



Auditing and Review Section
Professional Standards and Accountability Bureau

Child Abuse Section Audit (SVD) September 2025 Report (Final)

Report #CA032025

Sample Period: December 1, 2024 – June 30, 2025

Draft submitted by PSAB: October 20th, 2025

Response by SVD Child Abuse: November 7th, 2025

Final Report: November 7th, 2025

Audit Team

This audit was managed and conducted by the Audit and Review Section, of the Professional Standards and Accountability Bureau.

Executive Summary

The Audit and Review Section (ARS) of the Professional Standards and Accountability Bureau conducted a Child Abuse Section Checklist Audit in September of 2025. The Child Abuse checklist audits ensure that the Child Abuse Section is operating in compliance with the most updated New Orleans Police Department (NOPD) policies covering Chapters 42.19 regarding “Child Abuse”. The Consent Decree mandates Child Abuse detectives training in Child Abuse-specific matters. As a result, detectives will be able to respond timely to the investigations of Child Abuse incidents, review all evidence and statements, and conduct effective victim-oriented case follow-ups as needed. The audit also focuses on ensuring that each detective works actively to engage the victims and provides guidance on how to get counseling, assistance, and support from available social services.

The September 2025 audit was completed utilizing the most recent Child Abuse Audit Protocol at the time of the audit. This audit consists of thirty-one (**31**) questions and additional follow-up requests, which cover paragraphs 196-213 of the Consent Decree (CD). Based on the combined total of “two thousand three hundred and eighty-seven” (**2,387**) checklist items rated from the sample size of seventy-seven (**77**) case files audited, the “**overall score**” of this Child Abuse Section case file audit conducted by the Audit and Review Section was **99.6%**.

Supervisors should address deficiencies with specific training through specific In-service Training classes or Daily Training Bulletins (DTBs). Such training should be reinforced by close and efficient supervision in addition to Supervisor Feedback Logs entries.

Of the thirty-one (**31**) questions, thirty-one (**28**) scored as compliant.

The following questions were scored below 100%:

Question 15 - Is there a medical, forensic, CAC and/or SANE report in the Case File? - Score **91%**

Question 20 – Were there crime scene photos taken when evidence could be captured/recorded, as appropriate? - Score **93%**

Question 23 – If evidence was not submitted for testing, was the reason documented? Score **67%**

More detailed results are embedded in the Scorecards and Conclusion sections.

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Audit Team	1
<i>Executive Summary</i>	2
<i>Introduction</i>	4
Purpose	4
Scope	4
Methodology	4
Data	4
<i>Initiating and Conducting the Child Abuse Audit</i>	5
<i>Child Abuse Graph</i>	6
<i>Child Abuse Scorecard</i>	7
<i>Case File Reviews</i>	8
<i>Conclusion</i>	11
Results	11
Recommendations	11
<i>Appendix A – Report Distribution</i>	13
Attachments:	Error! Bookmark not defined.

Introduction

The Audit and Review Section of the Professional Standards and Accountability Bureau audited the Child Abuse Section investigation case files, covering the period of December 2024 to June 2025; the audit occurred from September 29, 2025 – October 6, 2025, and was a double-blind review.

Purpose

The purpose of the Child Abuse Section case file audit was to verify Department compliance with the Consent Decree and NOPD Operations Manual, Chapter 42.19, “Child Abuse” and Chapter 42.2 “Sexual Assault” investigations.

Scope

This audit will determine and document whether investigators and supervisors of the New Orleans Police Department Child Abuse Section provided an accurate and thorough response in conducting follow-up investigations. The auditors are responsible for verifying that each response is proactive, victim-centered, and professional. Upon completion, the audit manager will submit a report to the Captains of the Child Abuse Section and the Professional Standards and Accountability Bureau (PSAB), pointing out any deficiencies or confirming that thorough investigations occurred. The submission of audit reports will assist in maintaining accurate and complete Child Abuse Section investigations in the future. Members of the Office of the Consent Decree Monitor will receive the final Child Abuse audit report.

Methodology

Population – All Child Abuse Section case files (516) for the period to audit.

Sample size – Seventy-seven (77) case files were selected via EXCEL’s “RAND” function from the five hundred and sixteen (516) cases taken in for December 2024 through June 2025.

Documentation to be reviewed – All documents and investigative material contained within each case file.

Testing Instrument(s) – New Orleans Police Department Operations Manual Chapter 42.19, “Child Abuse Investigations” (Effective: 1/7/2018), and a thirty-one (31) point Child Abuse Audit Checklist.

Each case file will be audited in its entirety via the “Double-blind Review” auditing process.

Data

The audit range is currently bi-annual, occurring every six months. The September 2025 audit included data from December 2024 through June 2025. The Child Abuse Section, upon request, will provide to the Innovation Manager of the Audit and Review Section (ARS) or the Captain within PSAB any item numbers that occurred during the audit range period. The ARS will then use Excel (Rand Function) to randomize cases to select for review. The ARS will then review at least 15% of those cases within the audit range.

Initiating and Conducting the Child Abuse Audit

An auditor of the Professional Standards and Accountability Bureau (PSAB) contacted the Sergeant of the SVD – Child Abuse Section, on September 12, 2025, to notify him of a scheduled Child Abuse Section case file audit that would be initiated by the Professional Standards and Accountability Bureau (PSAB) Audit and Review Section (ARS), during the week of September 29, 2025.

The notice sent to the Sergeant included the request for the Child Abuse Section tracker. This tracker comprises a complete list of the incident files investigated by the Section for the date range of December 1, 2024, to June 30, 2025. The returned tracker from the Sergeant included a list of five hundred and sixteen case files. The list was randomized using the EXEL “RAND” function, and the top 15% of the case files were requested from the Sergeant to conduct the audit. This request included a total of seventy-seven (77) case files.

Each case file was systematically reviewed via “Double-Blind” audit process by auditors of the Audit and Review Section, based on each file’s compliance with the New Orleans Police Department Operations Manual Chapter 42.19 “Child Abuse” investigations. To facilitate this process, the auditors used the thirty-one (31) point Child Abuse Audit checklist as a gauge to review and analyze the content of every case file.

The list of Auditors who conducted each “double-blind” case file audit, as well as the associated case files are as follows:

Auditing Team 1 (16 case files)

Auditing Team 2 (16 case files)

Auditing Team 3 (15 case files)

Auditing Team 4 (15 case files)

Auditing Team 5 (15 case files)

Total: 77 Case Files (Double-blind)

Child Abuse Graph



Child Abuse Scorecard

Child Abuse Check-List Scorecard - (Double-Blind)

ARU percentages for Consent Decree requirements for child abuse check-list audit.

Audit Period: Sep, 2025

Review Period: December 2024 - June 2025

Check-List Questions	Score	Y	N	U	NA	NA Explanations	In Audit	CD
1 If there Is BWC video applicable to this case, is it documented	100%	61	0		16	Of the 16 N/A Scoring: (12) No BWC exists (4) Case referred	196, 212	
2 Was there an on-scene response by an Investigator	100%	35	0		42	Of the 42 N/A Scoring: (15) Crime reporting delayed (11) Case referred by DCFS (9) Victim relocated from the scene (4) Victim relocated from scene (2) Victim unknown (1) Incident occurred out of parish	195, 213	
3 Is there an Incident Report in the case file	99%	76	1		0	None	196, 198, 213	
4 Is there a MORF in the case file	100%	77	0		0	None	196, 198, 212	
5 Is there an Investigators Supplemental Report	100%	77	0		0	None	196, 197, 198, 213	
6 Is there a victim statement (video, audio, or transcribed)	100%	44	0		33	Of the 33 N/A scoring: (14) Forensic interview not yet conducted (4) Victim not reached or located (4) Victim refused to cooperate (4) victim unknown (2) No crime determined (1) Incident occurred out of parish (1) Victim hospitalized (1) Victim too young to provide a statement (1) Case handled by outside agency	196, 197, 213	
7 Is there evidence of attention to the victim's needs	100%	56	0		21	Of the 21 N/A Scoring: (11) Victim not interviewed (6) Victim not located (3) Incident occurred out of parish (1) Victim incarcerated	197, 213	
8 Did the initial responding officer record an Exigent Statement	100%	18	0		59	(59) No exigent statement necessary	196, 213	
9 Was there a CAC interview after the initial on-scene investigation	100%	20	0		57	Of the 57 N/A Scoring: (31) No CAC scheduled (9) Refusal by parent/guardian (6) Victim not known or located (5) CAC results	213	
10 Are there documented witness (video, audio, or transcribed) statements	100%	41	0		36	Of the 36 N/A Scoring: (33) No known witness (3) Witness departed the scene	196, 198, 213	
11 Is there a communications log	100%	77	0		0	None	196, 213	
12 Is there a 911 recording available	100%	65	0		12	(12) No 911 call made	196, 213	
13 Is there documentation of CastNet usage (criminal history check)	97%	64	2		11	Of the 11 N/A Scoring: (4) Insufficient subject information (4) Subject unknown (2) Subject is a minor (1) Incident occurred out of parish	198	
14 If there is evidence of a drug-facilitated sexual assault with force	-	0	0		77	(77) No drug-facilitated sexual assault involved/reported.	208, 213	
15 Is there a medical, forensic CAC and/or SANE report	91%	20	2		55	Of the 55 N/A Scoring: (18) CAC cancelled or not scheduled (11) No crime determined (4) Victim not located (4) Victim refused medical services (5) CAC results pending (5) No injury reported (3) Incident occurred out of parish (2) Victim unknown (1) Crime reporting delayed	199	
16 Is there a documented referral to outside resources	100%	46	0		31	Of the 31 N/A Scoring: (12) No crime determined (6) Victim/parent not reached or located (5) Victim uncooperative (3) Incident occurred out of parish (3) victim unknown (1) Case referred by outside agency	213, 216	
17 Is there documentation of a CODIS hit notification in the file	-	0	0		77	Of the 77 N/A Scoring: (66) No CODIS hit for the suspect (10) No crime occurred (1) Incident occurred out of parish	196, 198, 199, 213	
18 Is there arrest or search warrant documentation	100%	16	0		61	(61) No search or arrest warrant application submitted.	196	
19 Is there a suspect statement (video, audio, or transcribed)	100%	31	0		46	Of the 46 N/A Scoring: (14) Case is ongoing/active (9) No crime determined (5) Cleared by arrest (2) Incident occurred out of parish (2) Subject not located (1) Case cleared by exception, (1) Case handled by outside agency	196, 198	
20 Are there crime scene photos?	93%	13	1		63	Of the 63 N/A Scoring: (57) No scene present for photos (2) Crime reporting delayed (2) incident occurred out of parish (2) No	196, 198, 213	
21 Is evidence collection documented in a report	100%	63	0		14	(14) No applicable evidence collected.	196, 198, 199, 209	
22 Were the evidence and property receipts included in Case file	100%	72	0		5	(5) No applicable evidence collected.	196, 198, 213	
23 If evidence was not submitted for testing, was the reason documented	67%	2	1		74	(74) No evidence collected required lab submission.	196, 198, 209	
24 Are there crime lab reports	-	0	0		77	(77) No evidence submitted to the crime lab.	196, 213	
25 Is there documentation of a search of surveillance video?	100%	12	0		65	(65) No surveillance video relevant to the case.	196, 198, 213	
26 Is there documented evidence of a witness canvas?	100%	30	0		47	Of the 47 N/A Scoring: (33) No witness located (10) No crime determined (2) Incident occurred out of parish (1) cleared by arrest (1) Case handled by outside agency	196, 198, 213	
27 Are there composite sketches relative to the case?	-	0	0		77	(77) No relative sketches composed.	196, 198, 213	
28 Did the Detective complete the Case File Index as needed	100%	77	0		0	None	196, 213	
29 Was the incident appropriately classified?	100%	77	0		0	None	195, 213	
30 Was there documented authorization for a Signal change if required	100%	2	0		75	(75) No signal change occurred.	206, 213	
31 Is there documented supervisory review of reports and dispositions	99%	76	1		0	None	201, 213	
Overall Score	99%	1248	8	0	1131			

Case File Reviews

The listed information below reveals the outcome of the Audit Team's checklist reviews. **Please note that the NA explanations are detailed in the Child Abuse scorecard above.**

1. **Is there BWC video applicable to this case?** The overall score for this category was **100%**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 61 were audited as positive, none were negative and 16 were N/A (not applicable).
2. **Was there an on-scene response by Child Abuse?** The overall score for this category was **100%**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 35 were audited as positive, none were negative and 42 were N/A (not applicable).
3. **Is there an Incident Report in the case file?** The overall score for this category was **100%**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 76 were audited as positive, 1 was negative, and none were N/A (not applicable).
4. **Is there a MORF in the case file?** The overall score for this category was **100%**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 77 were audited as positive, none were negative, and none were N/A (not applicable).
5. **Is there a Supplemental Report?** The overall score for this category was **100%**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 77 were audited as positive, none were negative, and none were N/A (not applicable).
6. **Is there a victim statement (video, audio, or transcribed)?** The overall score for this category was **100%**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 44 were audited as positive, none were negative, and 33 were N/A (not applicable).
7. **Is there evidence of attention to the victim's needs (i.e., Did the investigator demonstrate empathy, safety & medical needs of the victim, etc.)?** The overall score for this category was **100%**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 56 were audited as positive, none were negative, and 21 were N/A (not applicable).
8. **Did the initial responding officer record an Exigent Statement to determine that a crime occurred, if necessary?** The overall score for this category was **100%**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 18 were audited as positive, none were negative, and 59 were N/A (not applicable).
9. **Was there a CAC Interview after the initial on-scene investigation?** The overall score for this category was **100%**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 20 were audited as positive, none were negative, and 57 were N/A (not applicable).

10. **Are there documented witness statements (video, audio, or transcribed)?** The overall score for this category was **100%**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 41 were audited as positive, none were negative, and 36 were N/A (not applicable).
11. **Is there a communications log?** The overall score for this category was **98%**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 77 were audited as positive, none were negative, and none were N/A (not applicable).
12. **Is there a 911 recording available?** The overall score for this category was **100%**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 65 were audited as positive, none were negative, and 12 were N/A (not applicable).
13. **Is there documentation of CastNet usage (criminal history check)?** The overall score for this category was **97%**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 64 were audited as positive, 2 were negative, and 11 were N/A (not applicable).
14. **If there is evidence of a drug-facilitated sexual assault with follow up according to policy?** The overall score for this category was **No Score**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, none were audited as positive, none were negative, and 77 were N/A (not applicable).
15. **Is there a medical, forensic, CAC and/or SANE report in the Case File?** The overall score for this category was **91%**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 20 were audited as positive, 2 were negative, and 55 were N/A (not applicable).
16. **Is there a documented referral to outside resources (i.e., NOFJC, CAC, DCFS, NOPD Social Workers)?** The overall score for this category was **100%**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 46 were audited as positive, none were negative and 31 were N/A (not applicable).
17. **Is there documentation of a CODIS hit notification in the file?** The overall score for this category was **No Score**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, none were audited as positive, none were negative, and 77 were N/A (not applicable).
18. **Is there arrest or search warrant documentation?** The overall score for this category was **100%**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 16 were audited as positive, none were negative, and 61 were N/A (not applicable).
19. **Is there a suspect statement (video, audio, or transcribed)?** The overall score for this category was **100%**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 31 were audited as positive, none were negative, and 46 were N/A (not applicable).
20. **Were there crime scene photos taken when evidence could be captured/recorded, as appropriate?** The overall score for this category was **93%**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 13 were audited as positive, 1 was negative, and 63 were N/A (not applicable).

21. **Is evidence collection documented in a report?** The overall score for this category was **100%**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 63 were audited as positive, none were negative, and 14 were N/A (not applicable).
22. **Were the evidence & property receipts included within the Case File for submitted evidence?** The overall score for this category was **100%**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 72 were audited as positive, none were negative, and 5 were N/A (not applicable).
23. **If evidence was not submitted for testing, was the reason documented in a report?** The overall score for this category was **67%**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 2 were audited as positive, 1 was negative, and 74 were N/A (not applicable).
24. **Are there crime lab reports?** The overall score for this category was **No Score**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, none were audited as positive, none were negative, and 77 were N/A (not applicable).
25. **Is there documentation for a search for surveillance video?** The overall score for this category was **100%**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 12 were audited as positive, none were negative, and 65 were N/A (not applicable).
26. **Is there documented evidence of a witness canvas?** The overall score for this category was **100%**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 30 were audited as positive, none were negative, and 47 were N/A (not applicable).
27. **Are there composite sketches relative to the case?** The overall score for this category was **No Score**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, none were audited as positive, none were negative, and 77 were N/A (not applicable).
28. **Did the Detective complete (initial and date) the Case File Index as items were entered in the Case File?** The overall score for this category was **100%**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 77 were audited as positive, none were negative, and none were N/A (not applicable).
29. **Was the incident appropriately classified?** The overall score for this category was **100%**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 77 were audited as positive, none were negative, and none were N/A (not applicable).
30. **Was there documented authorization for a signal change if required?** The overall score for this category was **100%**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 2 were audited as positive, none were negative, and 75 were N/A (not applicable).
31. **Is there documented supervisory review of reports and dispositions?** The overall score for this category was **99%**. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 76 were audited as positive, 1 was negative, and none were N/A (not applicable).

Conclusion

Based on the combined total of two thousand three hundred and eighty-seven (**2,387**) checklist items rated, from the sample of seventy-seven (**77**) case files audited, the “Overall Score” of this September 2025 Child Abuse Section case file audit conducted by the Auditing and Review Section was **99%**.

Results

The overall results of the September 2025 Child Abuse audit revealed compliance threshold scores of **above 95%** in most areas. The following checklist items revealed threshold scores **below 95%**:

Question 15. Is there a medical, forensic, CAC and/or SANE report in the Case File?

- **Case 1**
 - Upon review, the auditors found no evidence that the Detective included a medical report in the case file, despite documentation indicating the victim received medical attention.
- **Case 2**
 - Upon review, the auditors found no evidence that the Detective included a medical report in the case file, despite documentation indicating the victim received medical attention.

Question 20. Were there crime scene photos taken when evidence could be captured/recorded, as appropriate?

- **Case 1**
 - Upon review, the auditors found no evidence that the Detective included photos in the case file, despite documentation indicating the victim’s injuries were photographed.

Question 23. If evidence was not submitted for testing, was the reason documented in a report?

- **Case 1**
 - Upon review, the auditors found no evidence that the Detective documented a rationale for not submitting evidence for testing, despite documentation indicating that a sexual assault kit and buccal swab were collected.

Recommendations

1. The Audit and Review Section (ARS) recommend conducting a review of the case file checklist to ensure that all required documentation is consistently included in each case file.
2. When documentation is not readily available, the ARS recommends providing a clear and sufficient explanation within the case file to account for its absence.

Child Abuse Section Responses & PSAB Notes:

Section Response to PSAB

1. **Section Deficiency:** Is there a medical, forensic, CAC, and/or SANE report in the Case File? The overall score for this category was 91%. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 20 were audited as positive, 2 were negative, and 55 were N/A (not applicable).
 - a. **Response/Action:** Response: case 1: Upon reviewing NOPD item, the auditors found no evidence that the Detective included a medical report in the case file, despite documentation indicating that the victim received medical attention- Detective did not include the medical report in the case file. **The documents were subsequently added.**
 - b. **Response/Action:** case 2: Upon reviewing NOPD item, the auditors found no evidence that the Detective included a medical report in the case file, despite documentation indicating that the victim received medical attention. Detective did not include the medical records. **The records were subsequently added to the case file.**

2. **Section Deficiency:** Were there crime scene photos taken when evidence could be captured/recorded, as appropriate? The overall score for this category was 93%. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 13 were audited as positive, 1 was negative, and 63 were N/A (not applicable).
 - a. **Response/Action:** case 1: Upon review, the auditors found no evidence that the Detective included photos in the case file, despite documentation indicating the victim's injuries were photographed- Detective Urlissa Dabney did not include images. **The photographs were subsequently added to the case file.**

3. **Section Deficiency:** If evidence was not submitted for testing, was the reason documented in a report? The overall score for this category was 67%. Of the 77 cases reviewed, 2 were audited as positive, 1 was negative, and 74 were N/A (not applicable).
 - a. **Response/Action:** case 1: Upon review, the auditors found no evidence that the Detective documented a rationale for not submitting evidence for testing, despite documentation indicating that a sexual assault kit and buccal swab were collected - Detective submitted the DNA sample for testing on 04/29/2025. **The DNA submittal form was subsequently added to the case file.**

PSAB Response: None required

Attachments:

Excel Raw Data Spreadsheet for December 2024 through June 2025 audit.

Timothy A. Lindsey

**Innovation Manager, Auditing
Professional Standards and Accountability Bureau**

Jovan M. Berry

**Auditor
Professional Standards and Accountability Bureau**

Appendix A – Report Distribution

Superintendent, NOPD

Assistant Superintendent, NOPD

Deputy Supt. PSAB Bureau

Captain PSAB Bureau

Deputy Supt. ISB Bureau

Captain ISB Bureau

Lieutenant SVD

The following checklist was the instrument used by the auditing team to review each case file.

CHILD ABUSE AUDIT REVIEW CHECKLIST

Item Number: _____
 Applicable Auditor: _____
 Date: _____
 compliant/No Case Detective _____

NA = Not
 Y = Compliant
 N = Not
 U = Unknown

1. Is there BWC video applicable to this case?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
2. Was there an on-scene response by an Investigator?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
3. Is there an Incident Report in the case file?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
4. Is there a MORF in the case file?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
5. Is there an Investigator's Supplemental Report?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
6. Is there a victim statement (video, audio, or transcribed)?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
7. Is there evidence of attention to the victim's needs? (i.e., Did the investigator demonstrate empathy, safety & medical needs of the victim, etc.)	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
8. Did the initial responding officer record an Exigent Statement to determine that a crime occurred, if necessary? (Mark NA if not appropriate)	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
9. Was there a CAC interview after the initial on-scene investigation?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
10. Are there documented witness statements (video, audio, or transcribed)?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
11. Is there a communications log? (incident recall)	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
12. Is there a 911 recording available?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
13. Is there documentation of CastNet usage (criminal history check)?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
14. If there is evidence of a drug-facilitated sexual assault with follow up according to policy?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
15. Is there a medical, forensic, CAC and/or SANE report in the case file?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
16. Is there a documented referral to outside resources (i.e., NOFJC, CAC, DCFS, NOPD Social Workers)?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
17. Is there documentation of a CODIS hit notification in the file?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
18. Is there arrest or search warrant documentation?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
19. Is there a suspect statement (video, audio, or transcribed)?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
20. Were there crime scene photos taken when evidence could be captured/recorded, as appropriate? (Photos may be in Property & Evidence or case file materials)	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
21. Is evidence collection documented in a report?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U

22. Were the evidence & property receipts included within the Case File for submitted evidence?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
23. If evidence was not submitted for testing, was the reason documented in a report?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
24. Are there crime lab reports?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
25. Is there documentation for a search for surveillance video?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
26. Is there documented evidence of a witness canvas?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
27. Are there composite sketches relative to the case?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
28. Did the Detective complete (initial and date) the Case File Index as items were entered in the Case File?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
29. Was the incident appropriately classified?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
30. Was there documented authorization for a Signal change if required?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
31. Are there supervisory reviews of reports and dispositions?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N / <input type="checkbox"/> U
Did the Auditor listen to recordings of the victim interview(s)?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N
Did the Auditor listen to the suspect interview(s)?	<input type="checkbox"/> NA / <input type="checkbox"/> Y / <input type="checkbox"/> N

Narrative/Comments: _____

