2024 Stop and Search Annual Report

> On at least an annual basis, NOPD shall issue a report summarizing the stop and search data collected, the analysis of that data, and the steps taken to correct problems and build on successes. The report shall be made publicly available. [Consent Decree ¶153]

The purpose of this report is to summarize and analyze the stop and search data collected for the past year and to summarize the steps NOPD took to correct problems and build on successes. This report does not attempt to assess whether NOPD polices in a bias-free manner. See the Bias-Free annual reports for such analyses. Although briefly covered in the section titled "Steps taken to correct problems and build upon successes", this report also does not assess the extent to which NOPD conducts constitutional stops and searches. For such an assessment, see the Stops, Searches, and Arrests (SSA) audit reports. Both reports can be found at nola.gov/nopd/nopd-consent-decree. This report answers several key questions:

1) How many stops occurred in 2024? And, how have these stops varied by neighborhood, race/ethnicity of the subject, sex of the subject, type of subject (driver, passenger, or pedestrian), age of the subject, time of day, and type of stop?
2) What was the result of the stop (arrest, Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion, citation, summons, warning, or no action), and how did that vary based upon the race, sex, and age of the subject?
3) Did a search occur? What type of search occurred? How did that action vary based upon the race, sex, and age of the subject?
4) Was contraband seized? How did that action vary based upon the race, sex, and age of the subject?

The data are displayed in graphics throughout the report and in the appendices. For comparison, visit nola.gov/nopd/nopd-consent-decree to view the Stop and Search Annual Report from previous years.

Contents

Key Definitions 4
Relevant policies 7
Year-to-Year comparisons 8
District Attorney Acceptance Rates 9
Allegations of Bias 9
Table 1: Allegations of Bias by Disposition and Year 9
Relevant Geographic Area 10
Figure 1 - Neighborhoods in New Orleans 11
Field Interview Card Analysis 11
Figure 2 – Percentage of All FICs created in each New Orleans neighborhood, 2024 12
Figure 3 - Field interview cards in New Orleans by time of day, 2016-2024 13
Figure 4 – FIC Subjects in New Orleans by race/ethnicity of the subject, 2016-2024 15
Figure 5 - FICs in New Orleans by sex of the subject, 2016-2024 16
Figure 6 - FICs in New Orleans by age of the subject, 2016-2024 17
Table 2: FICs for each Race, Sex, and Age Category, 2024 18
Figure 7 - FICs in New Orleans by subject type, 2016-2024 21
Figure 8 - Field interview cards in New Orleans by race/ethnicity of the officer, 2016-2024 22
Figure 9 - Field interview cards in New Orleans by sex of the officer, 2016-2024 23
Figure 10 - Field interview cards in New Orleans by age of the officer, 2016-2024 24
Figure 11 - Field interview cards in New Orleans by event type, 2016-2024 26
Table 3: Event Type and Race of Subject, 2024 27
Table 4: Event type and Sex of Subject, 2024 27
Table 5: Event type and Age of Subject, 2024 28
Figure 12 - FICs in New Orleans by stop result, 2016-2024 29
FICs by stop results and neighborhood 30
Table 6: FICs in New Orleans by stop result and race/ethnicity of the subject, 2024 32
Table 7: FICs in New Orleans by stop result and sex of the subject, 2024 33
Table 8: FIC results in New Orleans by age of the subject, 2024 33
Figure 13 – Percent of field interview cards that indicate a search occurred, 2016-2024 34
Figure 14 – Total searches indicated on field interview cards, 2016-2024 34
Figure 15 – Total pat downs indicated on field interview cards, 2016-2024 35
Figure 16 - Searches in New Orleans by reason for search, 2016-2024 36
Table 9: Discretionary Search Rates, 2016-2024 37
Table 10: Discretionary Search Rates by Race of Subject 2024 37
Table 11: Discretionary Search Rates by Sex of Subject, 2024 38
Table 12: Discretionary Search Rates by Age of Subject, 2024 38
Table 13: Discretionary Searches and Evidence Seized Rates, 2016-2024 39

Table 14: Discretionary Searches and Evidence Seized by Race of Subject, 2024 39
Table 15: Discretionary Searches and Evidence Seized by Sex of Subject, 2024 40
Table 16: Discretionary Searches and Evidence Seized by Age of Subject, 2024 40
Table 17: Pat Down Rates by Race/Ethnicity of Subject, 2024 41
Table 18: Pat Down Weapon Seized Rates by Race/Ethnicity of Subject, 2024 41
Searches by search type and neighborhood 41
Table 19: FIC subjects by race/ethnicity, whether the subject was arrested, and search type, 2024 43
Table 20: FIC subjects by sex, whether the subject was arrested, and search type, 2024 43
Table 21: FIC subjects by age category, whether the subject was arrested, and search type, 2024 44
Figure 17 – Arrests, 2016-2024 45
Figure 18 – Evidence seized by type, 2016-2024 45
Evidence seized by type and neighborhood 46
Table 22: Evidence discovered by type and race/ethnicity of subject, 2024 46
Table 23: Evidence found in New Orleans by type of evidence and sex of subject, 2024 47
Table 24: Evidence seized by type and age of subject, 2024 47
Steps taken to correct problems and build on successes 48
Table 25: SSA-related Supervisor Feedback Log Entries by Type, 2024 49
Table 26: SSA-related Supervisor Feedback Log Entries by Topic, 2024 49
Appendix 1 – New Orleans' Neighborhood Demographics (source: The Data Center, Neighborhood Statistical Area Data Profiles, released January 2024) 53
Appendix 2 - Stop Results by Race, Sex, and Age Category, 2024 67
Appendix 3 – Search Types by Race, Sex, and Age, 2024 74

Key Definitions

Age – Age of the subject is based on the subject's date of birth or apparent age, if the subject refuses to provide information or the officer cannot legally demand identification.

Contraband – Items which are illegally possessed. This includes the following:

a) Drugs – any substance defined, enumerated, or included in federal or state criminal statute or regulations, 21 CFR Chapter 1308.11-15 or La. R.S. 40:964, or any substance which may hereafter be designated as a controlled dangerous substance by amendment or supplementation of such regulations or statute. The term shall not include distilled spirits, wine, malt beverages, or tobacco;
b) Weapons – includes any items that are illegally possessed which, in the manner used, is calculated or likely to produce death or great bodily harm. Usually a firearm or edged weapon for Field Interview Card purposes;
c) Other – any other item that is not a drug or a weapon which is illegally possessed

Field Interview Card (FIC) – The method NOPD utilizes to document official Stops/Terry Stops and other discretionary interactions with members of the public. To conduct a field interview, an officer must have reasonable suspicion that the subject has been, is, or is about to be, engaged in the commission of a crime. According to Departmental policy, only one FIC entry should be made per incident.

Sex – Synonymous with gender, sex of the subject is entered by the officer and is based on the officer's observation, if not provided to the officer by the subject.

Neighborhood – Neighborhoods are defined using the neighborhood boundaries disseminated by The Data Center (datacenterresearch.org).

Race/ethnicity – Race/ethnicity of the subject is entered by the officer and is based on the officer's observation.

Search – An inspection, examination, or viewing of persons, places, or items in which an individual has a legitimate expectation of privacy. The U.S. Constitution generally requires law enforcement to obtain a warrant prior to conducting a search. There are, however, limited exceptions to the warrant requirement, including the following types of searches:

a) Consent to search – permission given to a law enforcement officer to search a person, vehicle or structure by one who has the legal right to do so;

b) Exigent circumstances –A compelling urgency or true emergency that an officer can specifically describe not using vague terms or boilerplate language. Circumstances that cause a reasonable person to believe that prompt action is necessary to prevent injury to himself/herself or others.

c) Incident to arrest – a search that takes place during or immediately after a physical arrest;

d) Inventory – an administrative search conducted to itemize and identify property for safe- keeping;

e) Plain view – if an officer sees an item that is immediately recognizable as contraband and they are in a place they have a legal right to be when the viewing is made, the item can be seized;

f) Pat down/frisk - An external examination of the outer garments of an individual for the purpose of ensuring the individual does not possess any weapons. A pat down may only be performed when it is based on an officer's reasonable suspicion that the person is armed and dangerous and must be limited to what is necessary to detect weapons

g) Vehicle Exception - Officers may search a vehicle without a search warrant if they have probable cause to believe that evidence or contraband is in the vehicle. The scope of the search is limited to only the area that the officers have probable cause to search.

Stop –A brief, minimally intrusive detention of a subject, including pedestrians, bikers, and/or the occupants of a vehicle, during which a reasonable person in the subject's position would not feel free to leave, as defined in Terry v. Ohio, 392 U.S. 1 (1968).

Investigatory Stop – The temporary involuntary detention and questioning of a person and/or vehicle and its occupants to investigate potential criminal conduct. To conduct an investigatory stop, the officer must have reasonable suspicion that the individual or vehicle occupant has engaged, is engaging, or is about to engage in criminal conduct.

Vehicle stop—The involuntary detention of a motor vehicle and its occupants. Vehicle stops may be conducted (1) where there is probable cause to believe that the driver has committed a traffic violation or (2) where there is reasonable suspicion that a vehicle occupant has engaged, is engaging, or is about to engage in criminal conduct.

Stop result – A stop may end in any of the six manners listed below:

a) No action – the stop ends with no enforcement action taken by the officer;
b) Warning – the stop ends in only a verbal warning by the officer;
c) Citation – the stop ends with the subject receiving a citation;
d) Summons – the stop ends with the subject receiving a summons in lieu of a physical arrest;
e) Arrest – the stop ends with the subject in the physical custody of the officer awaiting booking into a jail facility; or
f) LEAD – (Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion) the stop ends with the subject, who could have been charged with a misdemeanor, booked into jail or issued a summons, and referred for prosecution, engaged instead by LEAD program staff (a program coordinator and case management team) working with the City's Health Department and a local service provider.

Event type – Field interview cards can be categorized into the different event types that describe the initial reason for the interaction. The event types available on the FIC are listed below:

a) Call for service – the officer is dispatched by the Orleans Parish Communications District;
b) Citizen contact – the officer initiates a duty-related conversation with a person;

c) Criminal violation – the officer observes a violation of law other than a traffic violation;
d) Flagged down – a person gains the officer's attention to report a problem or observation;
e) Juvenile violation – the officer observes a juvenile violating the law;
f) Present at crime scene – while on the scene of a crime, the officer initiates a duty-related conversation with a person;
g) Suspect person – the officer initiates a duty related conversation with a person who is a subject in a criminal violation;
h) Suspect vehicle – the officer initiates a duty related conversation with a person who is in a vehicle that is present or involved in a criminal violation;
i) Traffic violation – the officer observes a violation of a traffic offense;
j) Public Safety Ride – the officer provides a voluntary transport to an individual as a public service;
k) Vehicle Non-Pursuit – the officer decides not to initiate a pursuit on a vehicle that refuses to stop or has driven away after stopping (activated 1/25/2024); and
l) Other – any other stop or detention by an officer.

Relevant policies

The following approved policies govern NOPD's actions with respect to stops, searches, and arrests:

- Search and Seizure – Chapter 1.2.4
- Terry Stops and Investigatory Stops – Chapter 1.2.4.1
- Search Warrant Consent Forms and Reviews – Chapter 1.2.4.2
- Vehicle Stops – Chapter 1.2.4.3
- Traffic Citations – Chapter 61.3
- Handcuffing and Restraint Devices – Chapter 1.3.1.1
- Evidence and Property – Chapter 84.1
- Arrests – Chapter 1.9
- Miranda Rights –Chapter 1.9.1
- Arrest Warrant Wanted Persons – Chapter 1.9.2
- Alternatives to Arrest – Chapter 1.2.6
- Alternatives to Arrest – Sobering Center – Chapter 1.2.7
- Field Interview Cards – Chapter 41.12
- Juveniles – Chapter 44.2
- Juvenile Warning Notice and Summons – Chapter 44.3
- Temporary Custody of Juveniles – Chapter 44.1.4
- Bias Free Policing – Chapter 41.13
- Other related policies such as Interactions with LGBTQ Persons – Chapter 41.13.1

NOPD policies are available at nola.gov/nopd/policies

Year-to-Year comparisons

As NOPD develops new policies and updates forms, the protocols governing stops, searches, and arrests may change. As a result, the underlying data and what they represent will change as well. This may present difficulty in conducting direct comparisons between 2024 and prior years, or subsequent years. Nevertheless, many comparisons between the 2016 through 2024 data are given below. Specific to updates to the Field Interview Card (FIC) form, NOPD made the following changes in March 2021:

- "Plain View" and "Plain Smell" could no longer be documented as the sole basis for the search and instead were categorized as "relevant factors"
- Added a Limited English Proficiency section to document when a subject has LEP and if so what language they speak and how the officer provided interpretation services.
- Added a restraint section to require officers to document when a subject is restrained, how they were restrained, and the justification for the restraints.

And in February 2018, NOPD made the following changes to the FIC:

- Added a vehicle search section to separate the documentation of searches of people and vehicles
- Added a pat down section to separate the documentation of pat downs from other searches
- Required demographics for passengers
- Added additional fields for consent searches to document when consent was requested, whether it was given, and if a consent search form was completed
- Added additional fields for strip and cavity searches to document the type of search requested, the officer who requested the search, whether a supervisor approved the request, and the supervisor who approved/disapproved the request
- Added a text box for related item numbers
- Added Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion (LEAD) as an option in the result section

District Attorney Acceptance Rates

In 2024, the district attorney declined to prosecute the charges for 114 (1.5%) of the 7,586 arrests made by NOPD because of the officer's or an NOPD employee's actions. The rate was 0.2% (12/6,067) in 2022, 0.1% (7/6,606) in 2021 and 0.1% (8/6,765) in 2020. Regarding the 2024 arrests, the DA used the refusal code for "Incomplete Police Investigation" for 45, "No probable cause for arrest" for 32, "Unlawful search no warrant" for one, "Unlawful search with warrant" for none, and "Law Enforcement Issue" for 36. NOPD developed a tracking system to ensure such refusals are addressed when screening decision information is provided by the district attorney's office. As part of the process, NOPD supervisors work with the district attorney's office to understand the reasons for the refusals and then craft an appropriate response to the employee's actions. NOPD's Professional Standards and Accountability Bureau tracks these refusals to ensure they are addressed appropriately.

Allegations of Bias

Misconduct complaints involving discrimination are investigated and assessed according to Chapter 41.13 – Bias Free Policing and other related policies such as Chapter 41.13.1 – Interactions with LGBTQ Persons. A complaint is any allegation of misconduct committed by any NOPD employee that is reported by any person, including any NOPD employee. Table 1 below shows three allegations of discrimination or bias were Sustained between 2016 and 2024. The Sustained allegation in 2020 was for prejudicial comments. The Sustained allegation in 2023 was for repeatedly failing to introduce oneself and explain the reason for the stop. The Sustained allegation in 2024 was for requiring a meeting with an individual with no legitimate law enforcement purpose. The employee with the Sustained allegation in 2020 resigned while under investigation. The employee with the Sustained allegation in 2023 was suspended for two days. The employee with the Sustained allegation in 2024 was dismissed.

Table 1: Allegations of Bias by Disposition and Year

Disposition	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Sustained	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
Pending (under investigation)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
Exonerated	8	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Not sustained	5	2	4	3	3	3	1	0	4
No formal investigation merited	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Unfounded	16	25	21	12	8	7	5	20	12
DI-2 (Counseling)	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Cancelled	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	2	0
Total	**30**	**29**	**26**	**17**	**14**	**10**	**6**	**23**	**22**

*For definitions of allegation dispositions, see Chapter 52.1: Complaint Intake and Investigation, available at nola.gov/nopd/policies.

The number of discrimination and bias-based allegations over the past nine years saw a gradual decline from 30 in 2016 to 6 in 2022 until the number increased to 23 in 2023 and 22 in 2024. Over the same time period, NOPD has made a concerted effort toward transparency and public awareness of the processes to file complaints of NOPD misconduct, as well as how to submit commendations for outstanding examples of police work. Placards, brochures, and forms detailing the complaint and commendation process have been made available to each District Station, NOPD Headquarters, City Hall, the office of the Independent Police Monitor, and New Orleans' public libraries. This information has been transcribed in English, Spanish, and Vietnamese to provide all New Orleans residents and visitors a way to contact the NOPD regarding positive and/or negative experiences.

The majority of allegations of discrimination and bias-based policing receive a final disposition of "Unfounded." According to NOPD policy, the Unfounded disposition is used in cases in which "the investigation determines, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the alleged misconduct did not occur or did not involve the subject employee." The disposition "Not Sustained" means the investigator or hearing officer was unable to determine, by a preponderance of the evidence, whether alleged misconduct occurred. Since 2016, three allegations of discriminatory policing were Sustained. The Sustained disposition is used when the investigation determines the alleged misconduct occurred.

PIB investigates allegations of criminal misconduct against NOPD officers and civilian employees, and PIB shares the investigation of violations of administrative regulations with first-line supervisors. In order to hold first-line supervisors accountable and ensure their involvement in complaints against their subordinates, many administrative complaints are forwarded to division commanders through their bureau chiefs for investigation. These investigations are reviewed through that bureau's chain of command, then ultimately by PIB and the Superintendent of Police. To learn more about NOPD's misconduct complaint process, or the nature of NOPD complaints in previous years, you can find the complaint data and annual reports at nola.gov/nopd/nopd-consent- decree

Relevant Geographic Area

All data presented in this report and used for analysis are confined to Orleans Parish. Figure 1 shows a neighborhood reference map of New Orleans.[1]

[Figure 1 is on the next page]

[1] New Orleans and Orleans Parish refer to the same geographic area.

Figure 1 - Neighborhoods in New Orleans



Data sources: Water & parish boundaries (Census Tiger files), parks (ESRI StreetMap 2003), neighborhood boundaries (City Planning Commission of New Orleans)

Field Interview Card Analysis

NOPD officers are required to complete field interview cards (FICs) when they conduct self-initiated investigatory stops and searches. For a complete list of scenarios that require FICs see Chapter 41.12 Field Interview Cards (available at nola.gov/nopd/policies). In 2024, NOPD completed 23,162 FICs, a 98% increase from the 11,717 FICs recorded in 2023, and a 42% decrease from the 40,497 completed in 2019. In early 2023, the Department implemented a strategic plan that included increasing the number of traffic violation stops, which likely explains the increase in FICs from 2023 to 2024. The map shown in Figure 2 depicts how these FICs were distributed throughout the city in 2024. Lakeview had the highest number of FIC subjects, with 2,649, up from 314 the previous year. The French Quarter came in second, with 2,003, up from 1,428 in 2023. The Central Business District had the third highest number of FIC subjects in the city, with 1,568, up from 970 in 2023. The French Quarter and the Central Business District have a high concentration of non-residents, including tourists and commuters. In 2024, officers indicated 47% of the subjects on FICs documenting interactions in the French Quarter and Central Business District lived in New Orleans and 83% lived in Louisiana. Figure

2 shows the distribution of FICs completed in 2024 by neighborhood.

Figure 2 – Percentage of All FICs created in each New Orleans neighborhood, 2024



Time of day of FICs

In 2024, the timing of FICs was fairly evenly distributed between the morning (6-10am, 19%), midday (10-2pm, 20%) the afternoon (2-6pm, 20%), the evening (6-10pm, 17%), and midnight (10pm-2am, 16%). Officers completed the smallest portion of FICs in the early morning hours (2-6am, 8%).

[Figure 3 is on the next page]

Figure 3 - Field interview cards in New Orleans by time of day, 2016-2024



Race/Ethnicity of FIC Subjects

Figure 4 gives the distribution of stops across races/ethnicities for 2016-2024. The distribution of stops across races/ethnicities in 2024 differed from previous years. Black or African American individuals represented 61% of all subjects documented on FICs, down from 73% in 2023. White individuals represented 31% of all subjects documented on FICs, up from 23% in 2023. "Hispanic" was removed from the race/ethnicity options on the FIC in February 2021. FICs documenting Asian, and American Indian and Alaskan Native individuals showed little to no change, remaining at about 1%, and <1%, respectively in 2015 through 2024. Instances of officers documenting people on FICs with unknown race ethnicity increased from consistently about 1% from 2015-2020 to 3.1% in 2021, 2.7% in 2022, 3.3% in 2023 and 3.5% in 2024. Although the portion of stops of Black individuals appears high, experts believe measures of resident population (i.e. census data) should not be used as a sole method of benchmarking the population at risk of being stopped. This is partly due to concerns that the census undercounts minorities, pedestrian and vehicular populations include a greater percentage of minorities than indicated by the census, a large portion of drivers are not residents, and officers are more likely to be in minority neighborhoods because a disproportionate number of calls for service come from minority neighborhoods.[2] In 2024, Officers indicated 59% of subjects documented on FICs lived in New Orleans. As stated earlier, this report is not designed to assess whether NOPD polices in a manner that is free of bias. See the bias-free annual reports available at nola.gov/next/nopd/consent-decree for such analyses.

[Figure 4 is on the next page]

[2] Analysis Group. 2005. Proposed Pedestrian and Motor Vehicle Stop Data Analyses Methodology Report. Los Angeles;
Grogger and Ridgeway. 2006. Testing for Racial Profiling in Traffic Stops From Behind a Veil of Darkness. Journal of American Statistical Association, September 2006, Vol 101, No. 475 via The Rand Corporation;
Haberman et al. 2020. Developing an Analytical Framework for Assessing Bias-Free Policing in the City of Cincinnati, Preliminary Report. University of Cincinnati. Ch 5 Traffic Stop Analysis, External Benchmark Census Data, P40;
Police Strategies LLC. 2021. Demographic Disparity Analysis of Law Enforcement Data from the Spokane Police Department. Appendix C, The Problem with Population, P270.

Figure 4 – FIC Subjects in New Orleans by race/ethnicity of the subject, 2016-2024



* "Hispanic" was removed from the FIC race dropdown menu in February 2021.

Sex of FIC Subjects

In 2024, males represented 62% of all subjects documented on FICs, a slight decrease from 67% in 2023. Females represented 38% of all subjects documented on FICs, a slight increase from 33% in 2023.

Figure 5 - FICs in New Orleans by sex of the subject, 2016-2024



Age of FIC Subjects

The percentage of stops that included young adult subjects (ages 18 to 24) decreased slightly from 18% in 2023 to 15% in 2024. In 2024, the largest portion of stopped subjects, 42%, were between the ages of 35 and 64. Subjects between the ages of 25 and 34 represented 30% of all stops in 2024. In 2018, NOPD began documenting the demographic information of passengers, as required by the Consent Decree, which increased the number and percentage of subjects aged 17 and under.

Figure 6 - FICs in New Orleans by age of the subject, 2016-2024



FICs for all combinations of Race/Ethnicity, Sex, and Age

Table 2 below provides the number and percentage of people who were documented on FICs in 2024 for every combination of race, sex, and age category. In 2024, the largest percentage, 16.4%, is for the group of people documented on FICs that were Black, male, and between the ages of 35 and 64. It is important to note, as previously stated, that officers are required to document in the FIC the demographic information for all occupants in vehicles they stop. And, as also previously stated, experts do not recommend comparing statistics for police stops to measures of resident population (i.e. census data).

Table 2: FICs for each Race, Sex, and Age Category, 2024

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%
Black	Male	≤12	134	0.5%
Black	Male	13-17	319	1.2%
Black	Male	18-24	1,569	6.0%
Black	Male	25-34	2,761	10.5%
Black	Male	35-64	4,291	16.4%
Black	Male	65+	517	2.0%
Black	Male	Unknown	29	0.1%
Black	Female	≤12	119	0.5%
Black	Female	13-17	120	0.5%
Black	Female	18-24	1,030	3.9%
Black	Female	25-34	2,281	8.7%
Black	Female	35-64	2,662	10.2%
Black	Female	65+	222	0.8%
Black	Female	Unknown	25	0.1%
Black	Unknown	≤12	12	0.0%
Black	Unknown	13-17	2	0.0%
Black	Unknown	18-24	4	0.0%
Black	Unknown	25-34	1	0.0%
Black	Unknown	35-64	1	0.0%
Black	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%
Black	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%
White	Male	≤12	58	0.2%
White	Male	13-17	109	0.4%
White	Male	18-24	649	2.5%
White	Male	25-34	1,305	5.0%
White	Male	35-64	2,612	10.0%
White	Male	65+	413	1.6%
White	Male	Unknown	6	0.0%
White	Female	≤12	50	0.2%
White	Female	13-17	68	0.3%
White	Female	18-24	388	1.5%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%
White	Female	25-34	872	3.3%
White	Female	35-64	1,453	5.5%
White	Female	65+	203	0.8%
White	Female	Unknown	5	0.0%
White	Unknown	≤12	4	0.0%
White	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%
White	Unknown	18-24	1	0.0%
White	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%
White	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%
White	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%
White	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%
Asian	Male	≤12	1	0.0%
Asian	Male	13-17	3	0.0%
Asian	Male	18-24	11	0.0%
Asian	Male	25-34	28	0.1%
Asian	Male	35-64	73	0.3%
Asian	Male	65+	14	0.1%
Asian	Male	Unknown	1	0.0%
Asian	Female	≤12	1	0.0%
Asian	Female	13-17	3	0.0%
Asian	Female	18-24	10	0.0%
Asian	Female	25-34	17	0.1%
Asian	Female	35-64	48	0.2%
Asian	Female	65+	2	0.0%
Asian	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%
Asian	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%
Asian	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%
Asian	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%
Asian	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%
Asian	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%
Asian	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%
Asian	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	≤12	0	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	13-17	0	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	18-24	0	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	25-34	7	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	35-64	18	0.1%
Amer. Ind.	Male	65+	0	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	≤12	0	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	13-17	0	0.0%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%
Amer. Ind.	Female	18-24	0	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	25-34	1	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	35-64	4	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	65+	2	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%
NHPI*	Male	≤12	1	0.0%
NHPI*	Male	13-17	3	0.0%
NHPI*	Male	18-24	40	0.2%
NHPI*	Male	25-34	59	0.2%
NHPI*	Male	35-64	74	0.3%
NHPI*	Male	65+	1	0.0%
NHPI*	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%
NHPI*	Female	≤12	3	0.0%
NHPI*	Female	13-17	0	0.0%
NHPI*	Female	18-24	10	0.0%
NHPI*	Female	25-34	22	0.1%
NHPI*	Female	35-64	25	0.1%
NHPI*	Female	65+	2	0.0%
NHPI*	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%
NHPI*	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%
NHPI*	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%
NHPI*	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%
NHPI*	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%
NHPI*	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%
NHPI*	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%
NHPI*	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%
Unknown	Male	≤12	4	0.0%
Unknown	Male	13-17	26	0.1%
Unknown	Male	18-24	174	0.7%
Unknown	Male	25-34	358	1.4%
Unknown	Male	35-64	487	1.9%
Unknown	Male	65+	33	0.1%
Unknown	Male	Unknown	3	0.0%
Unknown	Female	≤12	7	0.0%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%
Unknown	Female	13-17	8	0.0%
Unknown	Female	18-24	63	0.2%
Unknown	Female	25-34	87	0.3%
Unknown	Female	35-64	92	0.4%
Unknown	Female	65+	7	0.0%
Unknown	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%
Unknown	Unknown	≤12	40	0.2%
Unknown	Unknown	13-17	2	0.0%
Unknown	Unknown	18-24	20	0.1%
Unknown	Unknown	25-34	10	0.0%
Unknown	Unknown	35-64	3	0.0%
Unknown	Unknown	65+	4	0.0%
Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	4	0.0%

*Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander

FICs by Subject Type

In 2024, the largest portion of all subjects on FICs (79%) were drivers (up from 58% in 2023 and higher than any prior year). Pedestrians represented 10% of all FICs (down from 30% in 2023), and vehicle passengers represented the remaining 11% (similar to 2020-2023 and 2018). The increase in departures and the resulting focus on calls for service, as opposed to self-initiated stops, likely explains the change in subject types from 2021 to 2022. In early 2023, the Department implemented a strategic plan that included increasing the number of traffic violation stops, which likely explains the change in subject types from 2022 through 2024. In 2018, NOPD began documenting the demographic information of passengers, as required by the Consent Decree, which affected the percentage of passengers recorded on FICs.

Figure 7 - FICs in New Orleans by subject type, 2016-2024



FICs by Race/Ethnicity of the Officer

For the purposes of this analysis, only officers listed as the primary officer on the FIC were considered. The percentage of FICs completed by Black officers was 54% in 2024, an increase from 46% in 2023. The percentage FICs completed by white officers was 37% in 2024, down slightly from 43% in 2023. The demographic makeup of patrol officers, who produce the vast majority of FICs, can change over time as officers transition into and out of patrol assignments; this may contribute to the changes in the demographic distribution of officers completing FICs. The percentage of FICs completed by Hispanic or Latinx officers doubled from 2016 to 2018, increasing from 4% to 8%, and remained at about 8% through 2024. From 2016 to 2019 the percentage of Hispanic or Latinx officers at NOPD grew from 3% to 4%, and then to 5% in 2020 through 2024. The percentage of Black officers at NOPD decreased from 57% in 2016 to 53% in 2021 and then increased to 59% in 2024. The percentage of white officers at NOPD increased from 38% in 2016 to 40% in 2020 and then decreased to 32% in 2024.

Figure 8 - Field interview cards in New Orleans by race/ethnicity of the officer, 2016-2024



*Data includes officers listed as primary officers on Field Interview Cards. Secondary officers are not included. FICs with data entry errors and those created by officers that have not specified their race/ethnicity are given as Unknown Race/Ethnicity.

Field interview cards by sex of the officer

Male officers accounted for 93% of all FICs in 2024, while female officers accounted for 7 percent. In 2024, 73% of NOPD officers were male and 26% were female.

Figure 9 - Field interview cards in New Orleans by sex of the officer, 2016-2024



*Data includes officers listed as primary officers on Field Interview Cards. Secondary officers are not included. FICs with mistyped employee IDs are given as Unknown Sex.

Field interview cards by age of the officer

From 2016-2022, the largest portion of stops (41-47%) were conducted by officers between the ages of 25 and 34. In 2023, the portion of stops conducted by officers between the ages of 25 and 34 was the same as the portion of stops conducted by officers between the ages of 35 and 44, 32%. In 2024, the portion of stops conducted by officers between the ages of 35 and 44 increased to 36% while the portion by officers aged 25-34 decreased to 26%. Younger officers are most likely to be patrol officers, putting them in direct contact with residents and guests of New Orleans and, thus, more likely to complete FICs. While older, more experienced officers are more likely to have moved to specialized, non-patrol units or

into supervisory roles, making it less likely that they would initiate FICs. In early 2023, the Department implemented a strategic plan that included increasing the number of traffic violation stops, which likely explains the increases in the age of officers completing FICs from 2022 to 2024.

Figure 10 - Field interview cards in New Orleans by age of the officer, 2016-2024



*Data includes officers listed as primary officers on Field Interview Cards. Secondary officers are not included. FICs with mistyped employee IDs are given as Unknown Age.

Field interview cards by event type

FICs were most often completed to document stops for traffic violations, which accounted for 88% of all FICs in 2024, up from 45% in 2022 and higher than any prior year. The next most frequent event type was "call for service" at 4%, followed by "suspect person" at 3% in 2024. The "other" event type is used by officers when they do not believe the event type options available to them fit the scenario they are documenting. Some examples of such scenarios are walk-ins who believe they are wanted for a crime, prisoner transports, and medical contacts.

[Figure 11 is on the next page]

Figure 11 - Field interview cards in New Orleans by event type, 2016-2024



*Public Safety Ride became an option on the FIC in June 2022.

Stops by event type and race/ethnicity of subject

In 2024, the distribution of event types, or the initial reason for the interaction, was slightly different for Black and white subjects. 86% of stops of Black subjects began when the officer observed a traffic violation and 90% of stops of white subjects began the same way. 4% of stops of Black subjects began as suspicious person stops and 2% of stops of white subjects began the same way.

Table 3: Event Type and Race of Subject, 2024

	#	TV	CFS	CC	CV	FD	JV	PCS	SP	SV	PSR	O
Black or African American	16,099	86%	4%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	1%	1%
White	8,196	90%	4%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%
Asian	212	97%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%
Amer. Ind. or Alaskan Native	32	97%	3%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	240	99%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Unknown Race/Ethnicity	1,432	91%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%

*TF=Traffic Violation, CFS=Call for Service, CC=Citizen Contact, CV=Criminal Violation, FD=Flagged Down, JV=Juvenile Violation, PCS=Present at Crime Scene, SP=Suspect Person, SV=Suspect Vehicle, PSR=Public Safety Ride, O=Other

Stops by event type and sex of subject

In 2024, 93% of stops of female subjects began as traffic violations, while 85% of stops of male subjects began the same way.

Table 4: Event type and Sex of Subject, 2024

	#	TV	CFS	CC	CV	FD	JV	PCS	SP	SV	PSR	O
Female	9,912	93%	2%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%
Male	16,191	85%	5%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	5%	1%	1%	1%
Unknown Sex	108	55%	6%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

*TF=Traffic Violation, CFS=Call for Service, CC=Citizen Contact, CV=Criminal Violation, FD=Flagged Down, JV=Juvenile Violation, PCS=Present at Crime Scene, SP=Suspect Person, SV=Suspect Vehicle, PSR=Public Safety Ride, O=Other

Stops by event type and age of subject

In 2024, the distribution of event types for subjects ages 25-34, and 35-64 were similar. Chapter 41.12 – Field Interview Cards requires NOPD officers to document the apparent demographics of all passengers in vehicles that have been stopped, which affected the portion of subjects aged less than or equal to 12, and 65 or greater.

Table 5: Event type and Age of Subject, 2024

	#	TV	CFS	CC	CV	FD	JV	PCS	SP	SV	PSR	O
≤12 Yrs	503	87%	4%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	2%	0%
13-17	662	58%	15%	0%	2%	1%	3%	1%	14%	1%	2%	0%
18-24	3,968	87%	3%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	4%	1%	1%	0%
25-34	7,808	90%	4%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	1%	1%	1%
35-64	11,843	88%	4%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	3%	1%	1%	1%
65+	1,420	90%	4%	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	1%	1%	1%	0%
Unknown Age	7	71%	14%	14%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%

*TV=Traffic Violation, CFS=Call for Service, CC=Citizen Contact, CV=Criminal Violation, FD=Flagged Down, JV=Juvenile Violation, PCS=Present at Crime Scene, SP=Suspect Person, SV=Suspect Vehicle, PSR=Public Safety Ride, O=Other

Stops by stop result

In 2024, the most common stop result documented on FICs was "citation issued" (45% of all stop results). The percentage of stop results that were "citation issued" continued to increase from 17% in 2022 and 30% in 2023. The percentage of stop results that were "physical arrest" decreased from 15% in 2023 to 4% in 2024. In January 2018, "Summons Issued" became a stop result option on the FIC. A summons is a citation in lieu of a physical arrest and booking. Prior to "Summons Issued" being an option on the FIC, officers documented summonses as physical arrests on FICs.

[Figure 12 is on the next page]

Figure 12 - FICs in New Orleans by stop result, 2016-2024



*Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion (LEAD) and Summons Issued became options in the Stop Result section of the FIC in January 2018.

FICs by stop results and neighborhood

The three neighborhoods with the highest number of stops in 2024 were Lakeview (2,649), followed by the French Quarter (2003), and the Central Business District (1,568). In 2023 they were the French Quarter (1,428), followed by the Central Business District (970), and Central City (935). The two neighborhoods that accounted for the fourth and fifth highest numbers of stops in 2024 were Central City (1,529) and Treme - Lafitte (1,290). In 2023 they were Gert Town (608) and Treme - Lafitte (582). The French Quarter and the Central Business District have a high concentration of non-residents, including tourists and commuters. As mentioned above, experts believe measures of resident population (i.e. census data) should not be used as a sole method of benchmarking the population at risk of being stopped.[3] See the appendix for demographic data for each New Orleans neighborhood.

Stop results varied considerably across neighborhoods. Below, the top three neighborhoods are ranked for each of the six stop result categories by (a) the total number of stops in each category, and (b) the percentage of total stops within each neighborhood in each category.

Stops Ending with No Action

With respect to the total number of stops that ended in no action, the following neighborhoods ranked in the top three: the French Quarter (290), the Central Business District (254), and Lakeview (245). The neighborhoods in the top three the previous year were the French Quarter, Central City, and the Central Business District.

With respect to the percentage of total stops within each neighborhood that ended in no action, the following neighborhoods ranked in the top three: Algiers Point (55% of 20 stops), New Aurora – English Turn (41% of 29 stops), and East Carrollton (38% of 24 stops).

Stops Ending with a Verbal Warning

With respect to the total number of stops that ended in a verbal warning, the following neighborhoods ranked in the top three: Lakeview (2,282), the Central Business District (527), and the Mid-City (482). The top three in 2022 were Central Business District, the Lakeview, and the Central City.

With respect to the percentage of total stops within each neighborhood that ended in a verbal warning, the top three were: West End (87% of 224 stops), Lakeview (86% of 2,649 stops), and Navarre (73% of 338 stops).

---

[3] Analysis Group. 2005. Proposed Pedestrian and Motor Vehicle Stop Data Analyses Methodology Report. Los Angeles; Grogger and Ridgeway. 2006. Testing for Racial Profiling in Traffic Stops From Behind a Veil of Darkness. Journal of American Statistical Association, September 2006, Vol 101, No. 475 via The Rand Corporation;
Haberman et al. 2020. Developing an Analytical Framework for Assessing Bias-Free Policing in the City of Cincinnati, Preliminary Report. University of Cincinnati. Ch 5 Traffic Stop Analysis, External Benchmark Census Data, P40;
Police Strategies LLC. 2021. Demographic Disparity Analysis of Law Enforcement Data from the Spokane Police Department. Appendix C, The Problem with Population, P270

Stops Ending with a Citation

With respect to the total number of stops that ended in a citation, the following neighborhoods ranked in the top three: the French Quarter (1,124), Central City (890) and Treme – Lafitte (725). The top three in 2023 were French Quarter, the Old Aurora, and Gert Town.

With respect to the percentage of total stops within each neighborhood that ended in a citation, the top three neighborhoods were as follows: Lower Ninth Ward (87% of 606 stops), Bywater (82% of 442 stops), and Pontchartrain Park (74% of 23 stops) and Old Aurora (74% of 730 stops).

Stops Ending with a Summons

With respect to the total number of stops that ended in a summons, the following neighborhoods ranked in the top three: the French Quarter (115), the Central Business District (56), and Central City (27). The same neighborhoods were the top three in 2023.

With respect to the percentage of total stops within each neighborhood that ended in a summons, the top three neighborhoods are as follows: Fischer Development (15% of 39 stops), East Riverside (13% of 21 stops), and Gentilly Woods (8% of 71 stops).

Stops Ending with a Law Enforcement Assisted Diversion (LEAD)

With respect to the total number of stops that ended in a LEAD, six occurred in five neighborhoods: the French Quarter (2), Viavant – Venetian Isles (1), Lake Catherine (1), Marlyville – Fontainebleau (1), and the Lakeview (1). In 2023, four occurred in two neighborhoods: the Central Business District and the Marigny.

With respect to the percentage of total stops within each neighborhood that ended in a LEAD, the five neighborhoods are as follows: Lake Catherine (0.63% of 115 stops), Marlyville – Fontainebleau (0.55% of 179 stops), Viavant – Venetian Isles (0.13% of 740 stops), the French Quarter (0.10% of 2,003 stops), and Lakeview (0.04% of 2,649 stops).

Stops Ending with an Arrest

With respect to the total number of stops that ended in an arrest, the following neighborhoods ranked in the top three: the French Quarter (163), the Central Business District (102), and Central City (102). The same three neighborhoods were the top three in 2023.

With respect to the percentage of total stops within each neighborhood that ended in an arrest, the rankings for the top three neighborhoods were as follows: East Carrollton (24% of 24 stops), the St. Thomas Development (23% of 91 stops), and Plum Orchard (20% of 78 stops).

FICs by stop results and race/ethnicity of the subject

The distribution of stop results within races/ethnicities varied in 2024. 30% of stops of Black individuals ended in a verbal warning in 2024, and 45% of stops of white individuals ended in a verbal warning.

Table 6: FICs in New Orleans by stop result and race/ethnicity of the subject, 2024

	#	Citation Issued	Verbal Warning	Physical Arrest	No Action Taken	Summons Issued	L.E.A.D
Black or African American	16,430	48%	30%	5%	16%	2%	0.01%
White	8,310	38%	45%	2%	14%	2%	0.02%
Asian	215	42%	49%	1%	7%	0%	0.00%
Amer. Ind. or Alaskan Native	33	39%	48%	0%	12%	0%	0.00%
Nat. Hawaiian or Other Pac. Islander	241	73%	26%	0%	1%	0%	0.41%
Unknown Race/Ethnicity	1,452	55%	23%	2%	19%	1%	0.07%

*Officers can choose more than one stop result for each subject on the FIC. This table counts each stop result chosen. A subject will be counted more than once if the officer chose more than one stop result for them.

Stops by stop result and sex of the subject

The distributions of stop results within sexes varied slightly in 2024. The biggest difference was 13% of stops of male subjects resulted in no action taken compared to 17% of stops of female subjects.

[Table 7 is on the next page]

Table 7: FICs in New Orleans by stop result and sex of the subject, 2024

	#	Citation Issued	Verbal Warning	Physical Arrest	No Action Taken	Summons Issued	L.E.A.D
Female	10,056	46%	35%	2%	17%	1%	0.02%
Male	16,516	45%	34%	5%	13%	2%	0.02%
Unknown Sex	109	3%	1%	0%	96%	0%	0.00%

*Officers can choose more than one stop result for each subject on the FIC. This table counts each stop result chosen. A subject will be counted more than once if the officer chose more than one stop result for them.

Stops by stop result and age of the subject

The distribution of stop results within age groups varied in 2024. 14% of stops of subjects aged 13-17 ended in citations, compared to 46-50% of stops of subjects aged 25-34, and 35-64. 11% of stops of subjects aged 13-17 resulted in arrest in 2024.

Table 8: FIC results in New Orleans by age of the subject, 2024

Subject Age Category	#	Citation Issued	Verbal Warning	Physical Arrest	No Action Taken	Summons Issued	L.E.A.D
≤12 Yrs	506	4%	13%	1%	82%	0%	0.00%
13-17	665	14%	27%	11%	47%	1%	0.00%
18-24	4,020	46%	31%	5%	17%	1%	0.02%
25-34	7,967	50%	31%	4%	13%	2%	0.05%
35-64	12,075	46%	37%	4%	11%	2%	0.01%
65+	1,441	38%	47%	2%	12%	1%	0.00%
Unknown Age	7	0%	14%	0%	86%	0%	0.00%

*Officers can choose more than one stop result for each subject on the FIC. This table counts each stop result chosen. A subject will be counted more than once if the officer chose more than one stop result for them.

See Appendix 2 for stop results for every combination of race, sex, and age group.

Percent of field interview cards indicating a search occurred

In 2024, a person or vehicle search occurred during 6% (1,383 of 23,162 FICs) of instances in which an FIC was reported. This is a decrease from 2023 at 23% (2,643 of 11,717 FICS) of instances.

Figure 13 – Percent of field interview cards that indicate a search occurred, 2016-2024



*Person and vehicle searches included.

Total searches indicated on field interview cards

The number of searches indicated on FICs continued a downward trend from 25,783 in 2017 to 2,041 in 2024.

Figure 14 – Total searches indicated on field interview cards, 2016-2024



Total pat downs searches indicated on field interview cards

The number of pat downs indicated on field interview cards in 2024 slightly decreased to 666 from 1,073 in 2023 and remained much lower than 12,155 in 2017.

Figure 15 – Total pat downs indicated on field interview cards, 2016-2024



Types of searches

The most common searches documented on FICs in 2024 were searches incident to arrest (59%) and pat downs (35%). Searches conducted incident to arrest or as the result of a warrant are considered "non-discretionary," meaning NOPD policy dictates officers must perform searches. Similarly, searches documented as plain view also indicate the subject is most likely under arrest because the officer found contraband in plain view. Searches under exigent circumstances, by consent, and pat downs are considered "discretionary," meaning they are initiated by an officer. FICs indicate approximately 63% of all searches in 2024 were non-discretionary.[4] See appendix 3 for frequencies for all search types for all demographic segments.

[Figure 16 is on the next page]

[4] For the purposes of this analysis, search types Incident to Arrest, Inventory, Plain View, Warrant, and Plain Smell were considered non-discretionary; and search types Consent, Exigent Circumstances, Vehicle Exception, and Pat Down were considered discretionary.

Figure 16 - Searches in New Orleans by reason for search, 2016-2024



*Vehicle Exception and Plain Smell became options on the FIC in January 2018.

Discretionary Search rates of subjects

Searches conducted incident to arrest or as the result of a warrant are considered "non- discretionary," meaning NOPD policy dictates officers must perform searches. Similarly, searches documented as plain view also indicate the subject is most likely under arrest because the officer found contraband in plain view. Searches under exigent circumstances, by consent, and pat downs are considered "discretionary," meaning they are initiated by an officer. See appendix 3 for frequencies of all search types and demographic segments. FICs indicate that 3% of stopped subjects were searched with a discretionary search in 2024, down from 8% in 2023. Vehicle searches were not included in this section of the report.

Table 9: Discretionary Search Rates, 2016-2024

	No Discretionary Search	Discretionary Search
2016	17,349 (79%)	4,634 (21%)
2017	43,016 (78%)	12,478 (22%)
2018	52,839 (88%)	7,018 (12%)
2019	47,998 (96%)	2,027 (4%)
2020	16,377 (92%)	1,457 (8%)
2021	23,370 (94%)	1,479 (6%)
2022	12,589 (87%)	1,805 (13%)
2023	12,675 (92%)	1,126 (8%)
2024	25,509 (97%)	702 (3%)

*NOPD implemented a supervisor approval process for FICs in May 2015. Supervisors review FICs for accuracy and completeness. This report shows data from FICs that have been approved by a supervisor.

FICs indicate officers conducted discretionary searches on 4% of the Black subjects they stopped, and 1% of the white subjects they stopped in 2024. As shown in Figure 4 above, 61% of all subjects documented on FICs were Black or African American in 2024. See appendix 3 for frequencies of all search types and demographic segments. As stated before, this report is not designed to assess the extent to which NOPD polices in a bias-free manner. See the bias-free annual reports for such analyses.

Table 10: Discretionary Search Rates by Race of Subject 2024

	No Discretionary Search	Discretionary Search
Black or African American	15,508 (96%)	591 (4%)
White	8,101 (99%)	96 (1%)
Asian	210 (99%)	2 (1%)
Amer. Ind. or Alaskan Native	31 (97%)	1 (3%)
Nat. Hawaiian or Other Pac. Islander	240 (100%)	0 (0%)
Unknown Race/Ethnicity	1,420 (99%)	12 (1%)

FICs indicate that officers conducted discretionary searches on 4% of the male subjects they stopped, and 0.5% of the female subjects they stopped in 2024.

Table 11: Discretionary Search Rates by Sex of Subject, 2024

	No Discretionary Search	Discretionary Search
Male	15,536 (96%)	656 (4%)
Female	9,866 (100%)	46 (0.5%)
Unknown Sex	108 (100%)	0 (0%)

FICs indicate that officers conducted discretionary searches on 5% of the subjects they stopped between the ages 18 and 24, and 14% of the subjects they stopped between the ages of 13 and 17 in 2024.

Table 12: Discretionary Search Rates by Age of Subject, 2024

	No Discretionary Search	Discretionary Search
≤12 Yrs	498 (99%)	5 (1%)
13-17	569 (86%)	93 (14%)
18-24	3,781 (95%)	187 (5%)
25-34	7,614 (98%)	194 (2%)
35-64	11,639 (98%)	205 (2%)
65+	1,402 (99%)	18 (1%)
Unknown Age	7 (100%)	0 (0%)

See Appendix 3 for frequencies of all search types and demographic segments.

Evidence seized rates for Discretionary Searches

The FIC has a section for officers to indicate whether they seized evidence from individuals. It is important to note the FIC does not allow an officer to link evidence he/she seizes to an individual search. For the purposes of this analysis an FIC that indicates a discretionary search occurred and evidence was seized was considered to be a "hit" when it is possible the evidence was seized from a different non-discretionary search. In 2024, FICs indicate evidence was seized from 28% of the subjects that received discretionary searches, a decrease from 36% in 2023 and an increase from 17 to 21% in 2015-2021.

Table 13: Discretionary Searches and Evidence Seized Rates, 2016-2024

	Discretionary Search and:				
	No Evidence Seized	Evidence Seized	Weapon Seized	Drugs Seized	Other Evidence Seized
2016	3,811 (82%)	823 (18%)	242 (5%)	513 (11%)	194 (4%)
2017	9,844 (79%)	2,634 (21%)	697 (6%)	1,904 (15%)	458 (4%)
2018	5,595 (80%)	1,423 (20%)	356 (5%)	1,049 (15%)	257 (4%)
2019	1,647 (81%)	380 (19%)	165 (8%)	241 (12%)	70 (3%)
2020	1,214 (83%)	243 (17%)	102 (7%)	142 (10%)	53 (4%)
2021	1,189 (80%)	290 (20%)	151 (10%)	162 (11%)	51 (3%)
2022	1,337 (74%)	468 (26%)	392 (22%)	127 (7%)	51 (3%)
2023	721 (64%)	405 (36%)	350 (31%)	99 (9%)	37 (3%)
2024	503 (72%)	199 (28%)	140 (20%)	71 (10%)	20 (3%)

FICs indicate that officers seized contraband from 31% of the Black subjects they searched with discretionary searches, and from 16% of the white subjects they searched with discretionary searches in 2024.

Table 14: Discretionary Searches and Evidence Seized by Race of Subject, 2024

	Discretionary Search and:				
	No Evidence Seized	Evidence Seized	Weapon Seized	Drugs Seized	Other Evidence Seized
Black or African American	407 (69%)	184 (31%)	132 (22%)	68 (12%)	15 (3%)
White	81 (84%)	15 (16%)	8 (8%)	3 (3%)	5 (5%)
Asian	2 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Amer. Ind. or Alaskan Native	1 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
Nat. Hawaiian or Other Pac. Islander	-	-	-	-	-
Unknown Race/Ethnicity	12 (100%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)

FICs indicate that officers seized evidence from 29% of the male subjects they searched with discretionary searches and 17% of the female subjects they searched with discretionary searches in 2024.

[Table 15 is on the next page]

Table 15: Discretionary Searches and Evidence Seized by Sex of Subject, 2024

	Discretionary Search and:				
	No Evidence Seized	Evidence Seized	Weapon Seized	Drugs Seized	Other Evidence Seized
Male	465 (71%)	191 (29%)	138 (21%)	65 (10%)	19 (3%)
Female	38 (83%)	8 (17%)	2 (4%)	6 (13%)	1 (2%)
Unknown Sex	-	-	-	-	-

FICs indicate that officers seized evidence from 40% of the subjects they searched with discretionary searches who were between the ages 18 and 24 and from 32% of the subjects they searched with discretionary searches who were between the ages 25 and 34 in 2024.

Table 16: Discretionary Searches and Evidence Seized by Age of Subject, 2024

	Discretionary Search and:				
	No Evidence Seized	Evidence Seized	Weapon Seized	Drugs Seized	Other Evidence Seized
≤12 Yrs	4 (80%)	1 (20%)	1 (20%)	0 (0%)	0 (0%)
13-17	77 (83%)	16 (17%)	13 (14%)	2 (2%)	3 (3%)
18-24	112 (60%)	75 (40%)	65 (35%)	16 (9%)	3 (2%)
25-34	132 (68%)	62 (32%)	38 (20%)	29 (15%)	8 (4%)
35-64	163 (80%)	42 (20%)	22 (11%)	22 (11%)	6 (3%)
65+	15 (83%)	3 (17%)	1 (6%)	2 (11%)	0 (0%)
Unknown Age	-	-	-	-	-

Pat Down Search and Evidence Seized Rates by Race/Ethnicity

When officers have a legal reason to stop someone and they suspect the person is armed and dangerous, officers may conduct a pat down of the subject. When an officer conducts a pat down he/she/they search a person's outer garments with his/her/their open hands. A pat down is one type of discretionary search. In 2024, officers conducted a pat down on 4% of the Black subjects they stopped and on 1% of the white subjects they stopped.

Table 17: Pat Down Rates by Race/Ethnicity of Subject, 2024

	No Pat Down	Pat Down
Black or African American	15,533 (96%)	566 (4%)
White	8,112 (99%)	85 (1%)
Asian	210 (99%)	2 (1%)
Amer. Ind. or Alaskan Native	31 (97%)	1 (3%)
Nat. Hawaiian or Other Pac. Islander	240 (100%)	0 (0%)
Unknown Race/Ethnicity	1,420 (99%)	12 (1%)

During the course of a pat down, an officer may seize objects that appear to be a weapon or that he/she/they immediately recognize to be contraband. It is important to note the FIC does not allow an officer to link evidence he/she seizes to an individual search. For the purposes of this analysis an FIC that indicates a pat down occurred and a weapon was seized was considered a "hit" when it is possible the weapon was seized from a different search. In 2024, officers seized weapons from 22% of the Black subjects they patted down and from 9% of the white subjects they patted down.

Table 18: Pat Down Weapon Seized Rates by Race/Ethnicity of Subject, 2024

	Pat Down and No Weapon Seized	Pat Down and Weapon Seized
Black or African American	441 (78%)	125 (22%)
White	77 (91%)	8 (9%)
Asian	2 (100%)	0 (0%)
Amer. Ind. or Alaskan Native	1 (100%)	0 (0%)
Nat. Hawaiian or Other Pac. Islander	-	-
Unknown Race/Ethnicity	12 (100%)	0 (0%)

Searches by search type and neighborhood

The three neighborhoods with the most searches in 2024 were the French Quarter (320), Central City (221), and the Central Business District (203). The same top three as in 2019-2023. These are also the neighborhoods where the most evidence was seized (see the section Evidence seized by type and neighborhood for more details).

Search results varied considerably across neighborhoods. Below, the top three neighborhoods are ranked for three search type categories and by (a) the total number of searches in each category, and (b) the percentage of total searches within each neighborhood in each category.

To simplify the analysis, search types Consent, Inventory, Vehicle Exception, Exigent Circumstances, Plain Smell, Plain View, and Warrant were categorized as Other Searches.

Incident to Arrest Searches

With respect to the total number of incident to arrest searches, the following neighborhoods were the top three: the French Quarter (182), the Central Business District (117), and Central City (113).

With respect to the percentage of total searches within each neighborhood categorized as incident to arrest, the following neighborhoods were the top three: Lakeshore – Lake Vista (100% of 3 searches), Filmore (100% of 3 searches), and Algiers Point (100% of 2 searches). It is important to note that Departmental policy Chapter 41.12 – Field Interview Cards paragraph 2 e does not require an FIC if the only search an officer conducts is a search incident to arrest and the incident is documented in an incident report.

Pat Down Searches

With respect to the total number of pat down searches, the following neighborhoods were the top three: the French Quarter (126), Central City (78), and the Central Business District (72).

With respect to the percentage of total searches within each neighborhood that were categorized as pat downs, the top three neighborhoods were: Pontchartrain Park (100% of 1 searches), St. Anthony (100% of 1 searches), Lake Terrace & Oaks (100% of 1 searches), City Park (100% of 1 searches), and Read Blvd East (75% of 20 searches) and Lake Catherine (75% of 4 searches).

Other Searches

With respect to the total number of other searches (searches categorized as Consent, Inventory, Vehicle Exception, Exigent Circumstances, Plain Smell, Plain View, and Warrant), the following neighborhoods ranked in the top three: Central City (30), the Central Business District (14), and the French Quarter (12).

With respect to the percentage of total searches within each neighborhood that were categorized as other searches (searches categorized as Consent, Inventory, Vehicle Exception, Exigent Circumstances, Plain Smell, Plain View, and Warrant), the top three neighborhoods were: St. Bernard Area (33% of 6 searches), Audubon (33% of 6 searches), and Navarre (25% of 12 searches).

Searches by search type, race/ethnicity of the subject, and whether the subject was arrested

The table below provides the percent of subjects who received various types of searches broken out by race or ethnicity and whether the subject was arrested. In 2024, FICs indicate that 90% of Black subjects who were arrested received searches incident to arrest, as did 89% of white subjects who were arrested. Officers can document more than one search type for each subject on the FIC.

[Table 19 is on the next page]

Table 19: FIC subjects by race/ethnicity, whether the subject was arrested, and search type, 2024

	Arrested	# of Subjects	Incident to Arrest	Pat Down	Other
Black or African American	No	15,248	1%	2%	0%
	Yes	851	90%	28%	8%
White	No	8,026	1%	1%	0%
	Yes	171	89%	15%	4%
Asian	No	210	0%	0%	0%
	Yes	2	100%	50%	0%
Amer. Ind. or Alaskan Native	No	32	0%	3%	0%
	Yes	0	-	-	-
Nat. Hawaiian or Other Pac. Islander	No	240	0%	0%	0%
	Yes	0	-	-	-
Unknown Race/Ethnicity	No	1,405	0%	1%	0%
	Yes	27	85%	15%	0%

*Other includes search types: Consent, Vehicle Exception, Exigent Circumstances, Inventory, Plain Smell, Plain View, and Warrant.

Searches by search type and sex of the subject

The table below provides the percent of subjects who received various types of searches broken out by sex and whether the subject was arrested. In 2024, FICs indicate that 78% of female subjects who were arrested received searches incident to arrest, while 93% of male subjects who were arrested received a search incident to arrest. Officers can document more than one search type for each subject on the FIC.

Table 20: FIC subjects by sex, whether the subject was arrested, and search type, 2024

	Arrested	# of Subjects	Incident to Arrest	Pat Down	Other
Female	No	9,733	0%	0%	0%
	Yes	179	78%	10%	7%
Male	No	15,320	1%	2%	0%
	Yes	872	93%	28%	7%
Unknown Sex	No	108	0%	0%	0%
	Yes	0	-	-	-

*Other includes search types: Consent, Vehicle Exception, Exigent Circumstances, Inventory, Plain Smell, Plain View, and Warrant.

Searches by search type and age of the subject

The table below provides the percentage of subjects who received various types of searches broken out by age category and whether the subject was arrested. In 2024, FICs indicate that 86% of subjects age 13-17 who were arrested received searches incident to arrest, while 94% of subjects age 18-24 who were arrested received a search incident to arrest. Officers can document more than one search type for each subject on the FIC.

Table 21: FIC subjects by age category, whether the subject was arrested, and search type, 2024

	Arrested	# of Subjects	Incident to Arrest	Pat Down	Other
≤12	No	497	0%	1%	0%
	Yes	6	67%	0%	0%
13-17	No	588	2%	11%	1%
	Yes	74	86%	32%	11%
18-24	No	3,760	1%	3%	0%
	Yes	208	94%	39%	7%
25-34	No	7,507	1%	1%	0%
	Yes	301	90%	28%	7%
35-64	No	11,406	1%	1%	0%
	Yes	438	89%	17%	6%
65+	No	1,396	0%	1%	0%
	Yes	24	92%	17%	8%
Unknown Age	No	7	0%	0%	0%
	Yes	0	-	-	-

*Other includes search types: Consent, Vehicle Exception, Exigent Circumstances, Inventory, Plain Smell, Plain View, and Warrant.

Total Arrests

In 2024, NOPD made more arrests than in 2020-2023 and about half as many arrests as in 2019, according to the Orleans Parish Sheriff's data. The stark decrease from 2019 to 2020 is most likely explained by the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic and the City's efforts to minimize the spread of the virus. The increase in employee separations and the implementation of policies encouraging officers to not use custodial arrests for minor violations likely explains why the number of arrests in 2021-2023 was similar to 2020, remaining low compared to 2019 and earlier. The increase from 2023 to 2024 is likely due in part to the Department's violence reduction strategy, which included multiple targeted warrant round-ups.

[Figure 17 is on the next page]

Figure 17 – Arrests, 2016-2024



Evidence seized by type

FICs indicate that weapons were the most common (45%) type of evidence seized in 2024. The percentage of evidence seized that were weapons was 54% in 2023. The portion of evidence seized in 2024 that was drugs (34%) was higher than in 2023 (27%).

Figure 18 – Evidence seized by type, 2016-2024



[The data table for Figure 18 is on the next page]

Figure 18 Data table – Contraband found in New Orleans by type of contraband, 2016-2024

Year	Weapons	Drugs	Other
2016	277	637	273
2017	784	2355	580
2018	748	3215	742
2019	635	2410	626
2020	395	1120	354
2021	480	690	302
2022	894	432	339
2023	708	353	257
2024	280	214	129

Evidence seized by type and neighborhood

The French Quarter had the highest number of evidence types seized during stops (115), followed by Central City (71), and the Central Business District (61). These neighborhoods represent approximately 40% of all evidence types seized throughout the City in 2024 (247 of 616).

Of the previously mentioned areas, weapons were 61% of the evidence types seized in the French Quarter, 49% in Central City, and 26% in the Central Business District. Drugs were 18% of evidence types seized in the French Quarter, 39% in Central City, and 43% and the Central Business District.

Evidence seized by type and race /ethnicity of the subject

In 2024, the percentage of evidence seized that was drugs was 35% from Black individuals and 27% from white individuals. The percentage of evidence seized that was weapons was 47% from Black individuals and 29% from white individuals.

Table 22: Evidence discovered by type and race/ethnicity of subject, 2024

	Total	Weapons	Drugs	Other
Black or African American	563	47%	35%	18%
White	56	29%	27%	45%
Asian	0	-	-	-
Amer. Ind. or Alaskan Native	0	-	-	-
Unknown Race/Ethnicity	4	25%	25%	50%

Evidence seized by type and sex of the subject

In 2024, FICs indicate that 34% of evidence seized from males was drugs, and 40% of evidence seized from females was drugs. The percentage of evidence seized that was weapons was 47% from males and 17% from females.

Table 23: Evidence found in New Orleans by type of evidence and sex of subject, 2024

	Total	Weapons	Drugs	Other
Female	47	17%	40%	43%
Male	576	47%	34%	19%

Evidence seized by type and age of the subject

In 2024, FICs indicate that 24% of evidence seized from subjects between the ages of 18 and 24 was drugs and it was 30% from subjects between the ages of 25-34. The percentage of evidence seized from subjects between the ages of 18 and 24 that was weapons was 67% and it was 52% from subjects between the ages of 25 and 34. Evidence seized from subjects aged 12 and under amounted to 0.8% of all evidence seized.

Table 24: Evidence seized by type and age of subject, 2024

Subject Age Category	Total	Weapons	Drugs	Other
≤12 Yrs	10	30%	0%	70%
13-17	118	64%	13%	24%
18-24	450	67%	24%	9%
25-34	392	52%	30%	18%
35-64	341	36%	32%	32%
65+	7	29%	29%	43%

[Intentionally left blank]

Steps taken to correct problems and build on successes

The New Orleans Police Department has many programs and procedures to correct problems and build upon successes related to stops and searches. The section describes how in 2023 NOPD continued to: audit stops and searches and address the findings, document related non-disciplinary corrective action, conduct formal disciplinary investigations for related allegations, provide related dashboards to supervisors, and provide training to improve related performance.

Internal Auditing and Corrective Action

NOPD conducts stops, searches, and arrests (SSA) auditing. The audit is designed to ensure that all stops, searches, and arrests are consistent with NOPD policy and constitutional law, are documented appropriately, that documentation is complete and accurate, and that stops, searches, and arrests are carried out with fairness and respect. Auditors review video, reports, and other documentation for every incident in the audit sample. The most recent audit covered June of 2023 through May of 2024. The audit report is available at https://nola.gov/nopd/nopd-consent-decree.

The audit results are shared with the auditees. Supervisors are required to address audit findings through additional training, non-disciplinary corrective action (redirection and counselling), and formal disciplinary action as appropriate to the deficiencies. NOPD's Public Integrity Bureau reviews non-disciplinary corrective action to determine whether formal discipline is required.

In 2024, the Department continued implementing the corrective action plan it created in response to the May 2020 SSA audit and that it expanded in response to the May 2021 SSA audit. The plan was designed to address the key findings of the audit, which were compliance scores of less than 95% for the following criteria:

- FIC Exists if Required
- FIC Submitted on Time
- FIC Reviewed on Time
- Report documents a valid legal basis for each search
- Report documents a valid legal basis for each pat down
- Reasonable suspicion or probable cause exists for each stop
- Report documents reasonable suspicion or probable cause for each stop
- Videos and reports are consistent
- Report documents the reason for handcuffing
- Miranda Given if Required

The corrective action plan included inspections conducted by supervisors in the Field Operations Bureau (FOB). The inspections required supervisors to complete an abridged version of the SSA audit form, while reviewing law enforcement incidents. The inspection questions focused on the key deficiencies identified in the SSA audit, listed above. This drew supervisors' attention to SSA-related deficiencies,

made their reviews more thorough, and required them to take corrective action when the inspection found non-compliance. In 2024, supervisors conducted 12 written redirections, 17 written counseling sessions, 42 verbal redirections, one formal disciplinary investigation, and 9 other corrective actions as result of the SSA inspections. At the onset of the program, FOB staff conducted multiple trainings with supervisors and their command to ensure inspections were completed accurately and responded to appropriately. In 2024, FOB conducted 2,075 inspections and compliance scores continued to improve. NOPD's June 2024 SSA audit generally found higher compliance rates than the June 2023 SSA audit.

Notify PSS

NOPD's Professional Standards and Accountability Bureau (PSAB) uses the Notify PSS process to address possible policy violations and training needs its members may observe. PSAB members may make such observations through the course of their regular work assignments or while engaging in special project assignments, especially members conducting audits. 83 observations, unrelated to secondary employment and overtime, were reviewed and addressed by the Notify PSS process in 2024. None were observations made during stops, searches, and arrests auditing. 26 observations stemmed from the District Attorney's screening process and involved a refusal indicating the charge was refused due to actions of an NOPD employee. 12 stemmed from evidence suppressions communicated to PSAB by the District Attorney's office.

SFLs

In 2024, NOPD supervisors documented 2,857 supervisor feedback log entries (SFLs), which document compliments/employee recognitions, notes, redirections, and counseling of subordinates. 396 (14%) were categorized by the documenting supervisor as being related to: stops, searches, arrests, or bias-free policing. The tables below provide more details about the 396 SSA-related SFLs. 92% percent (365/396) were employee recognitions and 78% (308/396) addressed an action or incident involving an arrest.

Table 25: SSA-related Supervisor Feedback Log Entries by Type, 2024

Type	#	%
Employee Recognition	365	92%
Note	15	4%
Redirection	6	2%
Counseling	10	3%

Table 26: SSA-related Supervisor Feedback Log Entries by Topic, 2024

Topic	#	%
Bias-Free Policing	11	3%
Arrests	308	78%
Searches	6	2%
Stops	71	18%

Formal Disciplinary Investigations

In 2024, the Department initiated 20 formal disciplinary investigations alleging 35 violations of stops, searches, and arrest policy. Six of the allegations were Sustained. 14 of the alleged violations were determined to be Unfounded, which means the investigation determined, by a preponderance of the evidence, that the allegation did not occur or did not involve the subject employee. None of the allegations were Not Sustained, meaning the investigation could not prove or disprove the allegation. 15 of the allegations were Exonerated. Regarding the 6 Sustained: all were allegations of Rule 4 Performance of Duty - Paragraph 4 Neglect of Duty. The 6 Sustained allegations involved four accused officers. Once received a letter of reprimand while the other three are awaiting a hearing as of June 2025.

Management Dashboard

NOPD continues to make several management analytical tools that are relevant to stops, searches, and arrests available to members, including:

- The FOB Inspection Dashboard, created in late 2021, which shows supervisors the results of the FOB inspections, which includes a checklist for stops, searches, and arrests and is an abridged version of the audit form used for the stops, searches, and arrests audits.
- The Pending FIC Dashboard, which shows supervisors Field Interview Cards (FIC) that have yet to be reviewed and highlights the ones that indicate a search occurred. And the dashboard shows supervisors all 911 Dispatch data that indicate an FIC is required and for which an FIC with a matching incident number does not exist.
- The Pending EPR Incident Reports Dashboard, which shows supervisors all police reports that have yet to be reviewed and 911 Dispatch data that indicate a police report is required and for which a police report with a matching incident number does not exist.
- The Audit Results Dashboard, which makes audit results readily available for review by supervisors and highlights audit criteria with sub-par compliance rates for each district/division.

Training

The stop, search, and arrest related in-service training presented to all officers in 2023 included the following courses:

Evaluating Reasonable Suspicion (CD Paragraph 162,177) 2 Hours
This course included a problem-based learning (PBL) activity to study the legal requirements for establishing reasonable suspicion in conducting both pedestrian and vehicle stops, and to accurately convey that justification into the field interview card or police report. Continued deficiencies in documentation remain evident in the absence of supporting information that: expands a stop to include a pat down/frisk, a removal of a vehicle' s driver/occupants, an actual search of a subject, or the temporary handcuffing of a person not under arrest. Officers should also be able to determine when a search incident to arrest applies versus a pat down in an investigative stop or arrest. The situation will also

consider the protection of an individual's First Amendment rights in the filming of police actions.

Monadnock Defensive Tactics System – Arrest, Search, and Handcuff Practical
(CD Paragraph 109) 5 Hours
This bi-annual certification training covered tactical skillsets in defensive tactics and the techniques for handling and controlling criminal suspects. The training began with a review of the Department's Use of Force policy and the respective classification levels, including the Level I classification qualifying any force applied to physically overcome someone's resistance. A review of the safety concerns and methods for conducting a suspicious person stop under the pat down/frisk handcuffing policy was addressed. A demonstration of what constitutes an unauthorized choke hold was presented to eliminate classification confusion for any physical contact near a subject's neck area. Training in the application of the "EZ Leg Harness" identified how this tactical restraint belt can be used to minimize resistance from arrestees or crisis individuals acting out violently in transport.

VIRTRA Firearms/Use of Force Simulator (CD Paragraphs 109,110) 7 Hours
This course used the VIRTRA firearms simulator which features a combination of initial classroom instruction in the use of force policy and de-escalation strategies. Interactive vignettes challenged officers in the application of force and their justification for the force applied. The scenarios are designed to evaluate decision making, situational and threat assessment, crisis negotiations, contact and control, use of force progression, verbal skills, de-escalation, and marksmanship. The virtual experience includes commonly encountered situations that could result in deadly force, or the application of less than lethal force – CEW.

<u>Monthly Training Quizzes</u>

Every month the Policy and Planning Section of NOPD's Professional Standards and Accountability Bureau creates a 20 question, online, mandatory quiz designed to require the test taker to read and understand policy in order to reinforce policy. The following monthly quizzes in 2024 were related to stops, searches, and arrests:

- May – Community Engagement
- June – LGBTQ Interactions
- July – Mobile Crisis Intervention Unit and the Crisis Intervention Team
- September – Firearms Law Updates
- October – Bias-free Policing
- November – Evidence and Property

<u>Daily FIC Reviews</u>

In May of 2023, NOPD's Professional Standards and Accountability Bureau (PSAB) began a systematic daily review of every FIC that had been submitted in the previous 24-hour period. The FICs were reviewed even if the supervisor had not. The reviewer would check for completeness, proper application of Departmental policy including justification for the stop and actions taken, adherence to law and

correct application of terminology (i.e. pat down as opposed to search incident to arrest). The feedback was immediately returned to the District Captain and District Administrative Sergeant for the authoring member to review and consider. The process was viewed as an ongoing teaching / training tool and has led to substantial improvement in proper completion of the FIC and the application and use of proper legal terms.

[Intentionally left blank]

Appendices

Appendix 1 – New Orleans' Neighborhood Demographics (source: The Data Center, Neighborhood Statistical Area Data Profiles, released January 2024)

	Population		Female		Male	
	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE
Algiers Point	2,312	474	52.8%	5.4%	47.2%	2.8%
Audubon	17,172	849	56.2%	1.7%	43.8%	2.8%
B.W. Cooper	1,487	514	47.3%	15.5%	52.7%	25.4%
Bayou St. John	3,746	477	52.9%	4.2%	47.1%	3.3%
Behrman	7,200	1,330	61.4%	7.8%	38.6%	9.9%
Black Pearl	1,820	406	58.4%	12.9%	41.6%	12.5%
Broadmoor	6,381	602	54.7%	3.9%	45.3%	4.0%
Bywater	3,325	573	49.5%	7.9%	50.5%	12.2%
Central Business District	2,997	562	43.8%	16.1%	56.2%	12.8%
Central City	14,526	1,398	48.3%	2.8%	51.7%	4.0%
City Park	2,710	351	50.2%	6.4%	49.8%	4.4%
Desire Dev & Neighborhood	2,444	502	62.8%	18.1%	37.2%	9.9%
Dillard	4,866	625	57.3%	6.1%	42.7%	5.4%
Dixon	1,654	520	50.7%	20.2%	49.3%	19.7%
East Carrollton	3,573	410	56.3%	5.3%	43.7%	4.8%
East Riverside	2,999	475	53.6%	4.0%	46.4%	4.0%
Fairgrounds	4,979	565	53.2%	4.1%	46.8%	4.5%
Filmore	5,649	1,230	51.7%	13.2%	48.3%	13.7%
Fischer Development	848	162	63.3%	10.5%	36.7%	9.0%
Florida Area	1,647	341	59.6%	6.9%	40.4%	8.4%
French Quarter	3,023	429	38.0%	6.9%	62.0%	7.2%
Freret	1,659	398	45.8%	13.0%	54.2%	8.4%
Garden District	1,565	261	50.5%	4.2%	49.5%	9.8%
Gentilly Terrace	8,936	813	56.0%	3.5%	44.0%	3.9%
Gentilly Woods	4,038	849	57.3%	10.3%	42.7%	12.0%
Gert Town	5,333	636	61.2%	9.9%	38.8%	7.7%
Hollygrove	5,701	765	49.6%	5.2%	50.4%	4.3%
Holy Cross	2,437	354	55.0%	5.1%	45.0%	5.1%
Iberville Development	1,366	543	52.6%	27.7%	47.4%	17.8%
Irish Channel	3,065	602	51.6%	6.4%	48.4%	3.1%
Lake Catherine/Village de l'Est	1,916	357	52.5%	7.1%	47.5%	3.4%
Lake Terrace & Oaks	2,258	583	59.5%	14.4%	40.5%	12.2%
Lakeshore/Lake Vista	3,133	337	52.1%	4.9%	47.9%	2.3%
Lakeview	9,074	746	50.6%	0.2%	49.4%	3.7%
Lakewood	1,870	263	52.1%	10.0%	47.9%	9.0%
Leonidas	7,753	755	56.7%	2.9%	43.3%	5.1%

	Population		Female		Male	
	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE
Little Woods	39,526	2,479	54.3%	1.7%	45.7%	2.3%
Lower Garden District	5,587	575	49.4%	3.5%	50.6%	3.8%
Lower Ninth Ward	4,630	876	54.5%	14.1%	45.5%	7.2%
Marigny	2,569	453	40.0%	4.1%	60.0%	6.4%
Marlyville/Fontainebleau	6,313	563	52.6%	3.4%	47.4%	4.1%
McDonogh	2,115	381	54.5%	7.9%	45.5%	8.1%
Mid-City	12,579	789	45.8%	3.8%	54.2%	2.5%
Milan	6,294	684	49.0%	4.0%	51.0%	4.5%
Milneburg	4,783	637	53.4%	8.4%	46.6%	1.3%
Navarre	2,484	283	49.1%	5.5%	50.9%	4.5%
New Aurora/English Turn	4,596	732	47.8%	4.6%	52.2%	4.9%
Old Aurora	14,058	1,241	53.1%	2.5%	46.9%	2.8%
Pines Village	4,107	1,045	56.3%	4.2%	43.7%	6.1%
Plum Orchard	6,174	1,479	49.3%	2.4%	50.7%	8.9%
Pontchartrain Park	1,555	455	52.8%	6.4%	47.2%	9.1%
Read Blvd East	9,084	1,062	53.1%	5.0%	46.9%	4.1%
Read Blvd West	6,059	1,109	54.2%	6.8%	45.8%	11.6%
Seventh Ward	10,657	835	50.8%	3.1%	49.2%	3.8%
St. Anthony	4,488	502	62.1%	3.9%	37.9%	4.7%
St. Bernard Area	2,790	458	53.9%	5.8%	46.1%	6.9%
St. Claude	6,945	724	48.9%	4.2%	51.1%	2.4%
St. Roch	7,370	761	49.8%	3.8%	50.2%	3.7%
St. Thomas Development	2,854	525	57.0%	4.6%	43.0%	10.1%
Tall Timbers/Brechtel	12,616	1,199	56.7%	3.9%	43.3%	1.9%
Touro	2,850	384	52.6%	5.5%	47.4%	4.1%
Treme'/Lafitte	5,299	501	52.7%	4.4%	47.3%	3.9%
Tulane/Gravier	3,926	643	41.1%	2.0%	58.9%	9.4%
U.S. Naval Support Area	1,611	235	53.8%	6.1%	46.2%	5.3%
Uptown	6,156	668	47.1%	1.3%	52.9%	5.7%
Viavant/Venetian Isles	814	194	43.0%	8.6%	57.0%	9.7%
Village de l'Est	8,350	908	54.2%	5.0%	45.8%	2.7%
West End	3,723	374	54.1%	4.1%	45.9%	5.9%
West Lake Forest	5,105	726	59.1%	3.6%	40.9%	5.6%
West Riverside	4,476	426	52.8%	4.5%	47.2%	4.4%
Whitney	2,403	631	42.2%	7.2%	57.8%	12.9%
New Orleans	380,408	0	52.8%	0.0%	47.2%	0.0%
United States	331,097,593	0	50.4%	0.0%	49.6%	0.0%

	Population		Black or African American		White		Asian
	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022
Algiers Point	2,312	474	15.5%	15.5%	71.5%	19.7%	1.8%
Audubon	17,172	849	11.3%	2.3%	71.9%	3.9%	5.4%
B.W. Cooper	1,487	514	99.2%	4.9%	0.3%	0.3%	0.5%
Bayou St. John	3,746	477	18.6%	5.9%	71.0%	6.7%	1.0%
Behrman	7,200	1,330	83.9%	6.6%	6.4%	2.8%	0.9%
Black Pearl	1,820	406	15.8%	4.2%	69.1%	14.8%	4.6%
Broadmoor	6,381	602	50.8%	6.4%	32.0%	3.1%	3.6%
Bywater	3,325	573	17.8%	4.3%	67.0%	9.0%	0.8%
Central Business District	2,997	562	32.0%	15.7%	55.3%	12.9%	7.3%
Central City	14,526	1,398	65.2%	5.3%	19.5%	3.3%	0.5%
City Park	2,710	351	2.3%	2.5%	86.7%	4.2%	0.0%
Desire Dev & Neighborhood	2,444	502	97.8%	28.3%	1.8%	2.2%	0.0%
Dillard	4,866	625	81.7%	7.0%	12.7%	3.9%	0.7%
Dixon	1,654	520	82.8%	18.0%	4.5%	2.4%	0.0%
East Carrollton	3,573	410	16.9%	8.1%	59.9%	4.0%	11.9%
East Riverside	2,999	475	25.9%	10.5%	67.6%	6.0%	1.7%
Fairgrounds	4,979	565	42.3%	7.9%	47.7%	5.0%	0.1%
Filmore	5,649	1,230	61.4%	17.1%	27.9%	7.7%	1.8%
Fischer Development	848	162	98.9%	1.8%	0.5%	0.7%	0.0%
Florida Area	1,647	341	81.8%	8.1%	5.6%	3.4%	0.0%
French Quarter	3,023	429	3.9%	4.0%	86.9%	4.6%	0.4%
Freret	1,659	398	56.2%	21.0%	34.1%	11.1%	0.4%
Garden District	1,565	261	8.2%	6.2%	82.4%	8.9%	0.0%
Gentilly Terrace	8,936	813	72.6%	6.3%	19.3%	2.8%	0.0%
Gentilly Woods	4,038	849	64.8%	14.3%	19.9%	5.0%	1.9%
Gert Town	5,333	636	82.4%	7.5%	9.1%	3.0%	1.2%
Hollygrove	5,701	765	84.7%	6.1%	6.0%	3.4%	0.4%
Holy Cross	2,437	354	69.2%	5.9%	25.3%	6.0%	0.0%
Iberville Development	1,366	543	95.2%	15.4%	1.5%	1.9%	0.0%
Irish Channel	3,065	602	22.3%	8.0%	55.7%	12.5%	0.7%
Lake Catherine/Village de l'Est	1,916	357	43.9%	13.8%	42.3%	7.2%	0.7%
Lake Terrace & Oaks	2,258	583	28.5%	9.4%	36.7%	10.8%	10.2%
Lakeshore/Lake Vista	3,133	337	3.4%	5.4%	86.8%	12.6%	3.9%
Lakeview	9,074	746	3.4%	2.0%	79.7%	9.1%	1.4%
Lakewood	1,870	263	9.6%	6.3%	70.7%	2.8%	1.4%
Leonidas	7,753	755	45.4%	7.2%	41.5%	3.7%	2.4%
Little Woods	39,526	2,479	90.8%	2.3%	3.1%	1.3%	1.1%
Lower Garden District	5,587	575	14.9%	6.7%	69.6%	4.4%	2.6%
Lower Ninth Ward	4,630	876	90.0%	5.0%	5.9%	2.1%	0.0%

	Population		Black or African American		White		Asian
	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022
Marigny	2,569	453	6.6%	3.8%	79.4%	6.3%	0.2%
Marlyville/Fontainebleau	6,313	563	20.0%	4.9%	59.7%	4.3%	2.8%
McDonogh	2,115	381	68.8%	11.6%	25.3%	8.4%	0.0%
Mid-City	12,579	789	35.0%	3.5%	43.7%	2.9%	1.3%
Milan	6,294	684	39.2%	5.9%	52.1%	6.7%	1.5%
Milneburg	4,783	637	75.3%	3.7%	11.4%	3.7%	1.3%
Navarre	2,484	283	11.0%	8.0%	68.7%	6.9%	6.8%
New Aurora/English Turn	4,596	732	66.1%	11.6%	18.3%	1.8%	8.4%
Old Aurora	14,058	1,241	60.1%	6.0%	26.4%	2.5%	3.3%
Pines Village	4,107	1,045	97.6%	6.9%	0.3%	0.4%	0.0%
Plum Orchard	6,174	1,479	89.9%	2.0%	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%
Pontchartrain Park	1,555	455	85.3%	11.9%	6.6%	5.0%	0.7%
Read Blvd East	9,084	1,062	82.2%	6.4%	3.2%	2.3%	10.5%
Read Blvd West	6,059	1,109	94.3%	7.2%	2.1%	1.6%	1.3%
Seventh Ward	10,657	835	71.2%	4.7%	18.8%	2.7%	0.8%
St. Anthony	4,488	502	72.2%	7.6%	14.6%	3.8%	2.3%
St. Bernard Area	2,790	458	90.9%	9.3%	5.8%	4.1%	0.0%
St. Claude	6,945	724	60.9%	8.1%	33.3%	3.4%	0.3%
St. Roch	7,370	761	68.9%	6.5%	16.6%	3.6%	0.5%
St. Thomas Development	2,854	525	80.7%	10.1%	16.0%	2.5%	0.0%
Tall Timbers/Brechtel	12,616	1,199	75.7%	5.7%	14.5%	3.2%	2.3%
Touro	2,850	384	11.5%	5.4%	74.3%	5.5%	7.3%
Treme'/Lafitte	5,299	501	55.8%	5.5%	32.4%	5.9%	0.0%
Tulane/Gravier	3,926	643	68.8%	12.0%	15.6%	3.0%	1.6%
U.S. Naval Support Area	1,611	235	61.2%	12.5%	21.8%	5.8%	1.2%
Uptown	6,156	668	16.4%	5.8%	72.4%	10.5%	2.5%
Viavant/Venetian Isles	814	194	69.2%	16.0%	10.0%	5.4%	10.3%
Village de l'Est	8,350	908	52.4%	7.8%	1.6%	1.0%	33.7%
West End	3,723	374	8.5%	4.5%	73.8%	6.7%	5.9%
West Lake Forest	5,105	726	86.8%	4.3%	0.8%	0.6%	0.0%
West Riverside	4,476	426	13.5%	5.5%	74.4%	4.3%	3.3%
Whitney	2,403	631	80.9%	15.4%	14.1%	2.7%	0.2%
New Orleans	380,408	0	56.7%	0.4%	30.8%	0.1%	2.8%
United States	331,097,593	0	12.1%	0.0%	58.9%	0.0%	5.7%

	Population		American Indian		2 race categories		Hispanic (any race)		Other	
	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE
Algiers Point	2,312	474	0.0%	0.6%	3.2%	3.6%	8.0%	4.7%	0.0%	0.9%
Audubon	17,172	849	0.1%	0.2%	3.3%	1.2%	7.7%	1.3%	0.3%	0.4%
B.W. Cooper	1,487	514	0.0%	0.9%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	1.3%
Bayou St. John	3,746	477	0.0%	0.5%	3.1%	2.1%	6.4%	4.0%	0.0%	0.7%
Behrman	7,200	1,330	0.3%	0.3%	3.8%	4.2%	4.7%	2.3%	0.0%	0.5%
Black Pearl	1,820	406	0.0%	0.8%	3.6%	3.4%	6.8%	2.9%	0.0%	1.1%
Broadmoor	6,381	602	0.0%	0.4%	4.7%	3.1%	9.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.5%
Bywater	3,325	573	0.5%	0.6%	5.4%	2.4%	4.5%	2.1%	4.0%	5.1%
Central Business District	2,997	562	0.0%	0.5%	1.3%	1.4%	3.1%	2.0%	1.0%	1.2%
Central City	14,526	1,398	0.1%	0.3%	2.9%	1.7%	11.8%	3.3%	0.0%	0.4%
City Park	2,710	351	0.0%	0.7%	2.4%	2.3%	8.5%	4.2%	0.0%	1.0%
Desire Dev & Neighborhood	2,444	502	0.4%	0.9%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	1.1%
Dillard	4,866	625	0.0%	0.4%	1.4%	1.0%	2.9%	1.7%	0.7%	0.9%
Dixon	1,654	520	0.0%	0.8%	4.1%	3.8%	7.9%	5.1%	0.7%	1.2%
East Carrollton	3,573	410	0.0%	0.6%	6.4%	3.3%	4.9%	2.6%	0.0%	0.8%
East Riverside	2,999	475	0.0%	0.7%	3.0%	1.4%	1.8%	1.3%	0.0%	0.9%
Fairgrounds	4,979	565	0.0%	0.4%	4.6%	2.7%	4.2%	2.1%	1.1%	1.3%
Filmore	5,649	1,230	0.1%	0.3%	2.0%	1.3%	6.8%	2.6%	0.0%	0.5%
Fischer Development	848	162	0.0%	1.7%	0.6%	0.8%	0.0%	1.7%	0.0%	2.3%
Florida Area	1,647	341	0.0%	1.2%	5.6%	6.8%	6.2%	6.2%	0.8%	1.8%
French Quarter	3,023	429	0.0%	0.8%	0.2%	0.9%	8.6%	3.2%	0.0%	1.1%
Freret	1,659	398	0.0%	0.8%	2.6%	2.3%	4.1%	2.5%	2.5%	2.2%
Garden District	1,565	261	1.0%	1.4%	3.1%	3.3%	4.5%	4.2%	0.6%	1.4%
Gentilly Terrace	8,936	813	0.4%	0.6%	2.8%	1.2%	3.4%	1.7%	1.5%	1.0%
Gentilly Woods	4,038	849	0.0%	0.3%	3.0%	2.8%	8.8%	5.6%	1.6%	2.5%
Gert Town	5,333	636	0.5%	0.6%	0.2%	0.5%	6.1%	3.4%	0.5%	0.9%
Hollygrove	5,701	765	0.0%	0.3%	1.1%	1.3%	7.8%	4.9%	0.0%	0.5%
Holy Cross	2,437	354	0.0%	0.8%	3.7%	3.5%	1.8%	1.3%	0.0%	1.1%
Iberville Development	1,366	543	0.0%	1.0%	3.4%	3.2%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	1.4%
Irish Channel	3,065	602	0.0%	0.6%	6.9%	8.0%	7.1%	2.9%	7.3%	8.5%
Lake Catherine/ Village de l'Est	1,916	357	0.0%	0.7%	0.9%	0.8%	10.1%	8.3%	2.0%	3.8%
Lake Terrace & Oaks	2,258	583	0.0%	0.6%	20.2%	24.1%	3.8%	1.9%	0.6%	1.1%
Lakeshore/ Lake Vista	3,133	337	0.3%	0.4%	1.6%	1.3%	4.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.6%
Lakeview	9,074	746	0.0%	0.3%	1.4%	0.7%	14.0%	4.7%	0.1%	0.4%

	Population		American Indian		2 race categories		Hispanic (any race)		Other	
	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE
Lakewood	1,870	263	0.0%	0.7%	0.3%	0.4%	10.9%	4.3%	7.1%	8.4%
Leonidas	7,753	755	0.4%	0.5%	4.4%	1.6%	4.3%	1.6%	1.5%	2.1%
Little Woods	39,526	2,479	0.0%	0.1%	2.2%	1.4%	1.3%	0.7%	1.4%	1.6%
Lower Garden District	5,587	575	0.0%	0.5%	5.7%	2.3%	7.0%	2.6%	0.2%	0.7%
Lower Ninth Ward	4,630	876	0.0%	0.7%	3.0%	3.7%	0.9%	1.2%	0.3%	1.0%
Marigny	2,569	453	0.4%	0.9%	3.6%	1.7%	9.8%	6.0%	0.0%	1.1%
Marlyville/ Fontainebleau	6,313	563	0.8%	0.8%	7.0%	3.8%	8.2%	2.3%	1.5%	1.3%
McDonogh	2,115	381	0.5%	1.0%	3.6%	3.2%	1.7%	1.5%	0.0%	1.3%
Mid-City	12,579	789	0.0%	0.3%	6.6%	2.4%	11.1%	2.7%	2.3%	2.0%
Milan	6,294	684	0.0%	0.4%	3.1%	1.9%	3.8%	1.8%	0.2%	0.6%
Milneburg	4,783	637	0.0%	0.4%	3.2%	1.3%	1.8%	1.4%	7.0%	9.1%
Navarre	2,484	283	0.0%	0.1%	7.4%	3.8%	6.2%	4.3%	0.0%	0.8%
New Aurora/ English Turn	4,596	732	0.0%	0.4%	0.3%	0.5%	6.9%	4.3%	0.0%	0.6%
Old Aurora	14,058	1,241	0.1%	0.2%	2.5%	1.4%	7.6%	2.4%	0.0%	0.3%
Pines Village	4,107	1,045	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.3%	1.2%	1.8%	0.8%	1.3%
Plum Orchard	6,174	1,479	0.0%	0.3%	1.6%	2.4%	8.2%	5.2%	0.0%	0.5%
Pontchartrain Park	1,555	455	0.0%	0.9%	1.5%	2.6%	1.0%	1.2%	5.0%	5.7%
Read Blvd East	9,084	1,062	0.0%	0.2%	0.5%	0.7%	2.4%	3.2%	1.2%	1.7%
Read Blvd West	6,059	1,109	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%	0.4%	1.7%	1.2%	0.4%	0.8%
Seventh Ward	10,657	835	0.0%	0.4%	4.1%	1.8%	4.9%	2.3%	0.3%	0.6%
St. Anthony	4,488	502	0.5%	0.8%	3.2%	1.8%	7.0%	3.4%	0.3%	0.6%
St. Bernard Area	2,790	458	0.0%	0.5%	0.5%	0.5%	2.7%	3.0%	0.0%	0.7%
St. Claude	6,945	724	0.0%	0.5%	1.7%	1.0%	2.7%	1.2%	1.0%	0.9%
St. Roch	7,370	761	0.1%	0.4%	3.6%	1.9%	8.7%	3.7%	1.5%	1.7%
St. Thomas Development	2,854	525	0.0%	0.7%	1.3%	1.2%	2.0%	1.6%	0.0%	1.0%
Tall Timbers/ Brechtel	12,616	1,199	0.0%	0.2%	3.3%	1.8%	3.5%	1.5%	0.7%	0.7%
Touro	2,850	384	0.6%	0.8%	2.0%	1.7%	4.4%	2.7%	0.0%	0.7%
Treme'/ Lafitte	5,299	501	0.0%	0.5%	8.2%	4.7%	3.2%	2.0%	0.5%	0.9%
Tulane/ Gravier	3,926	643	0.0%	0.4%	2.3%	1.6%	10.9%	4.6%	0.8%	1.2%
U.S. Naval Support Area	1,611	235	0.0%	0.9%	1.6%	2.0%	11.7%	6.7%	2.5%	3.3%
Uptown	6,156	668	0.1%	0.4%	6.5%	5.9%	1.9%	1.0%	0.1%	0.5%

	Population		American Indian		2 race categories		Hispanic (any race)		Other	
	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE
Viavant/ Venetian Isles	814	194	0.0%	2.4%	0.2%	1.8%	10.3%	11.5%	0.0%	3.4%
Village de l'Est	8,350	908	0.3%	0.4%	0.7%	0.7%	10.4%	5.5%	1.0%	1.5%
West End	3,723	374	0.0%	0.5%	2.5%	2.4%	8.6%	3.1%	0.6%	1.1%
West Lake Forest	5,105	726	0.3%	0.5%	6.9%	4.7%	5.2%	2.7%	0.0%	0.7%
West Riverside	4,476	426	0.0%	0.5%	2.8%	1.6%	6.0%	2.7%	0.0%	0.8%
Whitney	2,403	631	0.6%	1.2%	1.3%	1.3%	2.9%	2.3%	0.0%	0.8%
New Orleans	380,408	0	0.1%	0.0%	3.1%	0.3%	5.7%	0.0%	0.8%	0.2%
United States	331,097,593	0	0.6%	0.0%	3.5%	0.0%	18.7%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%

	Population		4 years old and under		5-9 years old		10-14 years old	
	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE
Algiers Point	2,312	474	7.6%	5.0%	6.0%	5.3%	3.8%	2.9%
Audubon	17,172	849	3.3%	1.1%	3.4%	0.8%	3.3%	0.8%
B.W. Cooper	1,487	514	9.3%	7.5%	7.0%	5.2%	9.5%	5.8%
Bayou St. John	3,746	477	7.2%	3.0%	3.8%	1.8%	4.1%	2.3%
Behrman	7,200	1,330	4.2%	2.2%	5.7%	2.4%	2.9%	1.7%
Black Pearl	1,820	406	4.6%	3.1%	9.8%	11.4%	1.4%	1.2%
Broadmoor	6,381	602	6.8%	2.3%	4.5%	1.7%	5.2%	1.4%
Bywater	3,325	573	0.9%	0.8%	1.8%	1.4%	3.8%	2.0%
Central Business District	2,997	562	0.9%	0.4%	0.9%	0.4%	1.0%	0.5%
Central City	14,526	1,398	5.3%	1.5%	6.3%	1.7%	4.6%	1.5%
City Park	2,710	351	2.7%	2.3%	2.5%	1.6%	3.8%	2.2%
Desire Dev & Neighborhood	2,444	502	5.5%	2.8%	12.5%	5.0%	9.9%	5.3%
Dillard	4,866	625	4.7%	2.9%	3.6%	2.0%	4.1%	2.2%
Dixon	1,654	520	8.1%	3.9%	6.3%	6.2%	10.9%	7.2%
East Carrollton	3,573	410	3.0%	2.3%	5.0%	2.8%	2.8%	1.7%
East Riverside	2,999	475	6.1%	2.0%	5.8%	2.8%	4.3%	2.6%
Fairgrounds	4,979	565	3.8%	2.7%	0.9%	0.8%	2.8%	1.9%
Filmore	5,649	1,230	7.9%	1.6%	7.9%	4.2%	5.3%	2.7%
Fischer Development	848	162	4.4%	5.2%	9.3%	6.9%	16.6%	11.2%
Florida Area	1,647	341	7.0%	3.8%	1.9%	2.6%	10.0%	4.9%
French Quarter	3,023	429	0.2%	1.1%	0.3%	1.0%	0.6%	1.0%

	Population		4 years old and under		5-9 years old		10-14 years old	
	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE
Freret	1,659	398	8.1%	4.2%	9.3%	4.8%	6.3%	5.4%
Garden District	1,565	261	3.7%	3.9%	1.7%	1.5%	6.8%	2.2%
Gentilly Terrace	8,936	813	3.7%	1.6%	6.8%	2.4%	4.8%	1.9%
Gentilly Woods	4,038	849	14.9%	10.0%	6.4%	3.2%	9.3%	5.4%
Gert Town	5,333	636	3.3%	1.9%	2.5%	1.5%	5.3%	3.4%
Hollygrove	5,701	765	7.6%	2.8%	5.3%	3.0%	7.2%	3.0%
Holy Cross	2,437	354	2.0%	1.8%	3.6%	2.6%	4.8%	2.2%
Iberville Development	1,366	543	13.4%	10.6%	30.5%	15.3%	8.5%	7.2%
Irish Channel	3,065	602	12.1%	8.1%	3.1%	1.3%	1.0%	0.6%
Lake Catherine/ Village de l'Est	1,916	357	7.8%	4.2%	1.8%	1.9%	6.7%	3.4%
Lake Terrace & Oaks	2,258	583	2.1%	1.0%	3.2%	1.6%	5.3%	3.3%
Lakeshore/ Lake Vista	3,133	337	5.5%	2.0%	5.1%	1.8%	4.5%	2.0%
Lakeview	9,074	746	9.4%	2.4%	7.7%	1.8%	6.3%	1.1%
Lakewood	1,870	263	10.7%	8.5%	5.8%	2.0%	7.7%	2.8%
Leonidas	7,753	755	6.4%	2.7%	3.7%	1.5%	4.4%	1.6%
Little Woods	39,526	2,479	6.7%	1.3%	6.4%	1.3%	6.9%	1.3%
Lower Garden District	5,587	575	3.6%	1.9%	3.3%	1.7%	1.8%	1.0%
Lower Ninth Ward	4,630	876	4.8%	2.1%	8.4%	3.8%	7.6%	2.4%
Marigny	2,569	453	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	1.1%	4.4%	3.1%
Marlyville/ Fontainebleau	6,313	563	3.5%	1.3%	7.1%	2.2%	4.5%	1.7%
McDonogh	2,115	381	3.3%	2.3%	4.0%	2.3%	4.8%	3.4%
Mid-City	12,579	789	3.2%	2.0%	2.5%	1.0%	2.9%	1.1%
Milan	6,294	684	4.5%	2.0%	3.2%	1.4%	5.1%	2.7%
Milneburg	4,783	637	4.2%	2.4%	4.7%	1.8%	4.1%	1.6%
Navarre	2,484	283	7.0%	3.1%	2.9%	1.6%	4.3%	2.3%
New Aurora/ English Turn	4,596	732	3.6%	2.9%	4.0%	2.7%	6.7%	3.3%
Old Aurora	14,058	1,241	4.6%	1.9%	3.6%	1.8%	5.4%	1.5%
Pines Village	4,107	1,045	6.6%	3.7%	9.4%	4.2%	9.7%	4.9%
Plum Orchard	6,174	1,479	5.3%	3.4%	6.9%	3.2%	8.8%	3.6%
Pontchartrain Park	1,555	455	5.7%	4.8%	6.0%	5.0%	3.0%	3.3%
Read Blvd East	9,084	1,062	3.7%	1.7%	4.4%	1.4%	4.3%	1.8%
Read Blvd West	6,059	1,109	3.9%	2.2%	4.8%	2.1%	15.8%	7.9%
Seventh Ward	10,657	835	5.2%	1.9%	6.0%	1.8%	5.6%	1.5%

	Population		4 years old and under		5-9 years old		10-14 years old	
	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE
St. Anthony	4,488	502	4.3%	2.0%	4.6%	2.0%	7.8%	3.8%
St. Bernard Area	2,790	458	4.9%	3.4%	7.2%	3.5%	11.2%	5.4%
St. Claude	6,945	724	3.3%	1.2%	4.2%	1.6%	6.1%	3.0%
St. Roch	7,370	761	4.4%	2.1%	5.3%	2.5%	7.4%	2.2%
St. Thomas Development	2,854	525	7.6%	3.5%	5.0%	3.4%	17.5%	4.9%
Tall Timbers/ Brechtel	12,616	1,199	6.3%	2.1%	4.3%	1.6%	9.7%	2.7%
Touro	2,850	384	7.5%	4.0%	2.3%	1.5%	1.2%	1.1%
Treme'/ Lafitte	5,299	501	7.6%	2.2%	6.2%	2.6%	7.1%	3.1%
Tulane/ Gravier	3,926	643	3.5%	2.0%	5.3%	2.3%	6.3%	2.7%
U.S. Naval Support Area	1,611	235	6.1%	3.1%	5.0%	3.1%	4.3%	2.8%
Uptown	6,156	668	9.7%	4.6%	2.6%	1.7%	2.2%	0.8%
Viavant/ Venetian Isles	814	194	15.5%	9.9%	2.2%	3.3%	9.2%	6.5%
Village de l'Est	8,350	908	9.8%	3.1%	6.3%	3.5%	8.7%	2.5%
West End	3,723	374	7.4%	1.8%	6.2%	2.5%	1.5%	0.9%
West Lake Forest	5,105	726	7.2%	3.0%	7.9%	3.1%	10.2%	3.3%
West Riverside	4,476	426	3.5%	1.4%	4.5%	1.8%	2.4%	1.1%
Whitney	2,403	631	12.9%	7.3%	12.5%	14.4%	5.1%	5.0%
New Orleans	380,408	0	5.6%	0.0%	5.2%	0.2%	5.8%	0.2%
United States	331,097,593	0	5.7%	0.0%	6.0%	0.0%	6.5%	0.0%

	Population		15-17 years old		18-34 years old		35-49 years old	
	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE
Algiers Point	2,312	474	0.0%	0.9%	15.7%	5.5%	19.9%	4.5%
Audubon	17,172	849	1.7%	0.5%	52.9%	3.8%	11.3%	1.7%
B.W. Cooper	1,487	514	5.3%	5.0%	32.4%	7.0%	16.3%	5.2%
Bayou St. John	3,746	477	2.5%	2.2%	23.0%	4.3%	26.1%	4.0%
Behrman	7,200	1,330	2.7%	1.4%	25.6%	5.5%	17.2%	4.2%
Black Pearl	1,820	406	0.9%	1.0%	25.7%	3.8%	19.8%	5.4%
Broadmoor	6,381	602	3.2%	1.3%	25.1%	4.4%	22.0%	3.0%

	Population		15-17 years old		18-34 years old		35-49 years old	
	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE
Bywater	3,325	573	1.1%	1.1%	26.8%	5.2%	26.0%	4.3%
Central Business District	2,997	562	0.0%	0.7%	23.7%	4.0%	20.3%	4.2%
Central City	14,526	1,398	3.4%	1.3%	22.4%	2.6%	22.0%	3.1%
City Park	2,710	351	1.8%	1.5%	22.6%	5.8%	27.8%	7.6%
Desire Dev & Neighborhood	2,444	502	1.7%	1.8%	16.4%	7.4%	26.5%	6.2%
Dillard	4,866	625	1.0%	1.1%	19.4%	5.5%	18.2%	3.8%
Dixon	1,654	520	5.1%	4.5%	21.4%	3.4%	16.1%	8.3%
East Carrollton	3,573	410	1.8%	1.4%	35.1%	5.4%	23.8%	4.2%
East Riverside	2,999	475	1.9%	1.5%	18.1%	3.2%	30.4%	4.6%
Fairgrounds	4,979	565	0.8%	0.8%	24.9%	4.7%	16.1%	3.0%
Filmore	5,649	1,230	1.6%	0.9%	20.6%	7.9%	22.6%	6.6%
Fischer Development	848	162	4.0%	3.7%	22.2%	12.5%	13.6%	7.6%
Florida Area	1,647	341	4.3%	3.6%	20.3%	6.4%	15.8%	5.0%
French Quarter	3,023	429	0.9%	1.6%	13.7%	5.4%	17.5%	4.9%
Freret	1,659	398	1.9%	1.7%	25.9%	3.9%	19.8%	4.8%
Garden District	1,565	261	2.7%	2.1%	17.8%	6.3%	24.2%	12.0%
Gentilly Terrace	8,936	813	3.4%	1.5%	22.5%	4.3%	21.1%	2.7%
Gentilly Woods	4,038	849	2.2%	1.5%	27.1%	5.0%	14.9%	3.4%
Gert Town	5,333	636	3.1%	1.9%	54.6%	6.7%	11.5%	3.1%
Hollygrove	5,701	765	2.4%	1.5%	22.1%	4.7%	15.2%	4.5%
Holy Cross	2,437	354	2.4%	2.0%	18.3%	4.5%	26.3%	5.5%
Iberville Development	1,366	543	2.5%	4.6%	16.8%	9.7%	6.1%	4.7%
Irish Channel	3,065	602	0.1%	0.8%	27.5%	4.6%	29.7%	6.7%
Lake Catherine/ Village de l'Est	1,916	357	3.6%	2.7%	11.6%	3.4%	21.9%	6.0%
Lake Terrace & Oaks	2,258	583	7.1%	4.7%	22.0%	4.0%	25.6%	15.1%
Lakeshore/ Lake Vista	3,133	337	3.3%	1.5%	10.2%	3.5%	14.9%	3.3%
Lakeview	9,074	746	2.9%	0.8%	20.2%	3.0%	23.6%	2.0%
Lakewood	1,870	263	4.0%	1.8%	10.8%	4.3%	21.6%	5.5%
Leonidas	7,753	755	2.1%	0.9%	21.2%	2.9%	26.8%	4.4%
Little Woods	39,526	2,479	5.4%	1.1%	23.6%	2.0%	17.7%	1.7%
Lower Garden District	5,587	575	1.3%	1.2%	34.5%	4.9%	24.6%	3.8%

	Population		15-17 years old		18-34 years old		35-49 years old	
	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE
Lower Ninth Ward	4,630	876	5.1%	3.8%	18.3%	3.2%	20.8%	5.1%
Marigny	2,569	453	1.3%	1.8%	22.4%	8.7%	25.3%	6.0%
Marlyville/ Fontainebleau	6,313	563	4.5%	1.5%	21.6%	3.6%	22.3%	3.9%
McDonogh	2,115	381	1.7%	1.7%	20.9%	5.6%	26.2%	6.3%
Mid-City	12,579	789	1.9%	1.3%	39.8%	3.4%	22.1%	3.1%
Milan	6,294	684	3.2%	1.5%	32.1%	5.8%	19.8%	2.5%
Milneburg	4,783	637	9.0%	5.8%	21.3%	4.2%	23.9%	4.4%
Navarre	2,484	283	2.6%	1.5%	29.1%	6.6%	23.6%	4.4%
New Aurora/ English Turn	4,596	732	4.8%	2.6%	18.1%	5.2%	21.3%	4.1%
Old Aurora	14,058	1,241	2.7%	1.1%	24.0%	3.7%	20.0%	2.5%
Pines Village	4,107	1,045	1.1%	1.6%	29.5%	8.3%	15.9%	4.6%
Plum Orchard	6,174	1,479	4.5%	1.6%	31.3%	9.3%	15.4%	3.9%
Pontchartrain Park	1,555	455	3.3%	4.1%	30.0%	9.3%	18.9%	7.1%
Read Blvd East	9,084	1,062	1.8%	1.1%	24.8%	6.6%	19.8%	2.7%
Read Blvd West	6,059	1,109	5.2%	2.4%	19.1%	3.7%	25.7%	5.7%
Seventh Ward	10,657	835	2.9%	1.1%	24.6%	3.1%	19.6%	3.4%
St. Anthony	4,488	502	4.9%	2.3%	26.7%	4.4%	22.0%	4.6%
St. Bernard Area	2,790	458	5.2%	2.7%	14.6%	4.6%	18.2%	6.0%
St. Claude	6,945	724	3.1%	1.8%	22.4%	3.4%	23.0%	3.0%
St. Roch	7,370	761	4.2%	1.4%	22.4%	3.6%	25.8%	2.8%
St. Thomas Development	2,854	525	3.5%	2.5%	20.0%	5.4%	23.0%	9.7%
Tall Timbers/ Brechtel	12,616	1,199	4.4%	1.2%	23.2%	3.4%	16.3%	2.5%
Touro	2,850	384	0.8%	0.9%	23.8%	7.9%	22.9%	5.4%
Treme'/ Lafitte	5,299	501	3.6%	1.8%	24.3%	4.7%	20.8%	4.0%
Tulane/ Gravier	3,926	643	2.7%	1.7%	32.8%	4.7%	17.0%	4.3%
U.S. Naval Support Area	1,611	235	1.8%	1.7%	22.9%	5.7%	22.0%	4.9%
Uptown	6,156	668	1.9%	0.9%	23.4%	4.1%	23.2%	3.6%
Viavant/ Venetian Isles	814	194	0.0%	3.4%	16.2%	9.8%	15.2%	7.4%
Village de l'Est	8,350	908	4.5%	1.8%	18.2%	3.2%	16.1%	2.7%

	Population		15-17 years old		18-34 years old		35-49 years old	
	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE
West End	3,723	374	3.1%	1.7%	31.0%	6.3%	18.8%	3.3%
West Lake Forest	5,105	726	3.0%	2.0%	22.9%	5.7%	19.6%	4.6%
West Riverside	4,476	426	2.1%	0.9%	23.8%	5.0%	25.8%	3.3%
Whitney	2,403	631	0.3%	0.8%	21.1%	5.4%	20.6%	4.8%
New Orleans	380,408	0	3.2%	0.0%	25.6%	0.3%	20.1%	0.3%
United States	331,097,593	0	3.9%	0.0%	23.2%	0.0%	19.0%	0.0%

	Population		50-64 years old		65-74 years old		75-84 years old		85 years old and older	
	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE
Algiers Point	2,312	474	21.1%	3.6%	16.4%	5.6%	8.2%	4.5%	1.3%	1.2%
Audubon	17,172	849	11.6%	1.4%	7.3%	1.2%	3.8%	0.7%	1.4%	0.5%
B.W. Cooper	1,487	514	14.7%	7.3%	2.8%	3.6%	2.6%	2.1%	0.0%	1.3%
Bayou St. John	3,746	477	16.6%	3.4%	9.9%	2.9%	4.1%	1.6%	2.8%	2.4%
Behrman	7,200	1,330	20.8%	2.8%	14.2%	3.9%	3.6%	1.3%	3.1%	2.2%
Black Pearl	1,820	406	15.4%	5.2%	10.1%	2.8%	5.7%	2.4%	6.5%	1.8%
Broadmoor	6,381	602	14.2%	2.3%	10.1%	2.4%	6.6%	2.3%	2.1%	1.7%
Bywater	3,325	573	25.7%	6.4%	10.6%	1.4%	1.3%	1.1%	1.9%	2.2%
Central Business District	2,997	562	38.3%	15.9%	13.0%	3.4%	1.9%	1.5%	0.0%	0.7%
Central City	14,526	1,398	20.1%	2.3%	11.3%	1.7%	2.9%	0.9%	1.8%	0.8%
City Park	2,710	351	18.7%	4.1%	10.5%	3.4%	6.1%	2.7%	3.5%	3.0%
Desire Dev & Neighborhood	2,444	502	17.1%	6.9%	6.8%	3.6%	3.0%	1.9%	0.6%	1.5%
Dillard	4,866	625	22.8%	7.2%	13.9%	3.2%	8.9%	2.8%	3.4%	1.7%
Dixon	1,654	520	21.9%	3.3%	5.9%	2.4%	2.6%	1.5%	1.6%	1.5%
East Carrollton	3,573	410	14.9%	4.2%	7.0%	3.0%	4.5%	1.8%	2.2%	2.1%
East Riverside	2,999	475	19.3%	3.9%	7.6%	2.0%	4.0%	2.4%	2.4%	1.8%
Fairgrounds	4,979	565	23.6%	4.7%	16.5%	3.8%	7.6%	3.2%	2.9%	1.5%
Filmore	5,649	1,230	19.3%	3.3%	9.2%	1.3%	3.8%	1.4%	1.9%	1.2%
Fischer Development	848	162	12.4%	7.6%	12.9%	5.6%	3.1%	5.6%	1.7%	3.2%
Florida Area	1,647	341	22.7%	6.9%	9.1%	3.4%	6.9%	3.8%	1.9%	1.8%
French Quarter	3,023	429	26.8%	6.2%	29.1%	7.1%	8.5%	2.7%	2.4%	1.9%
Freret	1,659	398	19.2%	8.3%	3.7%	2.1%	5.0%	4.9%	0.8%	1.5%

	Population		50-64 years old		65-74 years old		75-84 years old		85 years old and older	
	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE
Garden District	1,565	261	15.8%	4.8%	19.6%	7.1%	5.0%	3.1%	2.6%	1.8%
Gentilly Terrace	8,936	813	21.0%	2.4%	11.1%	1.7%	4.3%	1.2%	1.3%	0.7%
Gentilly Woods	4,038	849	12.4%	2.9%	9.1%	2.1%	2.3%	1.4%	1.4%	1.0%
Gert Town	5,333	636	13.4%	2.9%	3.8%	1.5%	1.8%	1.1%	0.6%	0.6%
Hollygrove	5,701	765	19.2%	2.8%	11.6%	4.4%	8.0%	3.2%	1.5%	0.9%
Holy Cross	2,437	354	18.7%	6.0%	16.6%	2.9%	5.6%	2.4%	1.7%	2.0%
Iberville Development	1,366	543	15.5%	8.2%	5.3%	3.9%	1.4%	2.3%	0.0%	1.4%
Irish Channel	3,065	602	13.8%	2.4%	10.0%	3.6%	2.2%	1.4%	0.5%	0.8%
Lake Catherine/ Village de l'Est	1,916	357	23.9%	6.3%	17.0%	3.0%	4.8%	2.1%	0.9%	0.8%
Lake Terrace & Oaks	2,258	583	16.5%	5.7%	10.9%	3.9%	4.1%	1.1%	3.2%	1.9%
Lakeshore/ Lake Vista	3,133	337	25.6%	3.7%	17.6%	3.7%	9.6%	4.5%	3.8%	3.1%
Lakeview	9,074	746	17.9%	2.7%	9.0%	1.6%	2.0%	0.9%	1.0%	0.5%
Lakewood	1,870	263	20.3%	4.0%	15.9%	4.1%	2.6%	1.7%	0.6%	0.6%
Leonidas	7,753	755	20.3%	3.8%	7.9%	1.8%	5.1%	1.6%	2.1%	1.3%
Little Woods	39,526	2,479	19.0%	1.7%	9.0%	1.0%	4.0%	0.7%	1.3%	0.7%
Lower Garden District	5,587	575	18.7%	3.7%	8.3%	2.2%	3.5%	1.6%	0.4%	0.7%
Lower Ninth Ward	4,630	876	21.9%	0.8%	9.7%	1.5%	2.4%	1.1%	1.0%	0.8%
Marigny	2,569	453	26.2%	3.5%	12.6%	3.1%	6.0%	1.9%	1.8%	1.5%
Marlyville/ Fontainebleau	6,313	563	18.4%	2.6%	12.0%	2.5%	4.4%	1.6%	1.6%	0.7%
McDonogh	2,115	381	25.0%	5.7%	7.7%	3.3%	3.8%	2.6%	2.6%	2.2%
Mid-City	12,579	789	16.7%	2.6%	6.4%	1.4%	3.2%	1.1%	1.2%	0.8%
Milan	6,294	684	19.7%	3.6%	7.9%	2.3%	3.8%	1.3%	0.7%	0.7%
Milneburg	4,783	637	19.3%	2.5%	8.4%	1.5%	3.3%	1.1%	1.9%	1.7%
Navarre	2,484	283	14.1%	4.1%	12.2%	5.1%	3.9%	1.5%	0.3%	0.7%
New Aurora/ English Turn	4,596	732	26.3%	2.9%	10.7%	2.1%	3.6%	1.9%	0.9%	0.8%
Old Aurora	14,058	1,241	21.4%	2.4%	11.1%	1.8%	4.8%	1.1%	2.4%	0.9%
Pines Village	4,107	1,045	15.4%	2.4%	8.2%	5.9%	3.5%	1.4%	0.6%	0.6%
Plum Orchard	6,174	1,479	14.1%	1.6%	8.6%	2.3%	2.7%	1.2%	2.4%	1.2%
Pontchartrain Park	1,555	455	15.2%	4.3%	10.8%	4.2%	4.1%	1.9%	3.0%	1.5%
Read Blvd East	9,084	1,062	23.8%	3.2%	11.5%	2.2%	4.5%	2.0%	1.4%	0.8%
Read Blvd West	6,059	1,109	15.8%	2.6%	6.4%	1.2%	2.3%	0.9%	0.9%	0.6%
Seventh Ward	10,657	835	18.4%	2.6%	8.6%	2.0%	5.7%	1.3%	3.3%	1.4%
St. Anthony	4,488	502	16.3%	3.2%	8.4%	2.1%	4.8%	2.5%	0.2%	0.6%

	Population		50-64 years old		65-74 years old		75-84 years old		85 years old and older	
	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE	2018-2022	MOE
St. Bernard Area	2,790	458	22.2%	9.4%	10.1%	6.1%	4.6%	2.1%	2.0%	1.2%
St. Claude	6,945	724	23.2%	2.5%	8.4%	1.7%	4.7%	2.4%	1.5%	0.8%
St. Roch	7,370	761	16.8%	3.6%	9.7%	1.5%	2.7%	1.0%	1.3%	0.6%
St. Thomas Development	2,854	525	8.4%	3.3%	12.3%	3.5%	2.3%	2.5%	0.4%	1.1%
Tall Timbers/ Brechtel	12,616	1,199	17.5%	2.2%	10.7%	2.1%	5.4%	1.6%	2.2%	1.0%
Touro	2,850	384	18.5%	4.7%	15.6%	4.8%	5.3%	2.6%	2.0%	2.0%
Treme'/ Lafitte	5,299	501	14.0%	3.0%	9.8%	2.3%	4.8%	1.8%	1.8%	1.3%
Tulane/ Gravier	3,926	643	25.3%	9.9%	5.4%	3.1%	1.5%	1.2%	0.2%	0.7%
U.S. Naval Support Area	1,611	235	19.3%	5.1%	11.5%	3.7%	5.0%	2.3%	2.0%	2.1%
Uptown	6,156	668	21.2%	4.8%	10.7%	2.3%	3.3%	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Viavant/ Venetian Isles	814	194	11.2%	7.6%	11.5%	6.2%	13.3%	10.8%	5.7%	3.9%
Village de l'Est	8,350	908	19.7%	2.8%	11.2%	2.0%	3.6%	1.1%	1.8%	0.5%
West End	3,723	374	15.2%	3.4%	7.9%	2.3%	7.5%	2.9%	1.5%	1.4%
West Lake Forest	5,105	726	12.7%	2.7%	11.0%	2.8%	4.8%	1.3%	0.8%	0.6%
West Riverside	4,476	426	19.0%	3.7%	10.1%	3.3%	4.6%	2.0%	4.0%	2.0%
Whitney	2,403	631	21.5%	0.8%	4.2%	1.5%	1.7%	1.6%	0.0%	0.8%
New Orleans	380,408	0	18.8%	0.4%	10.0%	0.3%	4.2%	0.2%	1.7%	0.1%
United States	331,097,593	0	19.2%	0.0%	9.7%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%

Appendix 2 - Stop Results by Race, Sex, and Age Category, 2024

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Citation	%	Verbal Warning	%	Physical Arrest	%
Black	Male	≤12	134	0.5%	3	2%	16	12%	2	1%
Black	Male	13-17	319	1.2%	27	8%	63	20%	72	23%
Black	Male	18-24	1,569	6.0%	683	44%	454	29%	165	11%
Black	Male	25-34	2,761	10.5%	1368	50%	843	31%	196	7%
Black	Male	35-64	4,291	16.4%	2121	49%	1449	34%	270	6%
Black	Male	65+	517	2.0%	243	47%	191	37%	16	3%
Black	Male	Unknown	29	0.1%	2	7%	2	7%	0	0%
Black	Female	≤12	119	0.5%	1	1%	5	4%	0	0%
Black	Female	13-17	120	0.5%	19	16%	24	20%	2	2%
Black	Female	18-24	1,030	3.9%	534	52%	271	26%	18	2%
Black	Female	25-34	2,281	8.7%	1351	59%	587	26%	46	2%
Black	Female	35-64	2,662	10.2%	1382	52%	910	34%	61	2%
Black	Female	65+	222	0.8%	79	36%	101	45%	2	1%
Black	Female	Unknown	25	0.1%	4	16%	0	0%	1	4%
Black	Unknown	≤12	12	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	13-17	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	18-24	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	25-34	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	35-64	1	0.0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Male	≤12	58	0.2%	2	3%	18	31%	0	0%
White	Male	13-17	109	0.4%	31	28%	53	49%	0	0%
White	Male	18-24	649	2.5%	303	47%	248	38%	20	3%
White	Male	25-34	1,305	5.0%	564	43%	541	41%	37	3%
White	Male	35-64	2,612	10.0%	1068	41%	1180	45%	64	2%
White	Male	65+	413	1.6%	152	37%	233	56%	2	0%
White	Male	Unknown	6	0.0%	0	0%	1	17%	1	17%
White	Female	≤12	50	0.2%	1	2%	15	30%	1	2%
White	Female	13-17	68	0.3%	3	4%	29	43%	1	1%
White	Female	18-24	388	1.5%	127	33%	175	45%	3	1%
White	Female	25-34	872	3.3%	333	38%	384	44%	13	1%
White	Female	35-64	1,453	5.5%	501	34%	724	50%	26	2%
White	Female	65+	203	0.8%	42	21%	132	65%	3	1%
White	Female	Unknown	5	0.0%	2	40%	3	60%	0	0%
White	Unknown	≤12	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	18-24	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Citation	%	Verbal Warning	%	Physical Arrest	%
White	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Male	≤12	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	13-17	3	0.0%	1	33%	1	33%	0	0%
Asian	Male	18-24	11	0.0%	1	9%	10	91%	0	0%
Asian	Male	25-34	28	0.1%	17	61%	9	32%	0	0%
Asian	Male	35-64	73	0.3%	31	42%	40	55%	2	3%
Asian	Male	65+	14	0.1%	6	43%	7	50%	0	0%
Asian	Male	Unknown	1	0.0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%
Asian	Female	≤12	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	13-17	3	0.0%	1	33%	1	33%	0	0%
Asian	Female	18-24	10	0.0%	6	60%	3	30%	0	0%
Asian	Female	25-34	17	0.1%	3	18%	11	65%	0	0%
Asian	Female	35-64	48	0.2%	23	48%	22	46%	0	0%
Asian	Female	65+	2	0.0%	1	50%	1	50%	0	0%
Asian	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	25-34	7	0.0%	3	43%	3	43%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	35-64	18	0.1%	8	44%	8	44%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	25-34	1	0.0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	35-64	4	0.0%	1	25%	3	75%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	65+	2	0.0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Citation	%	Verbal Warning	%	Physical Arrest	%
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Male	≤12	1	0.0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	13-17	3	0.0%	2	67%	1	33%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	18-24	40	0.2%	32	80%	8	20%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	25-34	59	0.2%	51	86%	8	14%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	35-64	74	0.3%	55	74%	18	24%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	65+	1	0.0%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	≤12	3	0.0%	0	0%	2	67%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	18-24	10	0.0%	7	70%	3	30%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	25-34	22	0.1%	16	73%	5	23%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	35-64	25	0.1%	12	48%	13	52%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	65+	2	0.0%	0	0%	2	100%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Male	≤12	4	0.0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	13-17	26	0.1%	8	31%	6	23%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	18-24	174	0.7%	111	64%	41	24%	1	1%
Unknown	Male	25-34	358	1.4%	205	57%	90	25%	9	3%
Unknown	Male	35-64	487	1.9%	306	63%	128	26%	14	3%
Unknown	Male	65+	33	0.1%	20	61%	8	24%	1	3%
Unknown	Male	Unknown	3	0.0%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	≤12	7	0.0%	2	29%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	13-17	8	0.0%	2	25%	2	25%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	18-24	63	0.2%	37	59%	19	30%	1	2%
Unknown	Female	25-34	87	0.3%	54	62%	15	17%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	35-64	92	0.4%	49	53%	27	29%	1	1%
Unknown	Female	65+	7	0.0%	2	29%	2	29%	0	0%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Citation	%	Verbal Warning	%	Physical Arrest	%
Unknown	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Unknown	≤12	40	0.2%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	13-17	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	18-24	20	0.1%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	25-34	10	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	35-64	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	65+	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	4	0.0%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%

*Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander

Stop Results by Race, Sex, and Age Category continued

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	No Action Taken	%	Summons Issued	%	L.E.A.D.	%
Black	Male	≤12	134	0.5%	114	85%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Male	13-17	319	1.2%	157	49%	1	0%	0	0%
Black	Male	18-24	1,569	6.0%	277	18%	15	1%	0	0%
Black	Male	25-34	2,761	10.5%	363	13%	61	2%	1	0%
Black	Male	35-64	4,291	16.4%	454	11%	118	3%	0	0%
Black	Male	65+	517	2.0%	64	12%	12	2%	0	0%
Black	Male	Unknown	29	0.1%	25	86%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	≤12	119	0.5%	113	95%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	13-17	120	0.5%	76	63%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	18-24	1,030	3.9%	205	20%	10	1%	1	0%
Black	Female	25-34	2,281	8.7%	300	13%	38	2%	0	0%
Black	Female	35-64	2,662	10.2%	324	12%	34	1%	0	0%
Black	Female	65+	222	0.8%	41	18%	2	1%	0	0%
Black	Female	Unknown	25	0.1%	20	80%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	≤12	12	0.0%	12	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	13-17	2	0.0%	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	18-24	4	0.0%	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	25-34	1	0.0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	35-64	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Male	≤12	58	0.2%	38	66%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	13-17	109	0.4%	23	21%	2	2%	0	0%
White	Male	18-24	649	2.5%	75	12%	13	2%	0	0%
White	Male	25-34	1,305	5.0%	169	13%	22	2%	1	0%
White	Male	35-64	2,612	10.0%	274	10%	59	2%	0	0%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	No Action Taken	%	Summons Issued	%	L.E.A.D.	%
White	Male	65+	413	1.6%	25	6%	4	1%	0	0%
White	Male	Unknown	6	0.0%	4	67%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	≤12	50	0.2%	34	68%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	13-17	68	0.3%	35	51%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	18-24	388	1.5%	83	21%	4	1%	0	0%
White	Female	25-34	872	3.3%	145	17%	7	1%	1	0%
White	Female	35-64	1,453	5.5%	196	13%	23	2%	0	0%
White	Female	65+	203	0.8%	32	16%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	Unknown	5	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Unknown	≤12	4	0.0%	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	18-24	1	0.0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Male	≤12	1	0.0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	13-17	3	0.0%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	18-24	11	0.0%	1	9%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	25-34	28	0.1%	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	35-64	73	0.3%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%
Asian	Male	65+	14	0.1%	1	7%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	Unknown	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	≤12	1	0.0%	1	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	13-17	3	0.0%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	18-24	10	0.0%	1	10%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	25-34	17	0.1%	3	18%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	35-64	48	0.2%	3	6%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	65+	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	No Action Taken	%	Summons Issued	%	L.E.A.D.	%
Amer. Ind.	Male	25-34	7	0.0%	1	14%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	35-64	18	0.1%	3	17%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	25-34	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	35-64	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	65+	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Male	≤12	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	13-17	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	18-24	40	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	25-34	59	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	35-64	74	0.3%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%
NHPI*	Male	65+	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	≤12	3	0.0%	1	33%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	18-24	10	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	25-34	22	0.1%	1	5%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	35-64	25	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	65+	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Male	≤12	4	0.0%	3	75%	0	0%	0	0%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	No Action Taken	%	Summons Issued	%	L.E.A.D.	%
Unknown	Male	13-17	26	0.1%	12	46%	1	4%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	18-24	174	0.7%	20	11%	2	1%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	25-34	358	1.4%	55	15%	5	1%	1	0%
Unknown	Male	35-64	487	1.9%	42	9%	5	1%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	65+	33	0.1%	4	12%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	Unknown	3	0.0%	2	67%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	≤12	7	0.0%	5	71%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	13-17	8	0.0%	4	50%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	18-24	63	0.2%	8	13%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	25-34	87	0.3%	18	21%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	35-64	92	0.4%	12	13%	3	3%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	65+	7	0.0%	3	43%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Unknown	≤12	40	0.2%	40	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	13-17	2	0.0%	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	18-24	20	0.1%	19	95%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	25-34	10	0.0%	10	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	35-64	3	0.0%	3	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	65+	4	0.0%	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	4	0.0%	3	75%	0	0%	0	0%

*Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander

Appendix 3 – Search Types by Race, Sex, and Age, 2024

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Pat Down	%	Asked To Consent Search	%	Person Search	%
Black	Male	≤12	134	0.5%	3	2%	0	0%	2	1%
Black	Male	13-17	319	1.2%	82	26%	0	0%	77	24%
Black	Male	18-24	1,569	6.0%	159	10%	0	0%	183	12%
Black	Male	25-34	2,761	10.5%	142	5%	1	0%	227	8%
Black	Male	35-64	4,291	16.4%	132	3%	2	0%	309	7%
Black	Male	65+	517	2.0%	13	3%	0	0%	19	4%
Black	Male	Unknown	29	0.1%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	≤12	119	0.5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	13-17	120	0.5%	1	1%	0	0%	2	2%
Black	Female	18-24	1,030	3.9%	6	1%	0	0%	21	2%
Black	Female	25-34	2,281	8.7%	12	1%	2	0%	45	2%
Black	Female	35-64	2,662	10.2%	14	1%	1	0%	58	2%
Black	Female	65+	222	0.8%	1	0%	0	0%	2	1%
Black	Female	Unknown	25	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	4%
Black	Unknown	≤12	12	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	13-17	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	18-24	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	25-34	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	35-64	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Male	≤12	58	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	13-17	109	0.4%	5	5%	0	0%	2	2%
White	Male	18-24	649	2.5%	12	2%	0	0%	24	4%
White	Male	25-34	1,305	5.0%	25	2%	0	0%	48	4%
White	Male	35-64	2,612	10.0%	35	1%	2	0%	98	4%
White	Male	65+	413	1.6%	2	0%	0	0%	2	0%
White	Male	Unknown	6	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%
White	Female	≤12	50	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	13-17	68	0.3%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%
White	Female	18-24	388	1.5%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
White	Female	25-34	872	3.3%	3	0%	0	0%	17	2%
White	Female	35-64	1,453	5.5%	3	0%	0	0%	31	2%
White	Female	65+	203	0.8%	0	0%	0	0%	2	1%
White	Female	Unknown	5	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Unknown	≤12	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	18-24	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Pat Down	%	Asked To Consent Search	%	Person Search	%
White	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Male	≤12	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	13-17	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	18-24	11	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	25-34	28	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	35-64	73	0.3%	2	3%	0	0%	2	3%
Asian	Male	65+	14	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	Unknown	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	≤12	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	13-17	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	18-24	10	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	25-34	17	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	35-64	48	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	65+	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	25-34	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	35-64	18	0.1%	1	6%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	25-34	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	35-64	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	65+	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Pat Down	%	Asked To Consent Search	%	Person Search	%
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Male	≤12	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	13-17	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	18-24	40	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	25-34	59	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	35-64	74	0.3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	65+	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	≤12	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	18-24	10	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	25-34	22	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	35-64	25	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	65+	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Male	≤12	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	13-17	26	0.1%	1	4%	0	0%	1	4%
Unknown	Male	18-24	174	0.7%	2	1%	0	0%	1	1%
Unknown	Male	25-34	358	1.4%	3	1%	0	0%	10	3%
Unknown	Male	35-64	487	1.9%	6	1%	0	0%	12	2%
Unknown	Male	65+	33	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	Unknown	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	≤12	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	13-17	8	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	18-24	63	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%
Unknown	Female	25-34	87	0.3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	35-64	92	0.4%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%
Unknown	Female	65+	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Pat Down	%	Asked To Consent Search	%	Person Search	%
Unknown	Unknown	≤12	40	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	13-17	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	18-24	20	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	25-34	10	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	35-64	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	65+	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

*Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander

Search Types by Race, Sex, and Age Category continued

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis Consent	%	Search Basis Warrant	%	Search Basis Inventory	%
Black	Male	≤12	134	0.5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Male	13-17	319	1.2%	0	0%	5	2%	0	0%
Black	Male	18-24	1,569	6.0%	2	0%	9	1%	1	0%
Black	Male	25-34	2,761	10.5%	2	0%	20	1%	0	0%
Black	Male	35-64	4,291	16.4%	2	0%	23	1%	1	0%
Black	Male	65+	517	2.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Male	Unknown	29	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	≤12	119	0.5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	13-17	120	0.5%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%
Black	Female	18-24	1,030	3.9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	25-34	2,281	8.7%	2	0%	6	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	35-64	2,662	10.2%	0	0%	3	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	65+	222	0.8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	Unknown	25	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	≤12	12	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	13-17	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	18-24	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	25-34	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	35-64	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Male	≤12	58	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	13-17	109	0.4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	18-24	649	2.5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	25-34	1,305	5.0%	2	0%	1	0%	0	0%
White	Male	35-64	2,612	10.0%	4	0%	7	0%	0	0%
White	Male	65+	413	1.6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis Consent	%	Search Basis Warrant	%	Search Basis Inventory	%
White	Male	Unknown	6	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	≤12	50	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	13-17	68	0.3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	18-24	388	1.5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	25-34	872	3.3%	0	0%	1	0%	0	0%
White	Female	35-64	1,453	5.5%	0	0%	2	0%	0	0%
White	Female	65+	203	0.8%	1	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	Unknown	5	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Unknown	≤12	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	18-24	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Male	≤12	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	13-17	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	18-24	11	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	25-34	28	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	35-64	73	0.3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	65+	14	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	Unknown	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	≤12	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	13-17	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	18-24	10	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	25-34	17	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	35-64	48	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	65+	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	25-34	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis Consent	%	Search Basis Warrant	%	Search Basis Inventory	%
Amer. Ind.	Male	35-64	18	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	25-34	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	35-64	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	65+	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Male	≤12	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	13-17	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	18-24	40	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	25-34	59	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	35-64	74	0.3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	65+	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	≤12	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	18-24	10	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	25-34	22	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	35-64	25	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	65+	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Male	≤12	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	13-17	26	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis Consent	%	Search Basis Warrant	%	Search Basis Inventory	%
Unknown	Male	18-24	174	0.7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	25-34	358	1.4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	35-64	487	1.9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	65+	33	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	Unknown	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	≤12	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	13-17	8	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	18-24	63	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	25-34	87	0.3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	35-64	92	0.4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	65+	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Unknown	≤12	40	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	13-17	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	18-24	20	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	25-34	10	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	35-64	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	65+	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

*Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander

Search Types by Race, Sex, and Age Category continued

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis ITA	%	Search Basis Plain View	%	Search Basis Exig Cir	%
Black	Male	≤12	134	0.5%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Male	13-17	319	1.2%	70	22%	2	1%	6	2%
Black	Male	18-24	1,569	6.0%	168	11%	15	1%	8	1%
Black	Male	25-34	2,761	10.5%	214	8%	9	0%	3	0%
Black	Male	35-64	4,291	16.4%	294	7%	9	0%	6	0%
Black	Male	65+	517	2.0%	19	4%	0	0%	1	0%
Black	Male	Unknown	29	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	≤12	119	0.5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	13-17	120	0.5%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	18-24	1,030	3.9%	20	2%	0	0%	1	0%
Black	Female	25-34	2,281	8.7%	39	2%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	35-64	2,662	10.2%	55	2%	0	0%	1	0%
Black	Female	65+	222	0.8%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	Unknown	25	0.1%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	≤12	12	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis ITA	%	Search Basis Plain View	%	Search Basis Exig Cir	%
Black	Unknown	13-17	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	18-24	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	25-34	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	35-64	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Male	≤12	58	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	13-17	109	0.4%	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	18-24	649	2.5%	24	4%	1	0%	0	0%
White	Male	25-34	1,305	5.0%	43	3%	1	0%	2	0%
White	Male	35-64	2,612	10.0%	86	3%	2	0%	4	0%
White	Male	65+	413	1.6%	2	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	Unknown	6	0.0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	≤12	50	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	13-17	68	0.3%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	18-24	388	1.5%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	25-34	872	3.3%	16	2%	1	0%	1	0%
White	Female	35-64	1,453	5.5%	30	2%	1	0%	0	0%
White	Female	65+	203	0.8%	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	Unknown	5	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Unknown	≤12	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	18-24	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Male	≤12	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	13-17	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	18-24	11	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	25-34	28	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	35-64	73	0.3%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	65+	14	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	Unknown	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	≤12	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	13-17	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	18-24	10	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	25-34	17	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	35-64	48	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	65+	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis ITA	%	Search Basis Plain View	%	Search Basis Exig Cir	%
Asian	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	25-34	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	35-64	18	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	25-34	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	35-64	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	65+	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Male	≤12	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	13-17	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	18-24	40	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	25-34	59	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	35-64	74	0.3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	65+	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	≤12	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	18-24	10	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	25-34	22	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis ITA	%	Search Basis Plain View	%	Search Basis Exig Cir	%
NHPI*	Female	35-64	25	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	65+	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Male	≤12	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	13-17	26	0.1%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	18-24	174	0.7%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	25-34	358	1.4%	10	3%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	35-64	487	1.9%	12	2%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	65+	33	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	Unknown	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	≤12	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	13-17	8	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	18-24	63	0.2%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	25-34	87	0.3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	35-64	92	0.4%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	65+	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Unknown	≤12	40	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	13-17	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	18-24	20	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	25-34	10	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	35-64	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	65+	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%

*Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander

Search Types by Race, Sex, and Age Category continued

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis Plain Smell	%	Strip Cavity Requested	%
Black	Male	≤12	134	0.5%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Male	13-17	319	1.2%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Male	18-24	1,569	6.0%	5	0%	0	0%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis Plain Smell	%	Strip Cavity Requested	%
Black	Male	25-34	2,761	10.5%	0	0%	3	0%
Black	Male	35-64	4,291	16.4%	3	0%	0	0%
Black	Male	65+	517	2.0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Male	Unknown	29	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	≤12	119	0.5%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	13-17	120	0.5%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	18-24	1,030	3.9%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	25-34	2,281	8.7%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	35-64	2,662	10.2%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	65+	222	0.8%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Female	Unknown	25	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	≤12	12	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	13-17	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	18-24	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	25-34	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	35-64	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
Black	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
Black	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
White	Male	≤12	58	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	13-17	109	0.4%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	18-24	649	2.5%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	25-34	1,305	5.0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	35-64	2,612	10.0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	65+	413	1.6%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Male	Unknown	6	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	≤12	50	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	13-17	68	0.3%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	18-24	388	1.5%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	25-34	872	3.3%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	35-64	1,453	5.5%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	65+	203	0.8%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Female	Unknown	5	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Unknown	≤12	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	18-24	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
White	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
White	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
Asian	Male	≤12	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis Plain Smell	%	Strip Cavity Requested	%
Asian	Male	13-17	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	18-24	11	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	25-34	28	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	35-64	73	0.3%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	65+	14	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Male	Unknown	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	≤12	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	13-17	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	18-24	10	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	25-34	17	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	35-64	48	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	65+	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
Asian	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
Asian	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	25-34	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	35-64	18	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Male	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Female	25-34	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	35-64	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	65+	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
Amer. Ind.	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis Plain Smell	%	Strip Cavity Requested	%
Amer. Ind.	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Male	≤12	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	13-17	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	18-24	40	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	25-34	59	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	35-64	74	0.3%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	65+	1	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Male	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	≤12	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Female	18-24	10	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	25-34	22	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	35-64	25	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	65+	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
NHPI*	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	≤12	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	13-17	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	18-24	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	25-34	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	35-64	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	65+	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
NHPI*	Unknown	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Male	≤12	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	13-17	26	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	18-24	174	0.7%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	25-34	358	1.4%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	35-64	487	1.9%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	65+	33	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Male	Unknown	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	≤12	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	13-17	8	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	18-24	63	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	25-34	87	0.3%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	35-64	92	0.4%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	65+	7	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Female	Unknown	0	0.0%	0	-	0	-
Unknown	Unknown	≤12	40	0.2%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	13-17	2	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	18-24	20	0.1%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	25-34	10	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%

Subject Race	Subject Sex	Subject Age Category	#	%	Search Basis Plain Smell	%	Strip Cavity Requested	%
Unknown	Unknown	35-64	3	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	65+	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%
Unknown	Unknown	Unknown	4	0.0%	0	0%	0	0%

*Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander