	7.10%	1.30%	2.90%	0.90%	1.80%	0.70%
McDonogh	2,563	420	20.10%	3.10%	7.80%	2.40%	2.50%	1.90%	0.80%	0.90%
Mid-City	14,059	659	18.00%	2.10%	6.40%	1.20%	2.00%	0.80%	1.10%	0.60%
Milan	6,302	637	19.00%	2.50%	7.30%	2.00%	3.50%	1.30%	1.60%	1.00%
Milneburg	4,885	448	17.50%	2.50%	10.10%	1.80%	3.80%	1.20%	0.90%	0.60%
Navarre	2,738	222	17.90%	4.40%	5.80%	2.80%	2.70%	1.30%	0.90%	1.00%
New Aurora/English Turn	6,684	875	21.70%	2.60%	9.00%	1.70%	5.00%	1.50%	2.90%	1.20%
Old Aurora	17,516	1,128	20.40%	1.90%	9.30%	1.50%	5.10%	1.10%	1.40%	0.60%
Pines Village	3,555	533	18.00%	4.00%	7.10%	2.10%	4.60%	2.10%	1.10%	1.00%
Plum Orchard	4,679	612	21.50%	4.30%	5.10%	1.60%	5.80%	2.00%	1.10%	1.00%
Pontchartrain Park	2,011	277	14.10%	4.00%	11.20%	3.80%	2.40%	1.30%	5.30%	2.40%
Read Blvd East	8,709	728	24.50%	2.20%	9.30%	1.70%	2.30%	0.80%	2.30%	0.90%
Read Blvd West	5,083	616	24.00%	3.30%	7.70%	2.00%	2.60%	1.30%	1.20%	1.20%
Seventh Ward	11,120	761	21.30%	1.90%	8.70%	1.50%	4.70%	1.00%	2.10%	0.80%
St. Anthony	5,069	500	16.00%	2.50%	7.00%	1.70%	2.00%	1.00%	0.40%	0.60%
St. Bernard Area	2,664	320	16.90%	4.00%	7.40%	2.20%	5.20%	1.80%	2.90%	1.50%
St. Claude	7,426	533	23.00%	2.60%	10.70%	2.10%	4.00%	1.20%	1.90%	0.80%
St. Roch	8,148	641	17.10%	2.00%	8.40%	1.30%	3.90%	1.20%	1.70%	0.70%
St. Thomas Development	2,369	551	22.20%	6.70%	13.00%	7.00%	2.50%	2.40%	1.00%	1.30%
Tall Timbers/ Brechtel	15,531	1,196	15.60%	1.80%	7.50%	1.30%	3.70%	1.10%	1.60%	0.60%
Touro	2,765	386	22.20%	6.30%	10.60%	3.30%	5.40%	3.10%	0.90%	1.00%
Treme'/Lafitte	4,590	570	18.70%	2.80%	8.80%	1.90%	3.40%	1.30%	1.30%	0.90%
Tulane/Gravier	4,057	604	15.60%	3.30%	1.90%	1.10%	1.80%	1.10%	0.00%	0.60%
U.S. Naval Support Area	2,242	316	18.00%	3.40%	10.30%	3.30%	2.00%	1.00%	2.60%	2.20%
Uptown	6,077	402	15.70%	3.20%	8.60%	1.60%	4.70%	1.40%	2.30%	1.50%
Viavant/Venetian Isles	725	187	15.00%	7.60%	7.00%	6.70%	13.70%	6.50%	8.60%	12.50%
Village de l'est	9,297	870	19.20%	1.70%	6.00%	1.20%	3.40%	1.00%	1.10%	0.70%

Neighborhood Statistical Area	Population 2015-2019	MOE	50-64 years old 2015-2019	MOE	65-74 years old 2015-2019	MOE	75-84 years old 2015-2019	MOE	85 years old and older 2015-2019	MOE
West End	3,931	263	16.10%	3.40%	8.30%	2.40%	5.80%	1.90%	0.40%	0.60%
West Lake Forest	4,740	494	16.80%	2.50%	8.80%	1.50%	2.80%	1.20%	1.10%	1.00%
West Riverside	5,147	380	20.10%	3.20%	7.80%	1.90%	4.30%	1.70%	3.80%	2.30%
Whitney	1,937	311	20.30%	3.50%	8.20%	2.90%	2.80%	2.20%	0.70%	0.90%

Appendix 2 - Stop Results by Race, Sex, and Age Category, 2022

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Citation	%	Verbal Warning	%	Physical Arrest	%
Black	Male	≤12	109	0.8%	2	2%	14	13%	14	13%
Black	Male	13-17	506	3.5%	5	1%	85	17%	248	49%
Black	Male	18-24	1,368	9.5%	186	14%	287	21%	497	36%
Black	Male	25-34	2,012	14.0%	346	17%	441	22%	662	33%
Black	Male	35-64	2,830	19.7%	473	17%	700	25%	786	28%
Black	Male	65+	235	1.6%	47	20%	82	35%	38	16%
Black	Male	Unknown	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	≤12	86	0.6%	4	5%	9	10%	4	5%
Black	Female	13-17	173	1.2%	6	3%	47	27%	57	33%
Black	Female	18-24	570	4.0%	131	23%	131	23%	102	18%
Black	Female	25-34	1,110	7.7%	275	25%	282	25%	201	18%
Black	Female	35-64	1,216	8.5%	301	25%	347	29%	198	16%
Black	Female	65+	83	0.6%	13	16%	27	33%	4	5%
Black	Female	Unknown	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	18-24	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	25-34	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Male	≤12	17	0.1%	3	18%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	13-17	37	0.3%	3	8%	15	41%	3	8%
White	Male	18-24	276	1.9%	37	13%	91	33%	45	16%
White	Male	25-34	661	4.6%	100	15%	221	33%	127	19%
White	Male	35-64	1,191	8.3%	209	18%	439	37%	185	16%
White	Male	65+	149	1.0%	31	21%	60	40%	9	6%
White	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Female	≤12	15	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	13-17	31	0.2%	1	3%	12	39%	3	10%
White	Female	18-24	188	1.3%	29	15%	82	44%	12	6%
White	Female	25-34	337	2.3%	46	14%	128	38%	48	14%
White	Female	35-64	540	3.8%	88	16%	223	41%	65	12%
White	Female	65+	78	0.5%	12	15%	39	50%	1	1%
White	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Citation	%	Verbal Warning	%	Physical Arrest	%
White	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	35-64	9	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Male	≤12	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%
Asian	Male	18-24	12	0.1%	1	8%	6	50%	2	17%
Asian	Male	25-34	10	0.1%	2	20%	4	40%	1	10%
Asian	Male	35-64	37	0.3%	11	30%	18	49%	1	3%
Asian	Male	65+	4	0.0%	3	75%	1	25%	0	0%
Asian	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Female	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Female	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	18-24	7	0.0%	1	14%	3	43%	1	14%
Asian	Female	25-34	7	0.0%	2	29%	2	29%	0	0%
Asian	Female	35-64	16	0.1%	6	38%	3	19%	0	0%
Asian	Female	65+	5	0.0%	1	20%	1	20%	0	0%
Asian	Female	Unknown	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Unknown	≤12	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	18-24	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
Amer. Ind.	Male	25-34	4	0.0%	1	25%	2	50%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	35-64	6	0.0%	2	33%	3	50%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	65+	1	0.0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	18-24	1	0.0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	25-34	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	67%
Amer. Ind.	Female	35-64	1	0.0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Citation	%	Verbal Warning	%	Physical Arrest	%
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Male	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Male	13-17	2	0.0%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	18-24	4	0.0%	3	75%	1	25%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	25-34	9	0.1%	2	22%	5	56%	1	11%
NHPI*	Male	35-64	10	0.1%	2	20%	2	20%	1	10%
NHPI*	Male	65+	1	0.0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	18-24	1	0.0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	25-34	6	0.0%	2	33%	2	33%	1	17%
NHPI*	Female	35-64	3	0.0%	1	33%	1	33%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Male	≤12	12	0.1%	2	17%	1	8%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	13-17	6	0.0%	1	17%	0	0%	3	50%
Unknown	Male	18-24	45	0.3%	20	44%	10	22%	5	11%
Unknown	Male	25-34	86	0.6%	33	38%	18	21%	17	20%
Unknown	Male	35-64	115	0.8%	38	33%	30	26%	13	11%
Unknown	Male	65+	14	0.1%	5	36%	5	36%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Female	≤12	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	18-24	14	0.1%	4	29%	4	29%	2	14%
Unknown	Female	25-34	33	0.2%	7	21%	5	15%	4	12%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Citation	%	Verbal Warning	%	Physical Arrest	%
Unknown	Female	35-64	30	0.2%	5	17%	8	27%	3	10%
Unknown	Female	65+	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Unknown	≤12	11	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	13-17	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	18-24	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	25-34	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Unknown	65+	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

*Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander

Stop Results by Race, Sex, and Age Category continued

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	No Action Taken	%	Summons Issued	%	L.E.A.D.	%
Black	Male	≤12	109	0.8%	80	73%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Male	13-17	506	3.5%	174	34%	1	0%	0	0%
Black	Male	18-24	1,368	9.5%	364	27%	61	4%	0	0%
Black	Male	25-34	2,012	14.0%	460	23%	148	7%	2	0%
Black	Male	35-64	2,830	19.7%	628	22%	294	10%	3	0%
Black	Male	65+	235	1.6%	55	23%	14	6%	2	1%
Black	Male	Unknown	3	0.0%	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	≤12	86	0.6%	69	80%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	13-17	173	1.2%	61	35%	3	2%	0	0%
Black	Female	18-24	570	4.0%	158	28%	54	9%	0	0%
Black	Female	25-34	1,110	7.7%	244	22%	126	11%	1	0%
Black	Female	35-64	1,216	8.5%	253	21%	134	11%	0	0%
Black	Female	65+	83	0.6%	34	41%	6	7%	0	0%
Black	Female	Unknown	1	0.0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	18-24	1	0.0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	25-34	1	0.0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Male	≤12	17	0.1%	14	82%	3	18%	0	0%
White	Male	13-17	37	0.3%	16	43%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	18-24	276	1.9%	87	32%	19	7%	0	0%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	No Action Taken	%	Summons Issued	%	L.E.A.D.	%
White	Male	25-34	661	4.6%	159	24%	57	9%	0	0%
White	Male	35-64	1,191	8.3%	263	22%	107	9%	0	0%
White	Male	65+	149	1.0%	32	21%	18	12%	0	0%
White	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Female	≤12	15	0.1%	15	100%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	13-17	31	0.2%	14	45%	1	3%	0	0%
White	Female	18-24	188	1.3%	59	31%	8	4%	0	0%
White	Female	25-34	337	2.3%	96	28%	26	8%	0	0%
White	Female	35-64	540	3.8%	129	24%	40	7%	0	0%
White	Female	65+	78	0.5%	26	33%	3	4%	0	0%
White	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	35-64	9	0.1%	9	100%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Male	≤12	1	0.0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	18-24	12	0.1%	3	25%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	25-34	10	0.1%	2	20%	1	10%	0	0%
Asian	Male	35-64	37	0.3%	5	14%	2	5%	0	0%
Asian	Male	65+	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Female	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Female	13-17	1	0.0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	18-24	7	0.0%	2	29%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	25-34	7	0.0%	3	43%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	35-64	16	0.1%	5	31%	2	13%	0	0%
Asian	Female	65+	5	0.0%	3	60%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	Unknown	1	0.0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Unknown	≤12	1	0.0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	No Action Taken	%	Summons Issued	%	L.E.A.D.	%
Amer. Ind.	Male	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	18-24	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	25-34	4	0.0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	35-64	6	0.0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	65+	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	18-24	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	25-34	3	0.0%	0	0%	1	33%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	35-64	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Male	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Male	13-17	2	0.0%	0	0%	1	50%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	18-24	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	25-34	9	0.1%	0	0%	1	11%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	35-64	10	0.1%	4	40%	1	10%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	65+	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	18-24	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	25-34	6	0.0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	35-64	3	0.0%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	No Action Taken	%	Summons Issued	%	L.E.A.D.	%
NHPI*	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Male	≤12	12	0.1%	9	75%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	13-17	6	0.0%	2	33%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	18-24	45	0.3%	11	24%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	25-34	86	0.6%	17	20%	4	5%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	35-64	115	0.8%	24	21%	12	10%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	65+	14	0.1%	3	21%	1	7%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Female	≤12	3	0.0%	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	13-17	1	0.0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	18-24	14	0.1%	3	21%	1	7%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	25-34	33	0.2%	15	45%	2	6%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	35-64	30	0.2%	12	40%	2	7%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	65+	2	0.0%	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Unknown	≤12	11	0.1%	11	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	13-17	3	0.0%	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	18-24	7	0.0%	7	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	25-34	4	0.0%	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Unknown	65+	2	0.0%	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	1	0.0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%

*Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander

Appendix 3 – Search Types by Race, Sex, and Age, 2022

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Pat Down	%	Asked To Consent Search	%	Person Search	%
Black	Male	≤12	109	0.8%	7	6%	0	0%	13	12%
Black	Male	13-17	506	3.5%	157	31%	0	0%	259	51%
Black	Male	18-24	1,368	9.5%	375	27%	1	0%	568	42%
Black	Male	25-34	2,012	14.0%	328	16%	3	0%	767	38%
Black	Male	35-64	2,830	19.7%	357	13%	4	0%	934	33%
Black	Male	65+	235	1.6%	23	10%	2	1%	45	19%
Black	Male	Unknown	3	0.0%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	≤12	86	0.6%	1	1%	0	0%	2	2%
Black	Female	13-17	173	1.2%	16	9%	0	0%	46	27%
Black	Female	18-24	570	4.0%	22	4%	0	0%	99	17%
Black	Female	25-34	1,110	7.7%	34	3%	0	0%	207	19%
Black	Female	35-64	1,216	8.5%	54	4%	0	0%	197	16%
Black	Female	65+	83	0.6%	5	6%	0	0%	6	7%
Black	Female	Unknown	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	18-24	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	25-34	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Male	≤12	17	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	13-17	37	0.3%	3	8%	0	0%	3	8%
White	Male	18-24	276	1.9%	38	14%	1	0%	58	21%
White	Male	25-34	661	4.6%	60	9%	1	0%	161	24%
White	Male	35-64	1,191	8.3%	90	8%	2	0%	239	20%
White	Male	65+	149	1.0%	9	6%	0	0%	14	9%
White	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Female	≤12	15	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	13-17	31	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	2	6%
White	Female	18-24	188	1.3%	4	2%	0	0%	12	6%
White	Female	25-34	337	2.3%	12	4%	0	0%	50	15%
White	Female	35-64	540	3.8%	15	3%	1	0%	70	13%
White	Female	65+	78	0.5%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%
White	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Pat Down	%	Asked To Consent Search	%	Person Search	%
White	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	35-64	9	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Male	≤12	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	18-24	12	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	2	17%
Asian	Male	25-34	10	0.1%	2	20%	0	0%	1	10%
Asian	Male	35-64	37	0.3%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%
Asian	Male	65+	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%
Asian	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Female	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Female	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	18-24	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	14%
Asian	Female	25-34	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	35-64	16	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	65+	5	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	Unknown	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Unknown	≤12	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	18-24	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%
Amer. Ind.	Male	25-34	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	35-64	6	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	65+	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	18-24	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	25-34	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	67%
Amer. Ind.	Female	35-64	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Pat Down	%	Asked To Consent Search	%	Person Search	%
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Male	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Male	13-17	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	18-24	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	25-34	9	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	11%
NHPI*	Male	35-64	10	0.1%	3	30%	0	0%	2	20%
NHPI*	Male	65+	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	18-24	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	25-34	6	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%
NHPI*	Female	35-64	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Male	≤12	12	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	13-17	6	0.0%	2	33%	0	0%	3	50%
Unknown	Male	18-24	45	0.3%	6	13%	0	0%	6	13%
Unknown	Male	25-34	86	0.6%	6	7%	0	0%	18	21%
Unknown	Male	35-64	115	0.8%	7	6%	0	0%	19	17%
Unknown	Male	65+	14	0.1%	1	7%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Female	≤12	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	18-24	14	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	7%
Unknown	Female	25-34	33	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	4	12%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Pat Down	%	Asked To Consent Search	%	Person Search	%
Unknown	Female	35-64	30	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	3	10%
Unknown	Female	65+	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Unknown	≤12	11	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	13-17	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	18-24	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	25-34	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Unknown	65+	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

*Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis Consent	%	Search Basis Warrant	%	Search Basis Inventory	%
Black	Male	≤12	109	0.8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Male	13-17	506	3.5%	1	0%	8	2%	3	1%
Black	Male	18-24	1,368	9.5%	1	0%	18	1%	2	0%
Black	Male	25-34	2,012	14.0%	6	0%	36	2%	6	0%
Black	Male	35-64	2,830	19.7%	10	0%	50	2%	13	0%
Black	Male	65+	235	1.6%	0	0%	2	1%	0	0%
Black	Male	Unknown	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	≤12	86	0.6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	13-17	173	1.2%	1	1%	2	1%	0	0%
Black	Female	18-24	570	4.0%	1	0%	3	1%	2	0%
Black	Female	25-34	1,110	7.7%	1	0%	12	1%	1	0%
Black	Female	35-64	1,216	8.5%	2	0%	12	1%	2	0%
Black	Female	65+	83	0.6%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%
Black	Female	Unknown	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	18-24	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	25-34	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Male	≤12	17	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	13-17	37	0.3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	18-24	276	1.9%	1	0%	0	0%	2	1%
White	Male	25-34	661	4.6%	1	0%	7	1%	4	1%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis Consent	%	Search Basis Warrant	%	Search Basis Inventory	%
White	Male	35-64	1,191	8.3%	3	0%	5	0%	3	0%
White	Male	65+	149	1.0%	0	0%	1	1%	1	1%
White	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Female	≤12	15	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	13-17	31	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	18-24	188	1.3%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%
White	Female	25-34	337	2.3%	1	0%	1	0%	2	1%
White	Female	35-64	540	3.8%	2	0%	1	0%	1	0%
White	Female	65+	78	0.5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	35-64	9	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Male	≤12	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	18-24	12	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	25-34	10	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	35-64	37	0.3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	65+	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Female	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Female	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	18-24	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	25-34	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	35-64	16	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	65+	5	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	Unknown	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Unknown	≤12	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis Consent	%	Search Basis Warrant	%	Search Basis Inventory	%
Amer. Ind.	Male	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	18-24	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	25-34	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	35-64	6	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	65+	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	18-24	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	25-34	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	35-64	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Male	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Male	13-17	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	18-24	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	25-34	9	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	35-64	10	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	65+	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	18-24	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	25-34	6	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	35-64	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis Consent	%	Search Basis Warrant	%	Search Basis Inventory	%
NHPI*	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Male	≤12	12	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	13-17	6	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	18-24	45	0.3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	25-34	86	0.6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	35-64	115	0.8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	65+	14	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Female	≤12	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	18-24	14	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	25-34	33	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	35-64	30	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	65+	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Unknown	≤12	11	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	13-17	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	18-24	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	25-34	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Unknown	65+	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

*Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis ITA	%	Search Basis Plain View	%	Search Basis Exig Cir	%
Black	Male	≤12	109	0.8%	12	11%	0	0%	1	1%
Black	Male	13-17	506	3.5%	237	47%	0	0%	12	2%
Black	Male	18-24	1,368	9.5%	533	39%	0	0%	22	2%
Black	Male	25-34	2,012	14.0%	701	35%	0	0%	36	2%
Black	Male	35-64	2,830	19.7%	848	30%	0	0%	39	1%
Black	Male	65+	235	1.6%	39	17%	0	0%	5	2%
Black	Male	Unknown	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	≤12	86	0.6%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	13-17	173	1.2%	42	24%	0	0%	2	1%
Black	Female	18-24	570	4.0%	93	16%	0	0%	3	1%
Black	Female	25-34	1,110	7.7%	193	17%	0	0%	7	1%
Black	Female	35-64	1,216	8.5%	185	15%	0	0%	10	1%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis ITA	%	Search Basis Plain View	%	Search Basis Exig Cir	%
Black	Female	65+	83	0.6%	5	6%	0	0%	1	1%
Black	Female	Unknown	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	18-24	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	25-34	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Male	≤12	17	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	13-17	37	0.3%	3	8%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	18-24	276	1.9%	55	20%	0	0%	1	0%
White	Male	25-34	661	4.6%	145	22%	0	0%	9	1%
White	Male	35-64	1,191	8.3%	222	19%	0	0%	8	1%
White	Male	65+	149	1.0%	12	8%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Female	≤12	15	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	13-17	31	0.2%	2	6%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	18-24	188	1.3%	12	6%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	25-34	337	2.3%	48	14%	0	0%	1	0%
White	Female	35-64	540	3.8%	61	11%	0	0%	5	1%
White	Female	65+	78	0.5%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	35-64	9	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Male	≤12	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	18-24	12	0.1%	2	17%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	25-34	10	0.1%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	35-64	37	0.3%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	65+	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%
Asian	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Female	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Female	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis ITA	%	Search Basis Plain View	%	Search Basis Exig Cir	%
Asian	Female	18-24	7	0.0%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	25-34	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	35-64	16	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	65+	5	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	Unknown	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Unknown	≤12	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	18-24	1	0.0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	25-34	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	35-64	6	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	65+	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	18-24	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	25-34	3	0.0%	2	67%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	35-64	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Male	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Male	13-17	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	18-24	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	25-34	9	0.1%	1	11%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	35-64	10	0.1%	2	20%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	65+	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis ITA	%	Search Basis Plain View	%	Search Basis Exig Cir	%
NHPI*	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	18-24	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	25-34	6	0.0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	35-64	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Male	≤12	12	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	13-17	6	0.0%	3	50%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	18-24	45	0.3%	5	11%	0	0%	1	2%
Unknown	Male	25-34	86	0.6%	18	21%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	35-64	115	0.8%	18	16%	0	0%	1	1%
Unknown	Male	65+	14	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Female	≤12	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	18-24	14	0.1%	1	7%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	25-34	33	0.2%	4	12%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	35-64	30	0.2%	3	10%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	65+	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Unknown	≤12	11	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	13-17	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	18-24	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	25-34	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Unknown	65+	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

*Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis Veh Exc	%	Search Basis Plain Smell	%	Strip Cavity Requested	%
Black	Male	≤12	109	0.8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Male	13-17	506	3.5%	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%
Black	Male	18-24	1,368	9.5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Male	25-34	2,012	14.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Male	35-64	2,830	19.7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Male	65+	235	1.6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Male	Unknown	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	≤12	86	0.6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	13-17	173	1.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	18-24	570	4.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	25-34	1,110	7.7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	35-64	1,216	8.5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	65+	83	0.6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	Unknown	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	18-24	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	25-34	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Male	≤12	17	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	13-17	37	0.3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	18-24	276	1.9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	25-34	661	4.6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	35-64	1,191	8.3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	65+	149	1.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Female	≤12	15	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	13-17	31	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	18-24	188	1.3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	25-34	337	2.3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	35-64	540	3.8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	65+	78	0.5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis Veh Exc	%	Search Basis Plain Smell	%	Strip Cavity Requested	%
White	Unknown	35-64	9	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Male	≤12	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	18-24	12	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	25-34	10	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	35-64	37	0.3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	65+	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Female	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Female	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	18-24	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	25-34	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	35-64	16	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	65+	5	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	Unknown	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Unknown	≤12	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	18-24	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	25-34	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	35-64	6	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	65+	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	18-24	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	25-34	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	35-64	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis Veh Exc	%	Search Basis Plain Smell	%	Strip Cavity Requested	%
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Male	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Male	13-17	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	18-24	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	25-34	9	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	35-64	10	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	65+	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	18-24	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	25-34	6	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	35-64	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Male	≤12	12	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	13-17	6	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	18-24	45	0.3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	25-34	86	0.6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	35-64	115	0.8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	65+	14	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Female	≤12	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	13-17	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	18-24	14	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	25-34	33	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	35-64	30	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis Veh Exc	%	Search Basis Plain Smell	%	Strip Cavity Requested	%
Unknown	Female	65+	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Unknown	≤12	11	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	13-17	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	18-24	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	25-34	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Unknown	65+	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

*Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander